## Chief Executive's Office

To:
All Members of Cabinet:
RJ Phillips (Leader)
LO Barnett
AJM Blackshaw
H Bramer
JP French
JA Hyde
JG Jarvis
DB Wilcox
12th November, 2007

Dear Councillor,
MEETING OF CABINET
THURSDAY, 15TH NOVEMBER, 2007 AT 2.00 P.M.
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, BROCKINGTON, 35 HAFOD ROAD, HEREFORD

## AGENDA (07/14)

## 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

## 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive any declarations of interest by members in respect of items on this agenda.

## 3. ANNUAL GOVERNANCE REPORT 2007 - MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN

To approve a draft management action plan in response to the recommendations made by the Audit Commission in their Annual Governance Report 2007. (Pages 1-34)

## 4. INTEGRATED PERFORMANCE AND FINANCE REPORT

To report performance for the first half of 2007-08 against the Annual Operating Plan 2007-08, national performance indicators used externally to measure the performance of the council, together with performance against revenue and capital budgets and corporate risks, and remedial action to address areas of under-performance. (Pages 35-112)

## 5. REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW

To consider the report and the recommendations of the Head of Legal and Democratic Services regarding the outcome of the independent review undertaken by Mr lan Crookall. (Report to follow).

## INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF ICT AND THE COUNCIL'S FINANCIAL AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS

The purpose of this report is to outline to Cabinet the content and to consider the outcomes and recommendations of the Independent Review into ICT Services carried out by Mr Ian Crookall, who was nominated by the Chief Executive of the Local Government Association.

## EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

In the opinion of the Proper Officer, the next item will not be, or is likely not to be, open to the public and press at the time it is considered.

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Schedule 12(A) of the Act as indicated below.

## 6. REPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT REVIEW

To consider the report and the recommendations of the Independent Review undertaken by Mr Ian Crookall. (Report to follow).

This item discloses information relating to any individual.

Yours sincerely,

## The Public's Rights to Information and Attendance at Meetings

## YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO:-

- Attend all Council, Cabinet, Committee and Sub-Committee meetings unless the business to be transacted would disclose 'confidential' or 'exempt' information.
- Inspect agenda and public reports at least five clear days before the date of the meeting.
- Inspect minutes of the Council and all Committees and Sub-Committees and written statements of decisions taken by the Cabinet or individual Cabinet Members for up to six years following a meeting.
- Inspect background papers used in the preparation of public reports for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting. (A list of the background papers to a report is given at the end of each report). A background paper is a document on which the officer has relied in writing the report and which otherwise is not available to the public.
- Access to a public Register stating the names, addresses and wards of all Councillors with details of the membership of the Cabinet, of all Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have a reasonable number of copies of agenda and reports (relating to items to be considered in public) made available to the public attending meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have access to a list specifying those powers on which the Council have delegated decision making to their officers identifying the officers concerned by title.
- Copy any of the documents mentioned above to which you have a right of access, subject to a reasonable charge ( 20 p per sheet subject to a maximum of $£ 5.00$ per agenda plus a nominal fee of $£ 1.50$, for postage).
- Access to this summary of your rights as members of the public to attend meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees and to inspect and copy documents.


## Agenda and individual reports can be made available in large print or on tape. Please contact the officer named below in advance of the meeting who will be pleased to deal with your request.

The Council Chamber where the meeting will be held is accessible for visitors in wheelchairs, for whom toilets are also available.

A public telephone is available in the reception area.

## Public Transport links

- Public transport access can be gained to Brockington via the service that runs approximately every half hour from the 'Hopper' bus station at the Tesco store in Bewell Street (next to the roundabout junction of Blueschool Street / Victoria Street / Edgar Street).
- The nearest bus stop to Brockington is located in Old Eign Hill near to its junction with Hafod Road. The return journey can be made from the same bus stop.

If you have any questions about this Agenda, how the Council works or would like more information or wish to exercise your rights to access the information described above, you may do so either by telephoning Mrs Sally Cole on 01432260249 or by visiting in person during office hours ( 8.45 a.m. - 5.00 p.m. Monday - Thursday and 8.45 a.m. - 4.45 p.m. Friday) at the Council Offices, Brockington, 35 Hafod Road, Hereford.


Where possible this agenda is printed on paper made from $100 \%$ PostConsumer waste. De-inked without bleaching and free from optical brightening agents (OBA). Awarded the Nordic Swan for low emissions during production and the Blue Angel environmental label.

## COUNTY OF HEREFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

## BROCKINGTON, 35 HAFOD ROAD, HEREFORD.

## FIRE AND EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

In the event of a fire or emergency the alarm bell will ring continuously.

You should vacate the building in an orderly manner through the nearest available fire exit.

You should then proceed to Assembly Point J which is located at the southern entrance to the car park. A check will be undertaken to ensure that those recorded as present have vacated the building following which further instructions will be given.

Please do not allow any items of clothing, etc. to obstruct any of the exits.

Do not delay your vacation of the building by stopping or returning to collect coats or other personal belongings.

# ANNUAL GOVERNANCE REPORT 2007 MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN 

## PORTFOLIO RESPONSIBILITY: CORPORATE STRATEGY \& FINANCE AND RESOURCES

CABINET
15TH NOVEMBER, 2007

## Wards Affected

None.

## Purpose

To approve a draft management action plan in response to the recommendations made by the Audit Commission in their Annual Governance Report 2007.

## Key Decision

This is not a Key Decision.

## Recommendation

THAT the draft management action plan appended to this report be approved.

## Reasons

Cabinet has executive responsibility for responding to the recommendations contained in external audit reports.

## Considerations

1. The Audit Commission presented their Annual Governance Report to the Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee meeting on 21st September, 2007.
2. The Audit Commission gave an unqualified opinion on the Council's Statement of Accounts for 2006/07 and an adverse opinion on the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources due to weak systems of internal control in ICT \& Customer Services.
3. The Audit Commission made six recommendations in their report:
a) Thee relate to suggested improvements to final account procedures for asset accounting (all assessed by the Audit Commission as medium risk).
b) One relates to a suggested review of the pooled budgeting arrangements with the Primary Care Trust (assessed by the Audit Commission as a medium risk).
c) One relates to suggested improvements to accounting policies for fixed assets (assessed by the Audit Commission as low risk).
d) One relates to the need for an independent review of the financial governance issues within ICT \& Customer Services given there are weak arrangements for internal control (assessed by the Audit Commission as high risk).
4. The full Annual Governance Report 2007 is attached as the appendix to this report and includes the draft management action plan. The Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee considered the draft management action plan on 19th October 2007 and had no further advice to offer Cabinet.

## Financial Implications

There are no financial implications arising directly from this report. The management action plan will however give greater certainty that the annual accounts represent as accurate a reflection as is possible to achieve of the value of the Council's assets in the balance sheet. A review of pooled budgets arrangements for Section 75 services will improve systems for ensuring that value for money for health and social care services delivered in partnership with the Primary Care Trust is obtained. Improvements to systems of internal control in ICT \& Customer Services will ensure that the use of resources in this area of Council activity is maximised.

## Risk Management

A robust response to the recommendations contained in the Annual Governance Report 2007 will demonstrate the Council's commitment to strong corporate governance as the fundamental way of managing business and reputational risk. It will also mitigate against the risk that failures to comply with agreed systems of internal control has a prolonged effect on the Council's annual Use of Resources assessment.

## Alternative Options

There are no alternative options requiring technical or financial appraisal.

## Consultees

Chief Executive.

Monitoring Officer.
The Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee.

## Appendices

Annual Governance Report 2007 including draft management action plan.

## Background Papers

See appendix to the report.

## Annual Governance Report

Herefordshire Council

## Audit 2006/07

External audit is an essential element in the process of accountability for public money and makes an important contribution to the stewardship of public resources and the corporate governance of public services.

Audit in the public sector is underpinned by three fundamental principles.

- Auditors are appointed independently from the bodies being audited.
- The scope of auditors' work is extended to cover not only the audit of financial statements but also value for money and the conduct of public business.
- Auditors may report aspects of their work widely to the public and other key stakeholders.

The duties and powers of auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are set out in the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Local Government Act 1999 and the Commission's statutory Code of Audit Practice. Under the Code of Audit Practice, appointed auditors are also required to comply with the current professional standards issued by the independent Auditing Practices Board.

Appointed auditors act quite separately from the Commission and in meeting their statutory responsibilities are required to exercise their professional judgement independently of both the Commission and the audited body.

## Status of our reports

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors/members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.


## Copies of this report

If you require further copies of this report, or a copy in large print, in Braille, on tape, or in a language other than English, please call 08447987070.
© Audit Commission 2007
For further information on the work of the Commission please contact:
Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ
Tel: 02078281212 Fax: 02079766187 Textphone (minicom): 02076300421
www.audit-commission.gov.uk
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## Purpose of this document

1 In accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice (the Code), this report provides a summary of the work we have carried out during our 2006/07 audit of accounts, the conclusions we have reached and the recommendations we have made to discharge our statutory audit responsibilities to those charged with governance, (in this case the Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee) at the time they are considering the financial statements.

2 In preparing our report, the Code requires us to comply with the requirements of International Standards on Auditing (United Kingdom \& Ireland) - ISA (UK\&I) 260 'Communication of Audit Matters to Those Charged With Governance', by reporting to you matters relating to the audit of the financial statements. Other auditing standards require us to communicate with you in other specific circumstances including:

- where we suspect or detect fraud;
- where there is an inconsistency between the financial statements and other information in documents containing the financial statements; and
- non-compliance with legislative or regulatory requirements and related authorities.

3 We are also required to communicate to you the Audit Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity, and these are set out at Appendix 2.
4 This report has been prepared for presentation to the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee on 21 September 2007. Members are invited to:

- consider the matters raised in the report before the financial statements are approved;
- approve the representation letter on behalf of the Authority and those charged with governance before we issue our opinion; and
- consider amending the financial statements for unadjusted misstatements, significant qualitative aspects of financial reporting and any recommendations for improvement in the action plan.

5 Our work during the year was performed in line with the plan that we presented to you in May 2006. We have issued separate reports during the year having completed specific aspects of our programme, which are listed in Appendix 3.

## Key messages

6 Our work on the financial statements is substantially complete. Should any further matters arise in concluding the outstanding work that need to be reported, we will raise them with the Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee. We propose issuing an unqualified audit opinion on 27 September 2007. The audit went very smoothly and the working papers received were of a better quality this year particularly in relation to working papers supporting revenue accounts. We will be issuing a memorandum which will contain more detail on our findings to assist officers.

7 In our view, the Statement on Internal Control (SIC), once amended, has been prepared in accordance with proper practice specified by CIPFA and is consistent with the findings from our audit.

8 Our work on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources is now complete. We propose issuing an adverse conclusion on the use of resources on 27 September 2007. The qualification relates to failings within the Council's arrangements for internal control, probity and propriety and value for money as highlighted in the recent ICT governance report. We have attached a copy of our report at Appendix 7.

## Audit status

9 At the date of issue of this report our detailed audit is substantially complete.
10 The Authority has taken a positive and constructive approach to our audit and we would like to take this opportunity to express our appreciation for the Authority's assistance and co-operation.

## Accounts and Statement on Internal Control

11 Our work on the financial statements is substantially complete.
12 Auditors are required to obtain written confirmation of certain representations from management and those charged with governance before an audit report is issued, such as acknowledgement of responsibility for the fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and responsibility for the design and implementation of internal control to prevent and detect error.

13 The auditor should also obtain written representations from management on matters material to the financial statements when other sufficient appropriate audit evidence cannot reasonably be expected to exist.

The final draft of the letter of representation has been attached at Appendix 4.

## Unadjusted misstatements

15 We are required to report to you all unadjusted misstatements that we have identified during the course of our audit, other than those that are clearly trivial. There are no unadjusted misstatements for us to bring to the attention of members.

## Adjustments to the financial statements

16 We are also required to bring to your attention misstatements that have already been corrected by management where we consider them relevant to your wider governance responsibilities. These are recorded in full at Appendix 5 but we provide an analysis of the most significant items below for your attention.

Table 1 Adjusted misstatements in the financial statements
Details of significant adjustments made to the financial statements

| Issue | Value of misstatement $£$ | Impact on surplus/ <br> (deficit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance Sheet <br> (Fixed Assets) | $+£ 1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | None |
| Balance Sheet <br> (Reserves) | $-£ 1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | None |
| Balance Sheet <br> (Fixed assets) | $-£ 1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | None |


| Issue | Value of misstatement £ | Impact on surplus/ <br> (deficit) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  <br> Expenditure <br> Account | $+£ 1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ | Increase deficit |
|  <br> Expenditure <br> Account | $+£ 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | None |
|  <br> Expenditure <br> Account | $-£ 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | None |
| Intangible Assets | $-£ 264 \mathrm{k}$ | None |
| Tangible Assets | $+£ 264 \mathrm{k}$ | None |

## Recommendations

R1 Allocation of assets into the right category is the responsibility of the Chief Finance Officer. All valuations should be reviewed prior to audit to ensure the valuer has categorised the asset correctly and has provided a reasonable valuation.

R2 Assets registers should be reviewed prior to audit to ensure that values attached to disposals, additions and revaluations are reasonable.
R3 Care should be taken when coding expenditure to ensure that tangible and intangible expenditure is coded correctly.

## Other financial matters of interest

17 We are required to report any other matters that we believe to be of governance interest. As last year we found issues with the operation of pooled budgets with the Primary Care Trust (PCT).

- The Section 31 agreement has still not been updated for the learning disabilities pooled budget agreement. This has not been updated since 2002.
- There were protracted delays in the PCT settling invoices.
- Governance arrangements should be put in place to ensure that all expenditure is correctly authorised.
- The Section 31 agreement in place with the PCT in relation to Free Nursing Care should be re-visited as this does not appear to be a pooled budget arrangement.
- Year end reconciliations of amounts owed to/from the PCT were only carried out after we requested them.


## Herefordshire Council

## Recommendation

R4 Review pooled budget arrangements with the PCT in particular ensure that:

- governance agreements are updated;
- reconciliation processes are tightened;
- outstanding debtors are paid promptly; and
- all current agreements are reviewed to ensure that they are in fact pooled budget agreements.


## Accounting practices

We are also required to report to you our view on the qualitative aspects of the Authority's accounting practices and financial reporting and have set out below our observations on key issues affecting the Authority.

- The Council receive valuations from the valuer, in some cases suggesting that a building of the Council has a life expectancy in excess of 50 years. When received, the Council change the life expectancy to 50 years if the valuer has offered a greater life expectancy on the building. Our understanding is that this is Council policy, however there is no documentation to support this assertion.
- Working papers are of a good quality and in particular there has been noticeable improvements in the papers received to support income and expenditure in the accounts. We will be discussing this in more detail along with opportunities for improvement with the relevant officers of the Council.


## Recommendation

R5 Fixed Asset policies in relation to life expectancy of assets will need to be considered to ensure that the valuer and asset register holder within finance have similar information. Any policy decided upon in relation to the life expectancy of assets maintained within the asset register should conform with the requirements of the SORP.

## Systems of internal control

19 As part of our audit, we consider the systems of accounting and financial control and report to you any material weaknesses identified. There are weak arrangements in ICT \& Customer Services. Internal Audit carried out a review in 2006/07 which highlighted a number of system and budgeting issues. Further work has been carried out and a number of other serious issues have been reported including:

- abuse of travel and subsistence expense claims; and
- issues surrounding the procurement and management of ICT projects.


## Recommendation

R6 The Council independently investigate issues within the ICT section and consider any action required in accordance with their own constitution.

20 We have not provided a comprehensive statement of all weaknesses which may exist in internal control or of all improvements which may be made, but have addressed only those matters which have come to our attention as a result of the audit procedures we have performed.
21 We have also reviewed whether the Statement of Internal Control (SIC) has been prepared in accordance with proper practice specified by CIPFA and is consistent with the findings from our audit. We have asked the Council to make amendments to the SIC based upon the issues raised in relation to the ICT \& Customer Service section which the Council has agreed to. We have asked the Council to include:

- issues with regards to abuse of travel and subsistence claims within ICT;
- issues in relation to the procurement of contractors; and
- issues in relation to the reliability of the ICT \& Customer Service section financial system.


## Use of resources

## Work performed

22 The Code requires us to reach a conclusion on whether we are satisfied that the Council has proper arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources - the value for money conclusion. In meeting this responsibility, we review evidence that is relevant to the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements across a range of criteria specified by the Audit Commission. Our work to support our conclusion comprised the following elements:

- use of resources assessment;
- data quality work; and
- the best value performance plan.

23 Details of our conclusion for each of the criteria specified by the Audit Commission are set out in Appendix 6. As discussed earlier we propose to issue an adverse value for money conclusion opinion in relation to the following three criteria:

- the body has put in place arrangements to maintain a sound system of internal control;
- the body has put in place arrangements that are designed to promote and ensure probity and propriety in the conduct of its business; and
- the body has put in place arrangements to manage and improve value for money.

24 This relates to the failings identified in the recent ICT governance report.

## Use of resources assessment

25 We are currently finalising our work arising on the use of resources assessment. When finalised, we will discuss this with the relevant officers.

## Data quality work

We are currently finalising our data quality review and will report our findings to the relevant officers.
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## Best value performance plan

27 Our work in respect of the Authority's Best Value performance Plan (BVPP) was reported in the 2006 annual audit and inspection letter. No recommendations were made to the Audit Commission or the Secretary of State.

## Audit fee update

28 We reported our fee proposals as part of the Audit Plan for 2006/07. The table below reports the outturn fee against that plan.

|  | Plan 2006/07 | Actual 2006/07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Financial statements and Statement on <br> Internal Control | $£ 130,067$ | $£ 130,067$ |
| Use of Resources | $£ 82,161$ | $£ 82,161$ |
| Total Audit Fees | $£ 212,228$ | $£ 212,228$ |

29 The analysis above shows that our audit fee has been contained within the totals you have already agreed.
30 The outturn on inspection fees will be reported in the annual audit and inspection letter.
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## Appendix 1 - Action plan

| Ref | Recommendation | Priority <br> $\mathbf{1 = \text { Low }}$ <br> 2 = Medium <br> 3 High | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Anticipated <br> implementation <br> date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R1 | Allocation of assets into the <br> right category is the <br> responsibility of the Chief <br> Finance Officer. All <br> valuations should be <br> reviewed prior to audit to <br> ensure the valuer has <br> categorised the asset <br> correctly and has provided <br> a reasonable valuation. | 2 | Director of <br> Resources | Yes | The Head of Financial <br> Services and Head of Asset <br> Management and Property <br> Services will jointly review <br> the asset register held for <br> accounting purposes to <br> confirm that assets have <br> been allocated to the <br> correct category. This will <br> be established as a new <br> task on the final accounts <br> work programme for <br> $2007 / 08$. | May 2008 and <br> annually thereafter. |
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| Ref | Recommendation | Priority <br> 1 = Low <br> 2 = Medium <br> 3 = High | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Anticipated implementation date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R2 | Asset registers should be reviewed prior to audit to ensure that values attached to disposals, additions and revaluations are reasonable. | 2 | Director of Resources | Yes | The Head of Financial Services and Head of Asset Management \& Property Services will jointly review the asset register held for accounting purposes to ensure that the values attached to additions, disposals and revaluations are reasonable. This will be established as a new task on the final accounts work programme for 2007/08. | May 2008 and annually thereafter. |
| R3 | Care should be taken when coding expenditure to ensure that tangible and intangible expenditure is coded correctly. | 2 | Director of Resources | Yes | The Head of Financial Services will ensure that expenditure coded to capital is tested as part of routine capital monitoring procedures and test checked as part of the final accounts process for 2007/08. | Ongoing monitoring procedures. <br> Further test checks as part of the final accounts process in May 2008 and annually thereafter. |
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| Ref | Recommendation | Priority $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { Low } \\ & 2=\text { Medium } \\ & 3=\text { High } \end{aligned}$ | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Anticipated implementation date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R4 | Review pooled budget arrangements with the PCT in particular ensure that: <br> - governance arrangements and agreements are updated; <br> - reconciliation processes are tightened; <br> - outstanding debtors are paid promptly; and <br> - all current agreements are reviewed to ensure that they are in fact pooled budget agreements. | 2 | Director of Adult \& Community Services <br> Director of Resources | Yes | The PST Steering Group has asked the Corporate Strategy and Resourcing Working Group (CSRWG) to review the existing Section 75 arrangements by 31st January 2008 with a view to implementing improvements from the start of next financial year. The Director of Resources chairs the CSRWG. <br> A sub group has been established to carry out the review of existing Section 75 arrangements. The Interim Head of Adult Social Care chairs this sub-group. | 1 April 2008 |
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| Ref | Recommendation | Priority <br> $\mathbf{1 = \text { Low }}$ <br> 2 = Medium <br> 3 High | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Anticipated <br> implementation <br> date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R5 | Fixed Asset policies in <br> relation to life expectancy of <br> assets will need to be <br> considered to ensure that <br> the valuer and asset <br> register holder within <br> finance have similar <br> information. Any policy <br> decided upon in relation to <br> the life expectancy of <br> assets maintained within <br> the asset register should <br> conform with the <br> requirements of the SORP. | $\mathbf{1}$ | Director of Resources | Yes | The Head of Financial <br> Services will review the <br> fixed asset accounting <br> policy prior to financial <br> year-end 2007/08. | February 2008. |
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| Ref | Recommendation | Priority $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { Low } \\ & 2=\text { Medium } \\ & 3=\text { High } \end{aligned}$ | Responsibility | Agreed | Comments | Anticipated implementation date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R6 | The Council independently investigate issues within the ICT section and consider any action required in accordance with their own constitution. | 3 | Chief Executive/ Leader | Yes | Mr Ian Crookall, former Chief Executive of Buckinghamshire County Council, has been appointed to carry out the independent review. <br> Mr Crookall started his review on 3 October and has had some preliminary meetings with officers and Members. <br> Further meetings have been arranged, and Mr Crookall aims to complete his report by the end of October. <br> The Monitoring Officer will agree the terms of reference for the review. | November 2007. |

## Appendix 2 - The Audit Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity

1 We are required to communicate the following matters to those charged with governance:

- the principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by the auditor, including consideration of all relationships between the Authority, directors and the auditor;
- any safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective;
- any independent partner review;
- the overall assessment of threats and safeguards; and
- information about the general policies and processes for maintaining objectivity and independence.
2 We are not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the audit team and which are required to be disclosed under auditing and ethical standards.


## Appendix 3 - Audit reports issued

| Planned output | Planned date <br> of issue | Actual date of <br> issue | Addressee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Audit plan | May 2006 | May 2006 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Annual governance <br> report | 14 September <br> 2007 | 14 September <br> 2007 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Opinion on financial <br> statements | 27 September <br> 2007 | 27 September <br> 2007 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Opinion on Value for <br> Money | 27 September <br> 2007 | 27 September <br> 2007 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Use of resources <br> conclusion | December 2007 | December 2007 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Final accounts <br> memorandum | 27 September <br> 2007 | 27 September |  |
| 2007 | Management |  |  |
| Performance <br> management | November 2006 | November 2006 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Local Area <br> Agreements | November 2006 | November 2006 | Audit and Corporate <br> Governance <br> Committee |
| Annual audit letter | December 2006 | December 2006 | Council |

## Appendix 4 - Management representation letter

## Resources

Director: Mrs Sonia Rees CPFA

Mrs L Cave
District Auditor
Rooms 13-18 and 24
The Business Centre
Blackpole Road
Worcester
WR3 8SQ
13 September 2007

Your Ref:
Our Ref:
Please ask for: Sonia Rees
Direct Line / 01432383519
Extension: 01432261869
Fax:
srees@herefordshire.gov.uk

E-mail:

Dear Liz
LETTER OF REPRESENTATION AUDIT FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2007
I confirm to the best of my knowledge and belief, and having made appropriate enquiries of other officers of the Authority, the following representations given to you in connection with your audit for the year ended 31 March 2007.

Related party transactions
There are no other material transactions with related parties, other than those which have been properly recorded and disclosed in the financial statements.

Contingent liabilities
There are no other contingent liabilities, other than those which have been properly recorded and disclosed in the financial statements. In particular:

There are no significant pending or threatened litigation, other than those already disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no material commitments or contractual issues, other than those already disclosed in the financial statements.

Law, regulations and codes of practice
There are no instances of non-compliance with laws, regulations and codes of practice, likely to have a significant effect on the finance or operation of the Authority.

Post balance sheet events

Amey PLC
Amey PLC have purchased shares from Somerford in HJS (Jarvis). This represented $80 \%$ of the shares in HJS and the Council continues to hold the other 20\%. The arrangement does not have any impact on the Council. The former parent company guarantee has been replaced in identical terms by a guarantee from Amey PLC. This guarantee covers performance of work under the HJS contract with the Council. Specific representations

I draw your attention to the Private Finance initiative contracts that the Council has entered into jointly with Worcestershire. I would make the following points:

Waste Management
As you are aware, this contract is currently subject to renegotiation. I have reviewed the disclosure included in the accounts on Page 8 of the Statement of Accounts and I am satisfied that this sufficiently reflects the position as at the date of this letter. Further to the outcome of these negotiations it may be necessary to review the accounting and balance sheet treatment of the renegotiated PFI deal.
I am satisfied that the Council has earmarked sufficient reserves to meet potential liabilities under the existing contractual arrangements as at 31 March 2007 and has appropriate plans in place to ensure sufficient budgetary provision in its financial strategy for the future.

Yours sincerely

SONIA REES (MRS)
DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES
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## Appendix 5 - Summary of adjusted misstatements

The following misstatements were identified during the course of our audit and the financial statements have been adjusted by management. We bring them to your attention to assist you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

|  |  | Income and Expenditure Account |  | Balance Sheet |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted misstatements | Nature of Adjustment | Dr £000s | Cr $£ 000$ s | Dr £000s | $\mathrm{Cr} £ 000 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Description of Misstatement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goodrich School incorrectly disposed of. Goodrich School is a Voluntary Controlled (VC) School administered by the LEA. | Adjustment to fixed assets and reserves |  |  | £1.2m | £1.2m |
| Maintenance Charges for the Golden Thread Project which should have been charged to revenue. | Adjustment to fixed assets and the revenue account | £1.2m |  |  | £1.2m |
| BVACOP - Property management costs incorrectly allocated across BVACOP headings | Adjustments in the top half of the income and expenditure. | £1.8m | £1.8m |  |  |
| Tangible assets | Incorrectly classified as intangible instead of tangible. |  |  | £0.264m |  |
| Intangible assets |  |  |  |  | $£ 0.264 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Net Effect |  | £3m | £1.8m | £1.464m | £2.664m |
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1 The Audit Commission has published, in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, 12 criteria on which auditors are required to reach a conclusion on the adequacy of an audited body's arrangements for economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
The Code criteria are linked to the use of resources assessment (UoR) key lines of enquiry (KLoEs). A score of Level 2 or higher under the KLoEs will result in an assessment that the Authority has adequate arrangements in place for the purposes of the Code criteria. The Code criteria and the linked KLoEs are show in the table below.

| Code <br> Criteria | Description | Associated UoR <br> KLoE | VFM conclusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The body has put in place arrangements for setting, reviewing and <br> implementing its strategic and operational objectives. | N/A | Adequate |
| 2 | The body has put in place channels of communication with service users <br> and other stakeholders including partners, and there are monitoring <br> arrangements to ensure that key messages about services are taken into <br> account. | N/A | Adequate |
| 3 | The body has put in place arrangements for monitoring and scrutiny of <br> performance, to identify potential variances against strategic objectives, <br> standards and targets, for taking action where necessary, and reporting to <br> members. | N/A | Adequate |
| 4 | The body has put in place arrangements to monitor the quality of its <br> published performance information, and to report the results to members. | LG DQ KLoEs | Adequate |
| 5 | The body has put in place arrangements to maintain a sound system of <br> internal control. | 4.2 | Not adequate |
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| Code <br> Criteria | Description | Associated UoR <br> KLoE | VFM conclusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | The body has put in place arrangements to manage its significant <br> business risks. <br> The body has put in place arrangements to manage and improve value for <br> money. | 5.2 | Adequate |
| 7 | The body has put in place a medium-term financial strategy, budgets and <br> a capital programme that are soundly based and designed to deliver its <br> strategic priorities. | 2.1 | Not adequate |
| 8 | The body has put in place arrangements to ensure that its spending <br> matches its available resources. | 3.1 | Adequate |
| 10 | The body has put in place arrangements for managing performance <br> against budgets. | 2.2 | Adequate |
| 11 | The body has put in place arrangements for the management of its asset <br> base. | 2.3 | Adequate |
| 12 | The body has put in place arrangements that are designed to promote and <br> ensure probity and propriety in the conduct of its business. | 4.3 | Not adequate |



# report to Members of <br> <br> ndependent auditor's <br> <br> ndependent auditor's <br> <br> Herefordshire County Council 

 <br> <br> Herefordshire County Council}

## Independent auditor's report to the Members of Herefordshire County Council

## Opinion on the financial statements

I have audited the financial statements of Herefordshire County Council for the year ended 31 March 2007 under the Audit Commission Act 1998, which comprise the Explanatory Foreword, Income and Expenditure Account, Statement of the Movement on the General Fund Balance, the Balance Sheet, the Statement of Total Recognised Gains and Losses, the Cash Flow Statement, the Collection Fund and the related notes. These financial statements have been prepared under the accounting policies set out within
This report is made solely to Herefordshire County Council in accordance with Part II of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and for no other purpose, as set out in paragraph 36 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies prepared by the Audit Commission.

## Respective responsibilities of the Chief Finance Officer and auditors

The Chief Finance Officer's responsibilities for preparing the financial statements in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the Statement of Recommended Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2006 are set out in the Statement of Responsibilities.
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I review whether the statement on internal control reflects compliance with CIPFA's guidance 'The statement on internal control in local government: meeting the requirements of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2003' issued in April 2004. I report if it does not comply with proper practices specified by CIPFA or if the statement is misleading or inconsistent with other information I am aware of from my audit of the financial statements. I am not required to consider, nor have I considered, whether the statement on internal control covers all risks and controls. I am also not required to form an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's corporate governance procedures or its risk and control procedures.

## Basis of audit opinion

I conducted my audit in accordance with the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Code of Audit Practice issued by the Audit Commission
and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board. An audit includes examination, on a
test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. It also includes an assessment of the
significant estimates and judgments made by the Authority in the preparation of the financial statements, and of whether the
accounting policies are appropriate to the Authority's circumstances, consistently applied and adequately disclosed.
I planned and performed my audit so as to obtain all the information and explanations which I considered necessary in order to provide me with sufficient evidence to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or other irregularity or error. In forming my opinion I also evaluated the overall adequacy of the presentation of information in the financial statements.
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In my opinion the financial statements present fairly, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the Statement of
Recommended Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2006, the financial position of the authority as at
31 March 2007 and its income and expenditure for the year then ended.

## Opinion

Elizabeth Cave
District Auditor
Audit Commission
The Business Centre
Blackpole Road
Worcester
WR3 8SQ
28th September 2007
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## Conclusion on arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

in the use of resources

## Authority's responsibilities

The authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to regularly review the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.
Under the Local Government Act 1999, the authority is required to prepare and publish a best value performance plan summarising the authority's assessment of its performance and position in relation to its statutory duty to make arrangements to ensure continuous improvement in the way in which its functions are exercised, having regard to a combination of economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

## Auditor's responsibilities

I am required by the Audit Commission Act 1998 to be satisfied that proper arrangements have been made by the authority for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the Audit Commission requires me to report to you my conclusion in relation to proper arrangements, having regard to relevant criteria specified by the Audit Commission for principal local authorities. I report if significant matters have come to my attention which prevent me from concluding that the authority has made such proper arrangements. I am not required to consider, nor have I considered, whether all aspects of the authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.
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I am required by section 7 of the Local Government Act 1999 to carry out an audit of the authority's best value performance plan and issue a report:
issue a report:

- certifying that I have done so;
- stating whether I believe that the plan has been prepared and published in accordance with statutory requirements set out in
section 6 of the Local Government Act 1999 and statutory guidance; and
- where relevant, making any recommendations under section 7 of the Local Government Act 1999 .
Adverse conclusion
I have undertaken my audit in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice and having regard to the criteria for principal local
authorities specified by the Audit Commission and published in December 2006, I am not satisfied that, in all significant respects,
Herefordshire County Council made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for
the year ending 31 March 2007 , in that it did not put in place:
- arrangements to maintain a sound system of internal control;
- arrangements that are designed to promote and ensure probity and propriety in the conduct of its business; and
- arrangements to manage and improve value for money.
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Best Value Performance Plan
I issued my statutory report on the audit of the authority's best value performance plan for the financial year 2006/2007. I did not identify any matters to be reported to the authority and did not make any recommendations on procedures in relation to the plan.

Elizabeth Cave<br>District Auditor

Audit Commission
The Business Centre
Blackpole Road
Worcester
WR3 8SQ
28th September 2007

# INTEGRATED PERFORMANCE AND FINANCE REPORT 

## PORTFOLIO RESPONSIBILITY: CORPORATE, CUSTOMER SERVICES AND HUMAN RESOURCES; AND RESOURCES

CABINET
15TH NOVEMBER, 2007

## Wards Affected

County-wide

## Purpose

To report performance for the first half of 2007-08 against the Annual Operating Plan 2007-08, national performance indicators used externally to measure the performance of the council, together with performance against revenue and capital budgets and corporate risks, and remedial action to address areas of underperformance.

## Key Decision

This is not a Key Decision

## Recommendations

## THAT

(a) performance to the end of September 2007, and the adequacy of the proposed remedial actions to address areas of under-performance, be considered; and
(b) given the Council's community leadership responsibilities, Cabinet decide what, if any, advice it wishes to give the Herefordshire Partnership Performance Management Group on underperformance of any indicators lead by partners.

## Reasons

The Council's current Corporate Plan sets out the Council's objectives, priorities and targets for the three years 2007-10. The Annual Operating Plan (AOP) is the detailed action plan for the first of these years, 2007-08, and includes all the indicators in the Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA), Local Area Agreement (LAA) and Herefordshire Community Strategy (HCS), as well as the Council's own indicators.

[^1]
## Considerations

## HIGHLIGHTS OF PERFORMANCE

- 17 of 111 council-lead indicators from the AOP are currently marked compared to 15 at the end-of-July. A large proportion of indicators remain A, many of which are measured through the council's Annual Satisfaction Survey. The results of the survey, against which an accurate judgement can be made, should be available for the end-of-January IPR.
- $63 \%$ of indicators used in external judgements, where data is available, are currently showing an improvement against last year's performance, compared to $53 \%$ at the end-of-July.
- The overall financial position shows a projected overspend of $£ 3.25 \mathrm{~m}$ compared with the projected overspend of $£ 2.54 \mathrm{~m}$ at the end-of-July.
- The revised capital budget forecast is $£ 61.6 \mathrm{~m}$ compared with the original forecast of $£ 65.46 \mathrm{~m}$.
- At the end-of-July, 4 LPSA indicators (13\%) and 15 LAA indicators (17\%) were marked $\mathbf{R}$.
- The Government has recently produced its new national dataset of 198 indicators upon which it will require local authorities, and their partners where applicable, to report. This substantially reduces the burden of performance reporting to Government by local authorities, which potentially could reduce the size of IPRs in future years, although in the case of Herefordshire this would be to a degree offset by the need to report additional health indicators under the Public Service Trust. However, there are signs that individual government departments and regulatory bodies are already seeking to add their preferred indicators back into the reporting process. Equally, the evidence required for Comprehensive Area Agreement means that the council will have to develop local indicators for performance with baselines, targets and reporting processes. These are areas where this IPR shows there is still room for improvement. Proposals for future reporting arrangements will be brought forward in the New Year.
- The council is entering the time of year when the various national inspectorates and regulatory bodies are beginning to reach their judgements about the council's performance. The remaining issue is the Audit Commission's annual assessment of the council's overall direction of travel, which will involve a series of interviews towards the end of November. Future IPRs will be used to explain the council's progress service-by-service and in total.


## Progress against the Council's AOP Priorities (Appendix A)

1. Performance has been monitored for each indicator using the following system.

|  |  | $\mathbf{G}$ | On target/met target |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A |  | Some progress/data not yet available so not possible to determine <br> trend |
| $\mathbf{R}$ |  |  | Not on target |

2. Analysis of performance against target by Council priority is detailed below:

| Priority | No. of <br> Indicators | Judgement |  |  | R |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securing the essential infrastructure for a <br> successful economy |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Giving effective community leadership | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Improving transport and the safety of <br> roads | 9 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| Maximising the health, safety, economic <br> well-being, achievements and <br> contribution of every child | 30 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| Sustaining thriving communities | 36 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 7 |
| Reshaping adult social care to enable <br> vulnerable adults to live independently <br> and, in particular, to enable many more <br> older people to continue to live in their <br> own homes | 8 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| Protecting the environment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Understanding the needs and <br> preferences of service users and Council <br> Tax-payers, and tailoring services | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| accordingly |  | 0 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Recruiting, retaining and motivating high <br> quality staff | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Embedding corporate planning, <br> performance management and project <br> management systems | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Promoting diversity and community <br> harmony | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

[^2]| Priority | No. of | Judgement |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indicators | R | A | G | n/a |
| Ensuring that essential assets are in the <br> right condition for the long-term cost- <br> effective delivery of services, and ensure <br> business continuity in the face of <br> emergencies |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of indicators (with last <br> report's judgements in brackets) |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Details of the indicators within each of the priorities above are in Appendix A. In addition, the indicators that are partner lead are included towards the end of the appendix and reported in paragraphs 5-9.

## Exceptions - indicators judged $\boldsymbol{R}$ at the end-of-September and those that have changed from $R$ since the end-of-July IPR

4. The IPR to the end-of-July reported 15 council lead indicators as R. 3 of these are now judged as $\mathbf{A}$. These improving indicators are:

40 HCS \% of 16-18 year olds NOT in education, employment or training
Further analysis of the data shows fluctuations throughout the year, suggesting that data available in June may still be on track to achieve target. Full year's figures will be available at quarter 3 with a full analysis of progress.

86 HCS \% of people who feel that parents in their local area are made to take responsibility for the behaviour of their children

68 HC \% of those who have contacted Herefordshire Council with a complaint who are satisfied with the way in which it was handled overall

Action plans have now been submitted. Future reports will measure progress in delivering them.

[^3]12 remain $\mathbf{R}$ and are:

13 HCS Average length of stay in B\&B accommodation for homeless households
As reported in the previous IPR, despite significant improvement in moving homeless households out of temporary accommodation, the target will not be achieved this year.

22a HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: Smoking rates
22b HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: participating in sport/physical activities

22c HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: eating 5-a-day
22d HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: obesity
22e HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: alcohol consumption
$22 f$ HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: drug use
22g HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: scores for mental health

A meeting has now been arranged with the PCT in November. This should result in an agreed set of defined indicators and targets.

26 HCS \% of children on the child protection register that are re-registrations
Performance has fallen during the year and is not on track to achieve target. Action is in place for monthly monitoring so the recommendations regarding de-registration are reached through robust, multi-agency assessments.

89a HC No. of referrals for children in need
Performance has levelled off at slightly below target. The relationship with the percentage of referrals designated as "no further action" and percentage repeat referrals requires further examination. Earlier indications suggested that threshold criteria are better understood by other agencies but this is not borne out by current figures. The audit of initial assessments will improve our understanding and decision-making regarding threshold criteria.

89b HC Completion rate of initial assessments of children in need within 7 working days of referral

| Performance continues to decline because of the increased workload and |
| :--- |
| insufficient numbers of suitably qualified and experienced social workers |
| able to undertake the work. Practice has been reviewed and revised to |
| ensure that initial assessments are undertaken more quickly and is |
| managed through monthly meetings with PCT and Education staff. |
| Deloitte have been commissioned to audit the processes for initial |
| assessments and to make recommendations for improving performance. |
| This work begins 17/10/07 and will be completed by 26/10/07. |
| 103 HC SRD completion rates |
| Although performance has improved target has not been achieved. |

5 additional indicators are now judged as $\mathbf{B}$ since the end-of-July IPR. These are:

29 HCS Provision of family support and \% increase in provision of family support
Expenditure is expected to mirror last year and therefore not achieve target.

30 HCS \% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ - G or equiv. including Maths and English (LEA schools)

Provisional results show an improvement on last year but not enough to achieve target.

35 b HCS No. of half day sessions missed by looked after children as \% of total number of sessions in primary schools

Information from the Children and Young People's directorate suggests that when figures are available at the end of October, high numbers of absenteeism are expected.

94 HC Grade for the year-on-year reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with 'fly-tipping'

The number of fly-tipping incidents has increased since May, following the introduction of permits at household waste amenity sites. Performance against this indicator has now levelled-off, but is not anticipated to improve above level 3 in the current financial year.
$96 \mathrm{HC} \%$ of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point where the Council is legally entitled to remove the vehicle

Performance fell during the summer. One team deals with both abandoned vehicles and fly-tipping; handling a significant, and initially unexpected, increase in fly-tipping incidents resulted in a reduction in the response times for abandoned vehicles. Arrangements have now been put in place to provide back-up for the team and performance has improved in recent months; however, as the target is $100 \%$ this will not be achieved this year.

## Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA)

5. As reported to Cabinet in the last IPR to the end-of-July, the full set of indicators used in the LPSA and LAA is now presented to Cabinet following consideration by the partnership's performance management group (PMG), chaired by the Director of Environment.
6. In respect of the LPSA, using the data in Appendix A that includes that of the council's partners, at the end-of-July 4 indicators were judged $\mathbf{R}, 23 \mathbf{A}$ and $4 \mathbf{G}$. Of these, judgement of the council lead indicators was $13 \mathbf{A}$ and $4 \mathbf{G}$, which was reported to Cabinet in the end-of-July IPR.
7. The 4 LPSA indicators judged $\mathbf{R}$ at the end-of-July were all partner lead and considered by the PMG on $14^{\text {th }}$ September. Their comments are contained in the following table:

## Primary Care Trust lead

15 HCS No. of emergency unscheduled hospital bed days occupied by a person 75 and over

Discussions between the PCT and Government Office West Midlands appear to have started. PMG were concerned at the slow progress in evidencing the effects of a national definition change which appeared to have a detrimental effect on the ability of Herefordshire to reach the target. A report was required for PMG's next meeting in November.

21a HCS \% of babies born to teenage mothers who are breastfeeding at 6 weeks
PMG were unclear on progress and if data indicated a problem or simply a time lag in reporting data, which would indicate we were approaching the target. A report was required for PMG's next meeting in November.

## West Mercia Constabulary (WMC) lead

42b HCS No. of criminal damage incidents
45 HCS No. of violent crimes

PMG requested a report for its next meeting in November covering the actions being taken, regional comparisons, trends over recent months and annually. WMC indicated that monthly figures were reducing slightly and that the CDRP was linking with other high performing areas, e.g. Telford, to learn from best practice.

## Local Area Agreement (LAA)

8. For the LAA, the position at the end-of-July was that 15 indicators were judged R, $60 \mathbf{A}$ and $14 \mathbf{G}$. These include the LPSA indicators. Of these, judgement of the council lead indicators was 10 R, $38 \mathbf{A}$ and $14 \mathbf{G}$.
9. In addition to those LPSA indicators listed in the table in paragraph 8, the other 11 LAA indicators judged as $\mathbf{R}$ at the end-of-July, were:

| West Mercia Constabulary lead |
| :--- |
| 50 HCS No. of Class A drug supply offences brought to justice |
| WMC are confident that this is being resourced effectively and that it will |
| be judged as $\mathbf{G}$ by the end-of-March 2008 . |

## Council lead

13 HCS Average length of stay in B\&B accommodation for homeless households
PMG accepted that the picture is improving but that the time-lag built into the indicator means this will continue to be $\boldsymbol{R}$ for some time. They requested detailed data to examine trends and forecasts for the next meeting. The number in B\&B was expected to reach zero in 2009/09.

22a HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: Smoking rates
22b HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: participating in sport/physical activities

22c HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: eating 5-a-day
22d HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: obesity
22e HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: alcohol consumption
$22 f$ HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: drug use
22 g HCS Measure of healthy lifestyles for 11-15 year olds: scores for mental health
lead officers by the next meeting. The Chair of PMG would discuss with the Director of Children's Services where appropriate. If information is not fully available by the next meeting the matter will be referred to the Partnership Chief Executive's Group.

* 40 HCS \% of 16-18 year olds NOT in education, employment or training

PMG requested the detailed data for review at the next meeting.
See paragraph 4 for the latest council position

* 86 HCS \% of people who feel that parents in their local area are made to take responsibility for the behaviour of their children

PMG expected an action plan on activities to date and those planned by the next meeting.

See paragraph 4 for the latest council position.

* Of the council lead LAA indicators included in the table above, as reported in paragraph 5 , indicators 40 HCS and 86 HCS are now judged A at the end-ofSeptember, as reported in paragraph 4, and will be reported to the next PMG meeting in November.


## Direction of Travel and CPA (Appendix B)

10. In addition to those indicators which the council measures itself against through its Annual Operating Plan, the council is externally judged on its performance against a number of national indicators, including Best Value Performance Indicators (BVPIs) and Performance Assessment Framework indicators (PAF). Performance against these indicators is shown in Appendix B. Primarily, the council is judged on its performance against previous year, rather than against target, and this comparison will be used by the Audit Commission to inform the annual Direction of Travel Assessment in February 2009.
11. Of those indicators where in-year data is currently available, the current direction of travel shows that $62 \%$ of indicators are on course to improve on last year, compared to $53 \%$ at the end-of-July. However, $21 \%$ of indicators, compared to $12 \%$ at the end-of-July, are now predicted to be worse than last year. The focus of attention in the coming months will now be to at least maintain performance in those areas that are currently performing well against last year's outturn, and to address the current under-performance in those areas that are falling below last year's performance.

12. Many of the indicators that are used in the Direction of Travel Assessment are only available annually so the above chart can only be used as a snapshot of current known performance. As data becomes available throughout the year it will be possible to give a more comprehensive indication as to the direction of travel, and give managers more information on which to base decisions that should impact positively on future performance.
13. A forecast CPA service score for Culture, Environment and Strategic Housing is not available as part of this report. Much of the data on which a forecast can be made will be available in time for the next report (to end-of-November) allowing for a forecast to be made for the 2009 CPA service scores.

## Revenue Monitoring

14. Details of the revenue budget position are at Appendix C in summary and then directorate-by-directorate.
15. The overall position shows a projected overspend of $£ 3.25 \mathrm{~m}$. This is $2.7 \%$ of the Council's £122.37m net revenue budget (excluding Direct Schools Grant funding). The projected position is after allowing for the use of the £1.3m Social Care contingency, an estimated $£ 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ underspend on Spend to Save/Spend to Mitigate funding, additional Local Authority Business Growth Incentive (LABGI) grant of $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ and an additional $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ for cash transactions.
16. The key areas of concern in the 2007/08 financial year is the Adult and Community Services with a projected $£ 4.1 \mathrm{~m}$ projected overspend; Children and Young People's Directorate with a £783K projected overspend and Corporate \&

Customer Services where a $£ 1.345 \mathrm{~m}$ overspend is projected. The remedial action being taken is described in Appendices C and E. Underspends of $£ 375 \mathrm{k}$ and $£ 180 \mathrm{k}$ are projected for the Environment Directorate and Resources Directorates respectively.
17. The Appendix includes a section on the July floods that caused significant damage to council assets. The estimated total of the damage is $£ 3.75 \mathrm{~m}$.

## Capital Monitoring

18. Details of the capital programme are at Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.
19. As at the end of September the capital programme is $£ 61.6 \mathrm{~m}$ compared with the original forecast of $£ 65.5 \mathrm{~m}$. The change arises because of revisions to the programmes, which are outlined in the report. Actual expenditure as of end-of September was $£ 13.39 \mathrm{~m}$ representing $22 \%$ of the revised programme.

## Corporate Risk monitoring

20. Appendix E contains the corporate risk log, which shows the current key risks facing the Council in terms of operations, reputation and external assessment.
21. The format of the Corporate Risk Register, which accompanies this report, has been revised where possible to include actions that need to be taken to mitigate the risks, the responsible officer for the action is named and a target date for completion or review of actions is included.
22. The main issues arising from the register are as follows:

- The number of Corporate Risks has been reduced to 17 due to Corporate Management Board's assessment of multiple risk areas such as Herefordshire Connects and staff recruitment and retention.
- There are five risks that continue to score 'high' even after the mitigating actions have been taken into account. These risks are:
a. CR2 - 'Corporate Spending pressures outweigh the level of resources available to meet them. Particular pressures prevalent in Adult Social Care, Children's Social Care and ICT Services and Customer Services.' Budget management plans have been developed for Adult \& Corporate Services and Children and Young People. Robust monthly monitoring of reports by Financial Services. Medium Term Financial Management Strategy under review.
b. CR4 - 'Failure to maintain CPA 3* rating and move from improving adequately to improving strongly'. Although a strong and clear strategy has been implemented over the last 18 months and specific actions have recently been identified, assessment of this risk needs to be reviewed to ensure all possible measures are being taken. Actions identified and the timetable for delivery needs to be reviewed.
c. CR5 - 'The inability to provide critical services due to the failure of the

ICT networks'. Substantial resources have been mobilised over the last 12 to 18 months and ICT specific service continuity plans have been developed. These now need to be tested and evaluated.
d. CR17 - 'Reduction in the Use of Resources overall assessment'. Adverse opinion due to the report from the Section 151 officer into the financial governance issues with ICT \& Customer Services. Monthly review by directorate management teams arising from the internal audit report.
e. New risk CR28 - 'Deliverable benefits from Herefordshire Connects not realised'. Benefits realisation framework in place and managed by the Benefits \& Commercials Group, IPG and Programme Board.

- Previously listed risks in association with the Herefordshire Connects project such as those in relation to procurement, integration with the PST and management capacity have been transferred to the Herefordshire Connects project risk register to be managed at a more local level but with Director oversight.

21. The next review of the Corporate Risks will be undertaken in connection with the end-of-November IPR. In the interim period the identified actions will be monitored so that an update can be provided.

## Alternative Options

None.

## Risk Management

Effective performance reports and their follow-up are an essential element in the management of risks.

## Consultees

Partners have been involved in developing the performance indicator templates for the LPSA2G, LAA and Herefordshire Community Strategy.

## Background Papers

None identified.
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|  | erence umber | Indicator | Herefordshire Community Strategy (HCS) | Local Area Agreement (LAA) |  | Baseline | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{D}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 产 |  | Frequency of Reporting | Commentary (where relevant) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximise the health, safety, economic well-being, achievements and contribution of every child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | HCS | Average length of stay in $B \& B$ accommodation for homeless households |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 15 |  | 4 weeks (June) | 7 weeks | 0 weeks | R | R | Monthly | 24 families with children (FWC) currently remain in temporary accommodation who will, once rehoused, be counted towards this indicator. This figures compares with 53 FWC in Q1 07/08 and 99 FWC in Q1 06/07. Continued pressure in homelessness presentations means that FWC are still placed in B\&B at peak times. Those FWC to whom a duty is owed will continue to appear in this Pl if rehoused. |
| 24 | HCS | No. of schools with National Healthy Schools status |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 51 |  | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 70 | =>78 | G | G | Quarterly |  |
| 26 | HCS | \% of children on the child protection register that are reregistrations | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 14.50\% | 0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 18.80\% | <=15\% | R | R | Quarterly | Performance has fallen during the year and is not on track to achieve target. Action is in place for monthly monitoring so the recommendations regarding de-registration are reached through robust, multi-agency assessments. |
| 29 | HCS | Expenditure on family support services per capita aged under 18 |  | $\checkmark$ |  | £35 |  |  | £36 | £29 | A | R | Quarterly | It is anticipated that the expenditure this year will mirror the outturn for 2006/07, given that there has been no increase in the budget and the current overall overspend position. The 2007/08 target is, therefore, unlikely to be achieved. |
| 30 | HCS | \% of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* - G or equiv. including Maths and English (LEA schools) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 92.80\% |  |  | 94.30\% | =>96\% | A | R | Annually <br> (unvalidated in <br> September; final <br> results in <br> January) | Performance has improved against previous year but has not achieved target. |
| 31 | HCS | $\%$ of pupils achieving 5 or more GCSEs at grades A* - C or equiv. including Maths \& English (LEA schools)* | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 48.20\% |  |  | 52.50\% | =>51.6\% | A | G | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | Significant improvement of 4.3\% on the baseline of 2006. The gap between $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ and $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ including English and Maths reduced from $13.6 \%$ to $10.6 \%$. Results in both English and Maths separately improved. At Wigmore, $93 \%$ of pupils achieved $5 A^{*}-C$ and the gap between $5 A^{*}-C$ and $5 A^{*}-C$ including English and Maths reduced from $28 \%$ to $4 \%$ |
| 40 | HCS | \% of 16-18 year olds NOT in education, employment or training |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 7.20\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.73 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ |  | <-5.3\% | R | A | Annually @ end-of-March | Providing data quarterly against this indicator does not provide an accurate depiction of progress due to fluctuations experienced throughout year (i.e. seasonality). Full year's figures will be available at quarter 3 with a full analysis of progress. |
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| ${ }^{\text {Refr }}$ | erenc | a | Indicator <br> No. of looked after children who missed a total of 25 days or more schooling |  | Local Area Agreement (LAA) | $\qquad$ | Baseline <br> $10.50 \%$ | $\sum^{\text {I }}$ | 亏 |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ <br> <=9\% |  |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually @ end-of-October | Commentary (where relevant) <br> The data for this indicator will be available at the end of October 2007 but the prognosis for this year's outturn is not good. Again around 12 children are currently in the missed more than 25 days cohort. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | HCS | b | No. of half day sessions missed by looked after children as \% of total number of sessions in primary schools |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 3.49\% |  |  |  | <=4.25\% | A | R | Annually @ end-of-October | The data for this indicator will be available at the end of October 2007 but high numbers of absenteeism is expected. |
| 35 | HCS | c | No. of half day sessions missed by looked after children as \% of total number of sessions in secondary schools |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 5\% |  |  |  | <=7\% | A | A | Annually @ end-of-October | The data for this indicator will be available at the end of October 2007. |
| 37 | HCS |  | No. of 11-15 year olds volunteering | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | No baseline |  |  |  | Baseline to be established through Youth Survey | A | n/a | Annually @ end-of-January | Because of the need to establish a baseline this indicator should not have been judged in the last report. 2007 Youth Survey results will be available in September and a baseline should be established by November. |
| 38 | HCS |  | \% of young people that feel that they can influence decisions affecting important local services |  | $\checkmark$ |  | No baseline |  |  |  | Baseline to be established through Youth Survey | A | n/a | Annually @ end-of-January | Because of the need to establish a baseline this indicator should not have been judged in the last report. 2007 Youth Survey results will be available in September and a baseline should be established by November. |
| 41 | HCS |  | No. of young people looked after in 17th year in educ, training or employment at age 19 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 14/14 |  | Templates |  | 46 (cumulative) | A | A | Annually @ end-of-March | Performance is good and the initiatives set in place will continue to support care leavers into suitable EET. Good liaison and data sharing between Connexions, Education of Looked After Children Service (ELSS) and After-care. Connexions targets client group and ensures young people are followed up and supported into EET. A framework of opportunities for young people has been developed which encompasses work experience through to apprenticeships and graduate employment. The offer to young people who are or have been looked after will be a priority and will include a guaranteed interview scheme. Connexions attend review meetings where invited. |
| 84 | HCS |  | The percentage annual increase in the number of schools with an approved school travel plan (STP) |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 54\% |  |  |  | =>68\% | A | A | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 85 | HCS |  | Conception rate below age 18 (per 1,000 girls age 15-17) |  | $\checkmark$ |  | -15.70\% |  |  |  | <--19\% | A | A | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 91 | HC |  | \% of schools that have a functioning school council |  |  |  | No baseline |  |  |  | 100\% | A | A | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| Sustain thriving communities, including by securing more efficient, effective   <br> 14 HCS No. of people accepted as homeless \& towards whom the council <br> has a full statutory duty |  |  |  |  |  | mer- | ocused serv |  | eets, tack |  | ss and effective em | cy | anni |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 149 | 16 | 35 | 81 | < $=160$ | G | A | Monthly | Figures are cumulative. Current performance suggests that achievement of target will be marginal. |


| 54 | erence |  | Indicator <br> \% of streets and public areas falling below Grade B for cleanliness (litter) | Herefordshire Community Strategy (HCS) |  |  | Baseline <br> 17\% | ${ }_{2}^{\text {त }}$ | 亏 $21 \%$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ © © © <br> 16\% | Target <=15\% |  |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Commentary (where relevant) <br> Although performance has fallen compared with last year's outturn, performance is ahead of the same period last year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | HC |  | Proportion of relevant land and highways from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible |  |  |  | 2\% |  | 2\% | 1\% | <=2\% | G | G | Monthly |  |
| 93 | HC |  | Proportion of land and highways from which unacceptable levels of fly-posting are visible |  |  |  | 1\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | <=1\% | G | G | Monthly |  |
| 94 | HC |  | Grade for the year-on-year reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with 'fly-tipping' (Grading 1 - very effective; 2 effective; 3-good; 4 - poor) |  |  |  | Grading 1 (very effective) |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | G | R | Monthly | The number of fly-tipping incidents has increased since May, following the introduction of permits at household waste amenity sites. Performance against this indicator has now levelled-off, but is not anticipated to improve above level 3 in the current financial year. |
| 96 | HC |  | \% of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point where the Council is legally entitled to remove the vehicle |  |  |  | 99\% |  |  | 94.74\% | 100\% | A | R | Monthly | Performance fell during the summer. One team deals with both abandoned vehicles and fly-tipping; handling a significant, and initially unexpected, increase in fly-tipping incidents resulted in a reduction in the response times for abandoned vehicles. Arrangements have now been put in place to provide back-up for the team and performance has improved in recent months; however, as the target is 100\% this will not be achieved this year. |
| 59 | HCS | c | \% of respondents finding it easy to access: Library | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 69\% | Templates |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 59 | HCS | d | \% of respondents finding it easy to access: Sports/leisure centre | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 64\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 59 | HCS | e | \% of respondents finding it easy to access: Cultural/recreational facility e.g. theatre, cinema | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 47\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 60 | HCS | a | Net perceived improvement rating over the last 3 years for QoL factors (adults): Activities for teenagers | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | -32\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 60 | HCS | b | Net perceived improvement rating over the last 3 years for QoL factors (adults): Affordable decent housing | $\checkmark$ |  |  | -50\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 60 | HCS | c | Net perceived improvement rating over the last 3 years for QoL factors (adults): Job prospects | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | -42\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 60 | HCS | e | Net perceived improvement rating over the last 3 years for QoL factors (adults): Level of traffic congestion | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | -77\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 60 | HCS | $f$ | Net perceived improvement rating over the last 3 years for QoL factors (adults): Wage levels and local cost of living | $\checkmark$ |  |  | -56\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \text { improvement by } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | A | Annually at end-of-January |  |
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|  | erence | e | Indicator <br> Adult perceptions of improvement in EDUCATION PROVISION |  |  |  | Baseline 15\% | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{ }$ | 仓̀ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{0}} \\ \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 $\frac{2}{3}$ $\stackrel{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ <br> n/a | April-September <br> n/a | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually at end-of-January | Commentary (where relevant) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | HC | h | Adult perceptions of improvement in PUBLIC TRANSPORT |  |  |  | -24\% |  |  |  |  | n/a | n/a | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 97 | HC | i | Adult perceptions of improvement in RACE RELATIONS |  |  |  | -13\% |  | racker indicator | rs, to be repo | ed at year-end | n/a | n/a | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 97 | HC | j | Adult perceptions of improvement in ROAD AND PAVEMENT REPAIRS |  |  |  | -51\% |  |  |  |  | n/a | n/a | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| 97 | HC |  | Adult perceptions of improvement in THE LEVEL OF POLLUTION |  |  |  | -42\% |  |  |  |  | n/a | n/a | Annually at end-of-January |  |
| Reshape adult social care to enable vulnerable adults to live independently and to enable many more older people to continue to live in their own homes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | HCS |  | No. of people aged 65+helped to live at home (per 1,000 population) |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 81.1 |  |  | $\qquad$ | =>83 | G | G | Monthly | Unverified figures, when compared to the same period last year, suggest that the target will be achieved. |
| 74 | HC | a | No. of adults with learning difficulties helped to live at home (per 1,000 population) |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | =>3 | G | G | Monthly | Unverified figures, when compared to the same period last year, suggest that the target will be achieved. |
| 74 | HC | b | No. of adults with mental health difficulties helped to live at home (per 1,000 population) |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { (forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | =>4.4 | G | G | Monthly | Unverified figures, when compared to the same period last year, suggest that the target will be achieved. |
| 74 | HC | c | No. of adults with physical difficulties helped to live at home (per 1,000 population) |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | =>6 | G | G | Monthly | Unverified figures, when compared to the same period last year, suggest that the target will be achieved. |
| 17 | HCS |  | Satisfaction with homecare services provided through Social Care via direct payments (65+) |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 58\% | Templates |  |  | =>66\% in 2009 survey | A | A | Next survey due in 2009 |  |
| 18 | HCS | a | No. of people in receipt of Pension Credit | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 7,596 |  |  |  | =>8,138 | G | G | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 18 | HCS | b | No. of people in receipt of Attendance Allowance | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 5,874 |  |  |  | =>6,702 | G | G | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 18 | HCS | c | No. of people in receipt of Council Tax Benefit aged 60 or over** | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 6,862 |  |  |  | =>8,061 | A | A | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| Protect the environment, producing much less waste, recycling much more of what remains and reducing carbon emissions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | HCS | a | Amount of household waste collected per person per annum | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 505.82 kg |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 127.26 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \text { (June) } \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 219.33 \mathrm{~kg} \\ \text { (August) } \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ | < 505 kg | A | A | Monthly |  |
| 56 | HCS | b | \% of household waste going to landfill |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 72.85\% |  | $73.68 \%$ (June) (cumulative) | $70.85 \%$ (August) (cumulative) | < $=68.5 \%$ | A | G | Monthly |  |
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|  | Reference Number |  | Indicator |  |  |  | Baseline | $\sum_{\sum}^{\vec{\pi}}$ | 仓̀ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\varpi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 글 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overline{2}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting | Commentary (where relevant) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARTNER LEAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Herefordshire |  |  | Infrastructure Consortium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | HCS | a | No. of calls to the Herefordshire Women's Aid Helpline |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 860 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | 104 (cumulative) | 149 <br> (June) <br> (cumulative) |  | =>654 | A |  | Monthly |  |
| LEARINING \& |  |  | SKILLS COUNCIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | HCS | a | \% of working age population qualified to at least Level 4 (\% of working age population with higher level qualifications) \% of working age population qualified to at least Level 3 (\% of working age population with higher level qualifications) | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 26\% (2005) (2005) $(205 \%$ $\qquad$ |  | racker indicato | be re | ar-end | n/a |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 4 | HCS | b | No. of residents (19+) achieving Level 2 qualification in manufacturing \& engineering |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | =>57 | A |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 4 | HCS | c | No. of residents (19+) achieving Level 2 qualification (excl. manufacturing \& engineering) |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,247 \\ (2005-06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | =>1,872 | A |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 4 | HCS | d | No. of residents (19+) achieving Level 3 qualification in manufacturing \& engineering |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (2005-06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Templates |  | =>44 | A |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 4 | HCS | e | No. of residents (19+) achieving Level 3 qualification (excl. manufacturing \& engineering) |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 855 \\ (2005-06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | =>878 | A |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 5 | HCS |  | Measure of basic skills (Train to Gain) | $\checkmark$ |  |  | No baseline |  |  |  |  | R |  | Annually @ end-of-March | No template completed |
| PRIMARY CARE TRUST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | HCS |  | No. of deaths per annum from chronic diseases (per 100,000) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 169.6 \\ (2003-05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 46 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 183 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} <=174.7 \\ (2005-07) \end{gathered}$ | A |  | Monthly |  |
| 15 | HCS |  | No. of emergency unscheduled hospital bed days occupied by a person 75 and over |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 38,490 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 5796 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 10,303 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ |  | < $=31,222$ | R |  | Monthly | A change in data reporting has adversely affected this target. Discussions are currently underway with Gov Office. |
| 20 | HCS |  | \% of babies born who are breastfed at 6 weeks | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ (2005-06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 32.02\% |  | =>43\% | A |  | Monthly |  |
| 21 | HCS | a | \% of babies born to teenage mothers who are breastfeeding at 6 weeks |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ (2005-06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 13.04\% |  | =>30\% | R |  | Monthly |  |
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| 42 | erence | e | Indicator <br> No. of criminal damage incidents |  | Local Area Agreement (LAA) |  | Baseline $\begin{gathered} 2,690 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | $\sum_{2}^{\text {त }}$ |  |  |  <br> $<=2,101$ |  |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Commentary (where relevant) <br> Criminal damage figures have started to reduce slightly during June and July 2007. Criminal damage awareness posters to be distributed and targeted at schools, and youth centres etc, work by the ASB Support Worker and Marketing Officer. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | HCS | c | No. of all recorded crimes | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 11,535 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,152 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ | 3,199 (June) (cumulative) |  | < $=10,229$ | R |  | Monthly | As this comprises all crime, the increases in other areas has an impact on this target. |
| 45 | HCS |  | No. of violent crimes |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,589 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 541 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ | 847 (June) (cumulative) |  | < $=2,553$ | R |  | Monthly | Violent crime incorporates wounding, harassment, assault on a constable and common assault. Common assaults are currently being analysed between data analysts to ascertain the volume of incidents reported by schools to the Police. There has also been an increase in wounding. An Acting Detective Inspector has been appointed to review all volume crime processes and management. |
| 48 | HCS | a | Measure of Priority and Prolific Offenders Scheme |  | $\checkmark$ |  | No baseline |  |  |  | 15\% reduction | A |  | Quarterly | Quarterly data not yet available |
| 49 | HCS | a | No. of domestic burglaries per 1,000 households |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 372 \\ (2005-06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ | 72 (June) (cumulative) |  | < 600 | G |  | Monthly |  |
| 49 | HCS | b | No. of vehicle crimes per 1,000 population |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} 971 \\ (2005-06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 152 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ | 229 (June) cumulative) |  | <=1086 | G |  | Monthly |  |
| 50 | HCS |  | No. of Class A drug supply offences brought to justice |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 33 \\ (2005-06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ | 3 <br> (June) <br> (cumulative) |  | =>28 | R |  | Monthly | Trends over the past 3 years naturally peak and trough. The figures for Q1 2007/08 was 3, but are expected to increase. |
| 51 | HCS | b | No. of domestic violence incidents reported |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} 462 \\ (2005-06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 176 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ | 281 (June) (cumulative) |  | =>425 | G |  | Monthly |  |
| 51 | HCS | c | No. of arrests for domestic violence offences |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 326 \\ (2005-06) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 88 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{array}$ | 137 (June) cumulative) |  | To be set | A |  | Monthly |  |
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| R | erence umber <br> HCS | dred | Indicator <br> \% of sanction detections for domestic violence |  |  |  | Baseline <br> 67\% <br> (2005-06) | 肴 <br> 49\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{E}{E} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}} \end{aligned}$ $=>55 \%$ | G |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Commentary (where relevant) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | HCS |  | $\%$ of young offenders re-offending (recidivism rates of young offenders in Herefordshire) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 50\% |  |  |  | < $=45 \%$ | A |  | Annually @ end-of-March |  |
| 43 | HCS | a | \% of people thinking speeding traffic is a problem in their area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 70\% |  |  |  | < $=76 \%$ (2008) | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 43 | HCS | b | \% of people thinking vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage is a problem in their area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 52\% |  |  |  | < $=55 \%$ (2008) | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 43 | HCS | c | \% of people thinking people using drugs is a problem in their area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 60\% |  |  |  | < $=56.7 \%$ | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 43 | HCS | d | \% of people thinking people dealing drugs is a problem in their area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 53\% |  |  |  | < $=0.2 \%$ | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 43 | HCS | e | \% of people thinking people being drunk or rowdy in public places is a problem in their area | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 51\% |  |  |  | < $=48 \%$ (2008) | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 43 | HCS | $f$ | Percentage of people with a high level of worry about anti-social behaviour |  | $\checkmark$ |  | No baseline |  |  |  | To be set | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | a | \% of residents worried about house burglary | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 30\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | b | \% of residents worried about vandalism or damage to property | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 28\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | c | \% of residents worried about drunk people causing a problem | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 21\% |  | plates |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | d | \% of residents worried about their car being broke into | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 18\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | e | \% of residents worried about having their car stolen | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 12\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | $f$ | \% of residents worried about being harassed whilst in a public place | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 12\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | g | \% of residents worried about hate crime | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 3\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | h | \% of residents worried about being assaulted in a public place | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 9\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS | i | \% of residents worried about being mugged or robbed | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 11\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 44 | HCS |  | \% of residents worried about crime (other than a-i above) | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 3\% |  |  |  | 4\% over 3 years | A |  | Annually @ end-of-January |  |
| 48 | HCS | b | Number of adults re-offending |  |  |  | No baseline |  |  |  | To be set | R |  | Annually @ end-of-March | No template |
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| BVPI | CPA | PAF | Indicator | Good Performance | Baseline | sot | 304 |  | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic \& Community Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 |  |  | Domestic burglaries per 1,000 households | Low | 4.2 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1.8 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Monthly | 4.2 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 127a |  |  | Violent crime per 1,000 population | Low | 15.1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ \text { (June) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 8.7 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Monthly | 14.7 | $\nabla$ |  |
| 127b |  |  | Robberies per 1,000 population | Low | 0.4 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 (cumulative) | Monthly | 0.4 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 128 |  |  | The number of vehicle crimes per 1,000 population. | Low | 5.1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 5.1 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 225 |  |  | Actions against domestic violence - The percentage of questions from a checklist to which a local authority can answer 'yes' | High | 81.80\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 81.8 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 72.70\% | Monthly | 81.80\% | $\nabla$ |  |
| 178 | C1 |  | The percentage of the total length of rights of way in the local authority area that are easy to use by the general public | High | 48\% | 31\% |  |  | Bi-annually <br> @ end-of- <br>  <br> November | 50\% | $\nabla$ | The result for the year is collected annually through 2 surveys - one in May and the other in November. This is a random survey of $5 \%$ of the network, measured in the two parts of $2.5 \%$, with the average result put together to create the annual return. We have a large network of public rights of ways and the random survey, which is computerised, will pick up a range of paths including the ones which are least use. If we survey our popular and promoted routs we will get a $100 \%$ return for access. The May result is $31 \%$, and the November survey is unlikely be able to pull the result up to our target of $50 \%$. The service has been identified by Cultural Services inspection conduced by the Audit Commission as a service that needs additional investment which was raised and acknowledged at the Challenge Sessions. Some remodelling of the service will improve the operation of the service, though the contract to conduct improvements to the PROW network can not be re-negotiated until the full contract comes to an end. |
| 226a |  |  | The total amount spent by the Local Authority on advice and guidance services provided by external organisations | n/a | £195,232 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | £195,232 | n/a |  |
| 226b |  |  | The percentage of monies spent on advice and guidance services provision which was given to organisations holding the CLS Quality Mark at 'General Help' level and above | High | 12\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 12\% | No data |  |
| 226c |  |  | The total amount spent on advice and guidance in the areas of housing, welfare benefits and consumer matters which is provided directly by the Local Authority to the public | n/a | £756,997 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | £756,997 | n/a |  |
| 170a | C2c |  | The number of visits to/usages of Local Authority funded or part-funded museums and galleries per 1,000 population | High | 876 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 880 | No data |  |
| 170b |  |  | The number of those visits to Local Authority funded, or part-funded museums and galleries that were in person, per 1,000 population | High | 689 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 720 | No data |  |
| 170c |  |  | The number of pupils visiting museums and galleries in organised school groups | High | 6491 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6,500 | No data |  |
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| BVPI <br> 220 | CPA | PAF | Indicator <br> Compliance against the Public Library Service Standards (PLSS) | Good Performance <br> High | Baseline <br> 1 | (0) | sot | $50^{8 e^{80}}$ | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually @ end-ofMarch | Target <br> 3 | Direction of Travel <br> No data | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C2a |  | Proportion of households living within a specified distance of a static library (PLSS 1) | High | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ (14 \mathrm{mile}) \\ 66 \% \\ (2 \text { miles }) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 44 \% \\ (1 \text { mile }) \\ 62 \% \\ (2 \text { miles }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | n/a |  |
|  | C2b |  | Aggregate scheduled opening hours per 1,000 population for all libraries (PLSS 2) | High | 115 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 115 | n/a |  |
|  | С3а |  | Percentage of static libraries providing access to electronic information resources connected to the internet (PLSS 3) | High | 100\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 100\% | n/a |  |
|  | С3b |  | Total number of electronic workstations available to users per 10,000 population (PLSS 4) | High | 7 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | =>6 | n/a |  |
|  | C19 |  | Percentage of population that are within 20 minutes travel time (urban areas - by walk; rural areas - by car) of a range of three different sports facility types, of which one has achieved a specified quality assured standard | High | 68.75\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 50\% | n/a |  |
|  | C4 |  | Active borrowers as a percentage of population | High | 17.80\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 20.4\% | n/a |  |
|  | C16 |  | Percentage of 5-16 year olds in school sports partnerships engaged in two hours a week minimum on high quality PE and school sport within and beyond the curriculum | High | 88\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 85\% | n/a |  |
|  | C17 |  | Percentage of adults participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation on three or more days a week | High | 24.37\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 24\% | n/a |  |
|  | C18 |  | Percentage of population volunteering in sport and active recreation for at least one hour per week | High | 6.12\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-of- March | 5\% | n/a |  |
|  | C11a |  | Requests supply time (PLSS 5) - <br> 7 days <br> 15 days <br> 30 days | High | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \% \\ & 70 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 70 \% \\ & 85 \% \end{aligned}$ | n/a |  |
|  | C11b |  | Annual items added through purchase per 1,000 population | High | 148 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 183.6 | n/a |  |
|  | C11c |  | Time taken to replenish the lending stock on open access or available on loan | Low | 5.9 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | <=6.7 | n/a |  |
|  | C14a |  | Public library service standards on satisfaction assessment of users 16 and over of their library service | High | 90\% |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \end{array}$ | 87.42\% | n/a |  |
|  | C15 |  | Museums accreditation - where applicable (this applies to museums that fall under the definition for BVPI 170) | High | 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 | n/a |  |
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| BVPI | CPA | PAF | Indicator | Good Performance | Baseline | 80 | 304 | $5^{208}$ | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adulit Social Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  | AO / 228 | Households receiving intensive home care per 1,000 population aged 65 or over | High | 6.7 |  | $\begin{gathered} >6.7 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >6.7 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 8.1 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 54 |  | AO / С32 | Older people helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 65 or over | High | 81.1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 83 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 56 |  | AO / D54 | Percentage of items of equipment delivered and adaptations made within 7 working days | High | 96\% |  | $\begin{gathered} >96 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >96 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 96\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 195 |  | AO / D55 | Acceptable waiting time for assessment - For new older clients (that is over 65 years of age), the average of: <br> the percentage where the time from first contact to contact with client is less than or equal to 48 hours (that is, 2 calendar days), and the percentage where the time from first contact to completion of assessment is less than or equal to four weeks (that is, 28 calendar days). | High | 83.60\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & \text { (Forecast) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Monthly | 90\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 196 |  | AO / D56 | For new older clients, the percentage for whom the time from completion of assessment to provision of all services in the care package is less than or equal to four weeks | High | 76\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 85\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 201 |  | AO/C51 | Adults and older people receiving direct payments at 31st March per 100,000 population aged 18 or over (age standardised) | High | 82 |  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 100 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO/B11 | The number of households receiving intensive home help/care as a percentage of all adults and older people in residential and nursing care and households receiving intensive home help/care. | High | 18 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} >18 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 22 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO/B12 | Average gross weekly expenditure per person on supporting adults and older people in residential and nursing care and providing intensive home care. | Low | £531.73 |  |  | < $£ 531.73$ (Forecast) | Monthly | < 8500 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO/B17 | Unit cost of home care for adults and older people average gross hourly cost for home help/care. | Low | £17.80 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <£ 17.80 \\ & \text { (Forecast) } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | £15 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO / D37 | The percentage of single adults and older people going into permanent residential and nursing care who were allocated single rooms. | High | 90\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & \text { (Forecast) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 90\% | $\triangle \triangleright$ |  |
|  |  | AO / D39 | Percentage of people receiving a statement of their needs and how they will be met | High | 95\% |  | $100 \%$ (Forecast) | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO / D40 | Adult and older clients receiving a review as a percentage of those receiving a service | High | 76\% |  | $\begin{gathered} >78 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >78 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 78\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO / E82 | Assessments of adults and older people leading to provision of service | High | 82\% |  | $77 \%$ (Forecast) | $\begin{gathered} 77 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 77\% | $\nabla$ |  |
|  |  | AO/C72 | Older people aged 65 or over admitted on a permanent basis in the year to residential or nursing care | Low | 73 |  | $\begin{gathered} <70 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <70 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 70 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO / C73 | Adults aged 18-64 admitted on a permanent basis in the year to residential or nursing care | Low | 1.9 |  | $\begin{gathered} >3 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >3 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 1.5 | $\nabla$ |  |
|  |  | AO/C29 | Adults with physical disabilities helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64. | High | 6 |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 6 | $\triangleleft \triangleright$ |  |
|  |  | AO / С30 | Adults with learning disabilities helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64. | High | 2.9 |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 3 | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | AO / C31 | Adults with mental health problems helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 18-64. | High | 4.1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 4.4 | $\triangle$ |  |
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| BVPI | CPA | PAF AO / C62 | Indicator <br> The number of carers receiving a 'carer's break' or a specific carers' service as a percentage of clients receiving community based services | Good Performance <br> High | Baseline <br> 10.20\% | sot | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{s u t}^{\prime \prime} \\ >10.5 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline s^{80^{200^{0}}} \\ >10.5 \% \\ \text { (Forecast) } \end{gathered}$ | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Target <br> 12\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategic Housing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183b | H15 |  | The average length of stay in hostel accommodation of households that are unintentionally homeless and in priority need | Low | 26 weeks |  | $\begin{gathered} 23 \text { weeks } \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 36 weeks | Monthly | 6 | $\nabla$ | The year-end forecast is 47 weeks. <br> Action is being taken to address the historical impact of this target. By the team taking action to secure settled accommodation for homeless people the outturn increases, because households are only counted towards this indicator at the point at which they are rehoused. It is not clear id the position will improve in 08/09 as economic / housing market conditions may impact on housing stress. |
| 202 |  |  | The number of people sleeping rough on a single night within the area of the authority | Low | 0 | Survey | scheduled | be underta | en this year | <3 | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is 0 . <br> This survey is not scheduled to be undertaken this year so last year's outturn figure should be maintained |
| 213 |  |  | The number of households who considered themselves as homeless, who approached the local authority's housing advice service(s), and for whom housing advice casework intervention resolved their situation, per 1,000 households | High | 3.12 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.87 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 1.45 | Monthly | 4 | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is 4 |
| 64 | H23 |  | Number of non-local authority-owned vacant dwellings returned to occupation or demolished during the financial year as a direct result of action by the local authority | High | 52 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 83 | Monthly | 55 | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is 110 |
|  | H18 |  | Percentage of total private sector homes vacant for more than 6 months | Low | 0\% |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \end{array}$ | 0\% | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { CHILDRENS SERVICES }}{\text { Be Healthy }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1041YJ | The referral of juveniles manifesting mental health difficulties to Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services | High | 91.2\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ | Quarter 2 data available 6 weeks after period end |
|  |  | 1042YJ | Substance Misuse: the proportion of young people with identified substance misuse needs who receive specialist assessment within 5 working days and, following the assessment, access the early intervention and treatment services they require within 10 working days (Substance Misuse Assessment) | High | 100\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ | Quarter 2 outturn will not be available until early November although it looks likely that $100 \%$ will be achieved |
|  |  | 1040NT | Proportion of those in substance misuse treatment who are aged less than 18 | n/a | 24\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Quarterly | Not set | n/a | Quarter 2 data available 6 weeks after period end |
| 197 |  | 1047SC | Percentage change in number of conceptions amongst 15-17 year olds | Low | -15.7\% |  |  |  | Annually @ March | -19\% | No data | The target is unlikely to be met because we began at a very Iow baseline, and still have one of the lowest rates in the West Midlands. Progress is monitored in identified hotspots, with the usual caution around small numbers. However, South Wye remains of concern and a number of initiatives are in place there, including the Infozone at the High school which has an evolved 4 us clinic. Overall, a county-wide action plan is nearing completion to be ratified by the Sexual Wellbeing and Health Network (SWAHN) in November. |
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| BVPI | CPA | $$ | Indicator <br> The average of the percentages of children looked after who had been looked after continuously for at least 12 months, and who had their teeth checked by a dentist during the previous 12 months and had an annual health assessment during the previous 12 months | Good Performance $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=80<=100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<80 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=60<70 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=50<60 \\ 1 \text { BLOB }=0<50 \end{gathered}$ | Baseline $90 \%$ | sot | 304 | $9^{e^{e^{20}}}$ | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually @ end-ofOctober | Target 93\% | Direction of Travel <br> No data | Commentary <br> This is a priority for Herefordshire children and with the appointment of the LAC Nurse this will ensure an up-turn in performance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1043SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { A70 } \end{aligned}$ | Councils' self assessment of progress on four elements of the implementation of the CAMHS framework | $\begin{aligned} 5 \mathrm{BLOB} & =15-16 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB} & =12-14 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB} & =9-11 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB} & =6-8 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB} & =4-5 \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 15 | No data | Progress towards a comprehensive CAMHS is based on a self-assessment against 4 elements, each element is scored on a scale 1 to 4 (where 4 is best). This self-assessment is undertaken at the end of the financial year and data will be available in March 2008. A comprehensive CAMHS strategy is in place and is subject to review currently. Additional outreach worker posts are being recruited. |
| Staying Safe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2043SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | The percentage of Looked After Children at 31 March with three or more placements during the last financial year | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<16.01 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=16.01<20 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=20<=100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8.90\% |  | $9 \%$ (June) | 7\% | Quarterly | 8\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2028 \mathrm{SC} / \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { A3 } \end{aligned}$ | The percentage of children who became the subject of a child protection plan, or were registered, during the year, and were the subject of a child protection plan, or were registered, at 31 March, who had been previously registered. | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=10<15 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=8<10 \text { or } 15<17.21 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=6<8 \text { or } 17.21<20 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=3<6 \text { or } 20<24 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<3 \text { or } 24<=100 \end{gathered}$ | 14.50\% |  | 15.8\% (June) | 18.80\% | Quarterly | 15\% | $\nabla$ | Action is in place for monthly monitoring through multi agency core groups so the recommendations regarding deregistration are reached through robust assessments and at a multi agency level |
| 162 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2034 S C ~ / ~ \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { C20 } \end{aligned}$ | The percentage of child protection cases which were reviewed regularly as a percentage of those cases that should have been reviewed during the year | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=97.5<100 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=95<97.5 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=92.5<95 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<92.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 100\% |  | $100 \%$ (June) | 100\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2036SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { C21 } \end{aligned}$ | The \% of children de-registered from the Child Protection Register during the year who had been on the Register continuously for two years or more | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<10 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=10<15 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=15<20 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=20<=100 \end{gathered}$ | 1.2\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | Quarterly | 5\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 163 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2059SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { C23 } \end{aligned}$ | The number of children who ceased to be looked after during the year as a result of the granting of an adoption or special guardianship order, as a percentage of the number of children looked after at 31st March (excluding unaccompanied asylum seekers) who had been looked after for 6 months or more on that day | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=8<25 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=7<8 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=6<7 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=3<6 \text { or } 25<=100 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<3 \end{gathered}$ | 4.1\% |  | 4.6\% (June) | 6.00\% | Quarterly | 8\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \text { SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { C64 } \end{aligned}$ | The \% of core assessments that were completed within 35 working days of their commencement | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=80<=100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=75<80 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<75 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=60<70 \\ 1 \text { BLOB }=0<60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 61\% |  | 73\% (June) | 78\% | Quarterly | 66\% | $\triangle$ | Performance is continuing to improve and at second quarter is well ahead of target. This reflects the focus at team manager level on ensuring core assessment timescales are adhered to and review assessments are undertaken appropriately. |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2064SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { C68 } \end{aligned}$ | The percentage of children looked after cases which should have been reviewed during the year which were reviewed during the year | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=95<=100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=90<95 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=85<90 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=80<85 \\ 1 \text { BLOB }=0<80 \end{gathered}$ | 97\% |  | 98\% (June) | 99\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ | We continue to have a " 5 blob" rating which is the highest category possible. The direction of travel is to increase the current statistical performance through current monitoring by the Independent Reviewing Officers and the auditing system that is in place within the Planning Audit \& Review Team. |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2067SC / } \\ & \text { PAF CF / } \\ & \text { D74 or } \\ & \text { D78 } \end{aligned}$ | The percentage of children aged under 16 at March 31 who had been looked after continuously for at least 2.5 years, who were living in the same placement for at least 2 years, or are placed for adoption | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<80 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=60<70 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=50<60 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=40<50 \text { or } 80<=100 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<40 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 62.2\% |  | 65.8\% (June) | 67.60\% | Quarterly | 62\% | $\triangle$ |  |


| APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AGAINST LAST YEAR'S PERFORMANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BVPI | CPA | PAF <br>  <br> 2068 / <br> PAF $\mathrm{CF} /$ <br> B79 | Indicator <br> Of children aged at least 10 and under 16 looked after at 31 March (excluding those placed with parents) the percentage who were in foster placements or placed for adoption | Good Performance $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=85<90 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=80<85 \text { or } 90<95 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<80 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=55<70 \text { or } 85<=100 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<55 \end{gathered}$ | Baseline <br> 77.90\% | st | $s^{4 y}$ <br> 78.4\% <br> (June) | $\begin{gathered} S^{2 e^{20}}+0_{0}^{0_{0}^{\alpha}} \\ 80 \% \end{gathered}$ | Frequency of Reporting <br> Quarterly | Target 80\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
|  |  | 2054SC / DIS 1111 | Percentage of looked after children fostered by relatives or friends | High | 19.5\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 16.70\% | Quarterly | 20\% | $\nabla$ |  |
|  |  | 2060SC / DIS 1114 | Percentage of looked after children with a named social worker who is qualified as a social worker | High | 100\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 99 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 99\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\nabla$ | This target is under pressure currently because of the shortage of experienced social work staff in post. The recruitment and retention strategy continues to address this, and the individual looked after young people receive a service from the teams, under interim arrangements managed by the team managers and kept under review through the Performance Improvement Group. |
|  |  | 2058SC / DIS 1115 | The percentage of looked after children adopted during the year who were placed for adoption within 12 months of the agency deciding that the child should be placed for adoption | High | 100\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  | 2024SC / DIS 1219 | Percentage of children and young people who are the subject of a child protection plan, or on the child protection register, who are not allocated to a social worker. | Low | 0\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | Quarterly | 0\% | $\triangle$ | Performance is being maintained despite increasing pressure because of the reduction in availability of suitably qualified and experienced social workers. The recruitment and retention strategy continues to address this. |
|  |  | 2020SC / <br> DIS 1704 | Percentage of initial assessments within 7 working days of referral | High | 58.7\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56.9 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 54.70\% | Quarterly | 68\% | $\nabla$ | Performance continues to decline because of the increased workload and insufficient numbers of suitably qualified and experienced social workers able to undertake the work. Practice has been reviewed and revised to ensure that initial assessments are undertaken more quickly and is managed through monthly meetings with PCT and Education staff. Deloitte have been commissioned to audit the processes for initial assessments and to make recommendations for improving performance. This work begins 17/10/07 and will be completed by 26/10/07. |
|  |  | 2023SC / <br> KIGS <br> CH01 | Children and young people who are the subject of a child protection plan, or on the child protection register, per 10,000 population aged under 18. | n/a | 14.8 |  | $\begin{gathered} 13.7 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 15.1 | Quarterly | 17\% | n/a | This is low in relation to the target and national comparative group. The indicator is addressed at a Performance Improvement Group to ensure the development of thresholds are identified and kept under review |
|  |  | 2019SC / <br> KIGS <br> CHO2 | Initial Child protection conferences per 10,000 population under 18 | n/a | 23.9 |  | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 21 | Quarterly | 29 | n/a | This is low in relation to the target and national comparative group. The indicator is addressed at a Performance Improvement Group to ensure the development of thresholds are identified and kept under review |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2027SC / } \\ & \text { KIGS } \\ & \text { CH03 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Children who became the subject of a child protection plan, or were registered, per 10,000 population aged under 18. | n/a | 20.4 |  | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 17.2 | Quarterly | 21 | n/a | This target is addressed in a performance clinic to ensure that we remain vigilant around the thresholds in relation to Section 47 investigation |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2035SC / } \\ & \text { KIGS } \\ & \text { CH10 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Children whose child protection plans were discontinued, or were de-registered, per 10,000 population aged under 18. | Low | 22.3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 21.8 | Quarterly | 20 | $\triangle$ | The plans for de-registration are agreed at a child protection case conference following the completion of a child protection plan and multi agency decision making. |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 2042SC / } \\ & \text { KIGS } \\ & \text { CH39 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Children looked after per 10,000 population aged under 18 | n/a | 45.4 |  | $\begin{gathered} 44.9 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 45.4 | Quarterly | 45 | n/a |  |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS

| BVPI | CPA | PAF $2052 \mathrm{SC} / \mathrm{K}$ IGS CH44 | Indicator <br> Percentage of children looked after in residential accommodation | Good Performance <br> Low | Baseline $12.60 \%$ | 20t | $\begin{gathered} s^{v t h} \\ 13.5 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Quarterly | Target 12\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary <br> The growth of children in the residential sector represents a challenge to the Authority. As a Authority we must consider using family-base care as a serious option for these children. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015SC / <br> KIGS <br> CH141 | Numbers of referrals of children per 10,000 population | n/a | 266 |  | $\begin{gathered} 248 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 242 | Quarterly | 280 | n/a | Performance on this indicator has levelled off at slightly below target. The relationship with the percentage of referrals designated as "no further action" and percentage repeat referrals requires further examination. Early indicators suggested that threshold criteria are better understood by other agencies but this is not borne out by current figures. The audit of initial assessments will assist in assessing understanding and decision-making regarding threshold criteria. |
|  |  | 2016SC / <br> KIGS <br> CH142 | Percentage of referrals that are repeat referrals within 12 months | Low | 20.3\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 20.90\% | Quarterly | 18\% | $\nabla$ | Performance on this indicator is closely related to CH 141 (number of referrals) and CH143 (referrals leading to initial assessments). Further work will be decided following audit of initial assessments. |
|  |  | 2017SC / <br> KIGS <br> CH143 | Percentage of referrals of children in need that led to initial assessments | n/a | 66\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 64.5 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 59.60\% | Quarterly | 65\% | n/a | There has been a dip in performance, the reasons for which are complex, and dependent on the inter-relationship of a number of factors, including the level of understanding of threshold criteria, impact of the CAF pilot and the ongoing work of the Child Concern Model. Further work to be decided after audit on initial assessments. |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2021SC / } \\ & \text { KIGS } \\ & \text { CH145 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number of core assessments of children in need per 10,000 population aged under 18 | n/a | 51.6 |  | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 59.4 | Quarterly | 50 | n/a |  |
|  |  | 2039SC | The ratio of the proportion of children subject to a child protection plan, or on the child protection register, that were from minority ethnic groups to the proportion of children in the local population that were from minority ethnic groups. | n/a | 1.25 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 1.23 | Quarterly | 2 | n/a |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2029SC / } \\ & \text { KIGS } \\ & \text { CH04 } \end{aligned}$ | First time registrations on the child protection register as a percentage of total registrations during the year ended 31st march | High | 85.50\% |  | 84.2\% (June) | 81.30\% | Quarterly | 85\% | $\nabla$ | Action is in place for monthly monitoring through multi agency core groups so that recommendations regarding deregistrations are reached through robust assessments and a multi agency level in order to prevent re-registrations. This is monitored through the Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Board |
|  |  | 2037SC / <br> KIGS <br> CH12 | Percentage of children who were subject to $\$ 47$ enquiries which led to initial child protection conferences which were held within 15 working days | High | 18\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 35.90\% | Quarterly | >18\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| Enjoy \& Achieve |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  | Percentage of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving five or more GCSEs at grades $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ - C or equivalent | High | 61.80\% |  |  | 63\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 66\% | $\triangle$ | Unvalidated results for 2007 exams now available. There has been an improvement by $1 \%$ in line with national figures. Particular success story was Wyebridge which saw a $19 \%$ improvement in $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}$ - C from $33 \%$ to $52 \%$. |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS
DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AGAINST LAST YEAR'S PERFORMANCE

| BVPI $39$ | CPA | PAF | Indicator <br> Percentage of 15 year old pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving 5 or more GCSEs or equivalent at grades $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{G}$ including English and Maths | Good Performance <br> High | Baseline <br> 92.80\% | $00^{20}$ | 304 | 94.30\% | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | Target 96\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 |  |  | Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 4 or above in the Key Stage 2 Mathematics test | High | 75.50\% |  |  | 77\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 82\% | $\triangle$ | Maths results have improved by $2 \%$ at Level $4+$ overall and are now in line with the national performance at $77 \%$, and broadly in line with slightly below statistical neighbours. Boys outperform girls at both levels in this subject. Girls' performance at level 4 and 5 will be a focus for 2007/8. Boys' performance below Level 3 has improved and is now in the upper quartile for year on year change, but the performance of all pupils taken together puts us in the lower quartile at this Level. (Please note that these are unvalidated results) |
| 41 |  |  | Percentage of pupils in schools maintained by the local education authority achieving Level 4 or above in the Key Stage 2 English test | High | 80.60\% |  |  | 81\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 83\% | $\triangle$ | English has improved at Level 4+ to $81 \%$ and is above the national average ( $80 \%$ ). Boys' English results have improved by $4 \%$ and are now above the national results; girls remain above the national. We are slightly above Statistical Neighbours this year at $81 \%$ compared to 80.7 for SN. Girls continue to outperform boys at both Level 4 and 5 . <br> Performance at below Level 3 is in the upper quartile for both boys and girls in reading and writing for year on year change. Reading has improved and is up by $2 \%$ and above the national figures. At Level $4+$ boys are improving at a particularly fast rate and are up $5 \%$ on last year and girls' performance remains above the national. Writing is up 3\% overall and is $1 \%$ above the national. Boys' writing performance has improved at Level 4+ by 7\%. Girls' performance is slightly down on last year and has not improved at the rate of the boys' performance but is still above the national. (Please note that these are unvalidated results) |
| 43a |  |  | Percentage of proposed statements of special educational need issued by the authority in a financial year and prepared within 18 weeks excluding exceptions under the Education (Special Educational Needs) (England) (Consolidation) Regulations 2001 and set out in Annex A of the SEN Code of Practice | High | 100.00\% |  | $100 \%$ <br> (June) | 100\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 43b |  |  | Percentage of proposed statements of special educational need issued by the authority in a financial year and prepared within 18 weeks including 'exceptions' set out in the Education (Special Educational Needs) (England) (Consolidation) Regulations 2001 and reproduced in Annex A of the SEN Code of Practice | High | 100.00\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | Quarterly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
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| BVPI 181a | CPA | PAF | Indicator <br> The percentage of 14 year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in English | Good Performance <br> High | Baseline $76.50 \%$ | sot | 304 |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | Target 83\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary <br> Significant improvement in results at Level $5+(5 \%)$, now $7 \%$ above national. Big improvement in boys results, closing the gender gap. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181b |  |  | The percentage of 14 year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in Mathematics | High | 79.80\% |  |  | 79.20\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 83\% | $\nabla$ | There has been a $1 \%$ drop, mirroring the drop in this area nationally. Action is underway to drive improvement in this area. |
| 181c |  |  | The percentage of 14 year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in Science | High | 77.50\% |  |  | 78.00\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 84\% | $\triangle$ | There has been a $1 \%$ increase, which is in line with national figures. |
| 181d |  |  | The percentage of 14 year old pupils in schools maintained by the Local Education Authority achieving Level 5 or above in the Key Stage 3 test in ICT | High | 74.00\% |  |  | 76.50\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 84\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 194a |  |  | The percentage of 11 year old pupils achieving Level 5 in Key Stage 2 test in English | High | 31\% |  |  | 35\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 33\% | $\triangle$ | At Level 5 , there is a $4 \%$ improvement overall: boys have improved by $1 \%$ and are now $2 \%$ above the national; girls have improved by $2 \%$ and are now $4 \%$ above the national. Level 5 performances have improved by $5 \%$ for boys and $2 \%$ for girls and are above the national figures, and in the upper quartile for year on year change. (Please note that these are unvalidated results) |
| 194b |  |  | The percentage of 11 year old pupils achieving Level 5 in Key Stage 2 test in Mathematics | High | 30.20\% |  |  | 34\% | Annually (unvalidated in September; final results in January) | 35\% | $\triangle$ | Boys' performance at level 5 is $2 \%$ above the national and girls show a 4\% improvement, both are above the national and in the upper quartile for year on year change. (Please note that these are unvalidated results) |
|  |  | 3085SC / PAF CF / C69 | The percentage of children newly looked after in the year, and still looked after at 31 March, who were placed at 31 March more than 20 miles from their home address from which first placed | Low | 14\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | Quarterly | 12\% | $\triangle$ | All children newly looked after are placed within 20 miles of their home. |
|  |  | 3080YJ | Education, Training and Employment - Proportion of supervised juveniles in full time ETE | High | 62.4\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65.2 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Quarterly | 90\% | $\triangle$ | Quarter 2 data available 6 weeks after period end |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS

| BVPI | CPA | $$ | Indicator <br> Percentage of children looked after continuously for at least 12 months, of compulsory school age, who missed at least 25 days schooling for any reason during the previous school year | Good Performance $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<5 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=5<10 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=10<15 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=15<20 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=20+ \end{gathered}$ | Baseline <br> 10.5\% | sot | 304 |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Annually @ end-ofOctober | Target <br> 9\% | Direction of Travel <br> No data | Commentary <br> The prognosis for this year's outturn is not good. Again around 12 children are currently in the missed more than 25 days cohort. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3071SC / | The percentage of children looked after who were pupils in year 11 who were eligible for GCSE (or equivalent) examinations who sat at least one GCSE or equivalent exam | High | 84.6\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofOctober | 85\% | No data | This year we have an unusually high number of children whose statement of needs mean that they will not be able to sit for GCSE |
| 45 |  |  | Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in secondary schools maintained by the local education authority | Low | 7.90\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-of-May 2008 | 6\% | No data | Unaudited data for all Councils indicates that Herefordshire remains in the average band for performance compared nationally. Two schools are now designated as PA (Persistent Absenteeism) from the previous 3, and a range of work is being undertaken to address the specific areas where improvement is needed. |
| 46 |  |  | Percentage of half days missed due to total absence in primary schools maintained by the local education authority | Low | 5.67\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of-May } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4\% | No data |  |
| 50 |  | 3072SC / PAF CF / A2 | The percentage of young people leaving care aged 16 or over with at least one GCSE at Grade A* - G or a GNVQ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=50<70 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=45<50 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=25<45 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<25 \end{gathered}$ | 76.50\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 90\% | No data | The data for the indicator will be available in March 2008. This year we do not anticipate doing quite as well as last year. However, we do expect 4 young people to meet the $5 A^{*}$-C target. Regular school visits monitor progression and targeted support is deployed where needed. |
|  |  | 3073SC / <br> DIS 1403 | The percentage of young people leaving care aged 16 or over with 5 or more GCSEs at grade $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ or a GNVQ | High | 5.90\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 10\% | No data |  |
| Make a positive contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4016SC / PAF CF / C63 | The number of children and young people who communicated their views specifically for each of their statutory reviews as a percentage of the number of children and young people who had been looked after at 31 March for more than four weeks | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=95<=100 \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=90<95 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=80<90 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=70<80 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<70 \end{gathered}$ | 95\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 96\% | Quarterly | 97\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 221a |  |  | Youth Work - The percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining a recorded outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the Local Authority area | High | 58.2\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 35.1 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.2 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Quarterly | 60\% | $\nabla$ | We are below both national and local targets although trend is up. Performance in this area has been delayed due to long delays by an external organisation in completing validation of students' work. |
| 221b |  |  | Youth Work - The percentage of young people aged 13-19 gaining an accredited outcome compared to the percentage of young people in the Local Authority area | High | 33.4\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.6 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Quarterly | 30\% | $\nabla$ | We are below both national and local targets although trend is up. Performance in this area has been delayed due to long delays by an external organisation in completing validation of students' work. |
|  |  | 4015SC / PAF CF / C18 | The percentage of children aged 10 or over who had been looked after continuously for at least 12 months, who were given a final warning/reprimand or convicted during the year for an offence committed whilst they were looked after, expressed as a ratio of the percentage of all children aged 10 or over given a final warning/reprimand or convicted for an offence in the police force area | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=1<3 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<1 \text { or } 3+ \end{gathered}$ | 2.5 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { October } \end{gathered}$ | 2.5 | No data |  |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS

| BVPI | CPA | PAF | Indicator | Good Performance | Baseline | sot | $30{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achieve economic well-being |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5026SC | What percentage of children with disabilities aged 14+ had a transition plan to support their move from Children's Services to Adult Services? | High | up to $90 \%$ |  | 66.67\% up to $75 \%$ (June) | $\begin{aligned} & 66.67 \% \\ & \text { up to } 75 \% \end{aligned}$ | Quarterly | up to $90 \%$ | $\nabla$ | Since the last round of reporting, 5 young people have moved on into adult services, all of which had transition plans in place. One transition plan is not in place for a 17 year old because, so far, the family has not given consent for other agencies to be contacted. All other 17 year olds have transition planning in place. Young people who are LAC and are under 17 years old have transition plans as part of the review process. We have no additional young people reaching the $14+$ age group this quarter. Therefore, the figure has reduced. |
| 161 |  | 5022SC / PAF CF / A4 | The ratio of the percentage of those young people who were looked after on 1st April in their 17th year (aged 16), who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19 to the percentage of young people in the population who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19 | $\begin{aligned} 5 \mathrm{BLOB} & =0.6+ \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB} & =0.5<0.6 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB} & =0.4<0.5 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB} & =0<0.4 \end{aligned}$ | 1.25 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-of- <br> March 2008 | 0.99 | No data | Performance is good and work is in train to sustain this performance. Work opportunities through the Council and local employers are being developed. Links to local colleges and prompt action to address individual cases, where necessary, is in place. |
|  |  | 5037SC | Percentage of care leavers at age 19 who are living in suitable accommodation (as judged by the council) | High | 100\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | No data | Performance is good and work is continuing to develop the range of accommodation available further. |
| Service Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6024SC / <br> PAF CF / B8 | Average gross weekly expenditure per looked after child in foster care or in a children's home. | Low | £735 |  |  | £767 | Quarterly | £619 | $\nabla$ | The cost of children looked after continues to rise given the increased numbers of children in residential and agency placements. As a result, it is unlikely that the target for 07/08 will be achieved. |
|  |  | 6010SC / PAF CF / <br> E44 | Gross expenditure on children in need but not looked after, as a percentage of gross expenditure on all children's services | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=32<43 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=27<32 \text { or } 43<48 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=0<27 \text { or } 48<=100 \end{gathered}$ | 29\% |  |  | 29\% | Quarterly | 35\% | $\triangleleft \square$ | There has been no increase in the budget for this area and expenditure is currently being limited to the 2006/2007 outturn figure. |
|  |  | 6044SC / PAF MR / D74 | Practice learning: The number of assessed social work practice learning days per whole time equivalent social worker for employees working in children's services | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{BLOB}=17+ \\ 4 \mathrm{BLOB}=11<17 \\ 3 \mathrm{BLOB}=5<11 \\ 2 \mathrm{BLOB}=(>0)<5 \\ 1 \mathrm{BLOB}=0 \end{gathered}$ | 17.2 |  |  | 10 | Bi -annually <br> @ end-of- <br> September <br> \& end-of- <br> March | 14 | $\nabla$ | There has been a low demand from universities for placements in children's services in the County, and the unavailability of an appropriate placement in Safeguarding and Assessment for one student who went into private, independent or voluntary adult setting instead. We will direct the New Year requests into children's practice areas in order to reach the target. We are currently awaiting written approval for a project to establish placements for students in the Children's Centres to increase range and number of practice learning opportunities on offer. |
|  |  | 6011SC/ DIS 3118 | Percentage of SSD directly employed staff for children that left during the year | n/a | 13.8\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16.19 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 11\% | Quarterly | 11\% | $\nabla$ | 11 directly employee staff members left between 1st October 2006 and 30th September 2007. 7 Social Workers, 1 Team Manager, 1 Aftercare Worker, 2 Family Centre Workers. Reasons for leaving were associated to a variety of different reasons; 2 Social Workers left in Fostering, 1 by reason of retirement, the other to set up a private fostering business. 1 Social Worker left in Children with Disabilities Team, who left the profession altogether. 3 Social Workers left across the Children and Families Teams; 1 left to continue with studies, 2 relocated to other parts of the Country. 1 Social Worker left in Referral \& Assessment Team to take up a post in a neighbouring Authority. 1 Team Manager left by reason of retirement. |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS

| BVPI | CPA |  | Indicator <br> Percentage of SSD directly employed posts for children and families vacant on 30 September | Good Performance <br> n/a | Baseline <br> $11.3 \%$ | sot |  | 7.43\% | Frequency of Reporting <br> Quarterly | Target <br> 6.80\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary <br> Total vacancies as at 30th September 2007 are 7.6. $1 \times$ CAMHS Social Worker $1 \times$ Fostering Social Worker, 1 x Referral \& Assessment Social Worker, 1 Children and Families Social Worker, Children with Disabilities Team Social Worker, $1 \times$ Assistant Team Manager Fostering, $1 \times$ Assistant Team Manager - Referral \& Assessment (Temporary Maternity Cover), 1 Family Support Worker. Recruitment continues for permanent Social Workers; interview taking place for Referral \& Assessment Social Worker and Children and Families post. Fostering posts (ATM and SW) have been advertised, interviews to take place shortly. Discussions underway on how to fill the 0.6 vacancy in CWD Team. Temporary ATM post in R\&A advertised. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6013SC / DIS 3120 | \% of working days/shifts lost to sickness absence during the financial year for Social Services staff working with children \& families | Low | 3.75\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.74 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 4.37\% | Quarterly | 4\% | $\triangle$ | \% of days/shifts lost equates to 1523.86 days lost across Children's Social Services. 931.35 (61\%) days relates to long term absence, which is absence over 4 weeks or more. Of the 11 long-term cases 1 has left on ill-health retirement grounds, 1 is leaving shortly on ill-health retirement grounds, 3 have left the authority, 2 are on maternity leave, 2 cases relate to post op recovery, 1 has returned to work, and 1 is still currently absent. Of the 1523.86 days, $35 \%$ relates to Stress \& anxiety, followed by Viral Infections (16.3\%). Other reasons for absence include Headaches, back, Stomach, chest \& respiratory, post op etc |
|  |  | 6015SC / DIS3121 | Percentage of SSD gross current expenditure on staffing for children and families which was spent on training the council's directly employed staff working with children and families during the financial year | n/a | 3.10\% |  |  | 4.11\% | Quarterly | 3.25\% | n/a | Although we run NVQ courses throughout the year, we also run foundation degrees, scholarships and sponsored students. Because of this, we have to pay course fees and replacement time in September and October. Therefore, the expenditure by Learning and development was higher in the 6th and 7th month of the financial year |
|  |  | 6006SC / <br> KIGS <br> EX61 | Gross expenditure on services to children per capita aged under 18 | n/a | £356 |  |  | £370 | Quarterly | £319 | n/a | This indicator will be affected by the increased expenditure on children looked after, particularly the rising numbers of children in residential and Agency placements. As a result, it is unlikely that the target for 07/08 will be achieved. |
|  |  | 6045SC / <br> KIGS <br> EX66 | Gross expenditure on adoption service per capita aged under 18 | n/a | £10.50 |  |  | £10 | Quarterly | £20 | n/a | Placements of adoptive children have generated very little expenditure so far this year due to placements being made on an exchange basis or at a reduced consortium cost. There are a number of children waiting to be matched so costs could increase in the second half of year. |
|  |  | 6009SC / KIGS EX 77 | Expenditure on family support services per capita aged under 18 | n/a | £35 |  |  | £36 | Quarterly | £29 | n/a | It is anticipated that the expenditure this year will mirror the outturn for 2006/07, given that there has been no increase in the budget and the current overall overspend position. The 2007/08 target is, therefore, unlikely to be achieved. |
|  |  | 6022SC / KIGS EX 62 | Gross expenditure on children looked after per capita aged under 18 | n/a | £164 |  |  | £170 | Quarterly | Not set | n/a | The increase in expenditure is due to the increased numbers of looked after children particularly in residential and agency placements |
|  |  | 6020SC / KIGS <br> ST03 | Social Services Department (SSD) operational staff working specifically for children's services (WTEs) per 10,000 population aged 0-17 | n/a | 23.74 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27.39 \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | 27.5 | Quarterly | Not set | n/a | Increased numbers of Assistant Team Managers, Team Managers, Social Workers and Senior Prac post |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS

| BVPI | CPA | PAF <br>  <br>  <br> 6021SC / <br> KIGS <br> ST12 | Indicator <br> Social workers and care managers specifically for children (WTEs) per 10,000 population aged 0-17 | Good Performance <br> n/a | Baseline $15.4$ | 20) | $\begin{gathered} s^{\Delta t} \\ \\ 16.89 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Quarterly | Target <br> Not set | Direction of Travel <br> n/a | Commentary <br> Increase in Team Manager, 2 Assistant Team Managers and 1 Senior Prac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6004SC / <br> KIGS <br> EX12 | Percentage of (PSS) actual expenditure on provision for children and families | n/a | 20.59\% |  |  |  | Quarterly | 22.70\% | n/a | This indicator requires an adult component to be calcualted which has not yet been completed. Data will be provided for inclusion in the next report. |
| 222a |  |  | The percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or partfunded by the Local Authority with a qualification at Level 4 or above | High | 29.24\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 34.90\% | No data | Data will continue to be collected via annual audit of settings until we are able to put into practice a method of alternative collation (via Mentors/Dev Team etc.) All Early Years Leaders in the PV Sector continue to be offered support from EYES to include Information, Advice and Training opportunities which allow them to access courses leading to further relevant qualifications. The Foundation degree courses are continuing supported through EYES, and Transformation funding is available to support the employment of graduate level leaders |
| 222b |  |  | The percentage of leaders of integrated early education and childcare settings funded or partfunded by the Local Authority which have input from staff with graduate or post graduate training in teaching or child development | High | 100\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 100\% | No data | All early years leaders continue to have input from staff with graduate or post-graduate training in teaching or child development. Data will continue to be collected via annual audit of settings until we are able to put into practice a method of alternative collation (via Mentors/Dev Team etc.) |
|  |  | 6017SC/ DIS 3124 | The percentage of social workers and residential managers working with children who need to obtain the child care PQ who have achieved the PQ1 award in child care | n/a | 58.10\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | Not set | n/a | PQ1 becomes the Consolidation Unit of the P.Q. Specialist Award. Intake to academic Programmes will begin in September. The new programme of development for Newly Qualified Social Workers will prepare them for entry to this in the future. |
|  |  | 6043SC / DIS 3125 | The estimated \% of current staff working with children in need who are skilled and qualified as at 31 Mar as in the VCl audit | High | 100\% |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 100\% | No data | All staff working with children will be recruited with the appropriate qualifications I.e. Social Work degree \& GSCC registration. A number of social workers are also completing post qualifying awards. Data available March 2008 |
|  |  | 6005SC / KIGS BU01PSS | PSS budget for children and families per population aged under 18 | n/a | £321 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | £313 | n/a |  |
|  |  | 6003SC / KIGS BU07 | Total Personal Social Services (PSS) budget per capita | n/a | £280 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | £287 | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CORPORATE AND CUSTOMER SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a |  |  | The level of the Equality Standard for local government to which the Authority conforms in respect of gender, race and disability | High | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Monthly | 3 | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is 3 |
| 2 b |  |  | The quality of an Authority's Race Equality Scheme (RES) and the improvements resulting from its application | High | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | Monthly | 79\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 174 |  |  | The number of racial incidents reported to the local authority, and subsequently recorded, per 100,000 population | n/a | 28.33 |  |  | 12.22 | Monthly | 57 | n/a |  |
| 175 |  | H19 | The percentage of racial incidents reported to the local authority that resulted in further action | High | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | Monthly | 100\% | $\triangle$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| BVPI | CPA | PAF | Indicator | Good Performance | Baseline | $80^{2}$ | 304 |  | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82a i |  |  | The percentage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the Local Authority for recycling | High | 18.71\% |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18.02\% } \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | 19.42\% (August) | Monthly | 23.97\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 82a ii |  |  | Tonnage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the Local Authority for recycling | High | 16,921.66 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4,100.41 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (June) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 7,61745 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (August) } \end{array}$ | Monthly | 22,444.11 | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 82 b i |  |  | The percentage of household waste sent by the Local Authority for composting or treatment by anaerobic digestion | High | 7.36\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.73 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 6.85\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 82 b ii |  |  | The tonnage of household waste sent by the Local Authority for composting or treatment by anaerobic digestion | High | 6,657.02 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,888.49 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,814.63 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 6,413.94 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 82 ci |  |  | The percentage of the total tonnage of household waste arisings which have been used to recover heat, power and other energy sources | High | 1.08\% |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 1.05\% | $\nabla$ |  |
| 82 cii |  |  | The tonnage of household waste arisings which have been used to recover heat, power and other energy sources | High | 974.5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 983.16 | $\nabla$ |  |
| 82d i |  |  | The percentage of household waste arisings which have been landfilled | Low | 72.85\% |  | $73.68 \%$ (June) | 70.85\% (August) | Monthly | 68.50\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 82d ii | E6 |  | The tonnage of household waste arisings which have been landfilled | High | 65,887.51 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 16,764.62 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (June) } \end{array}$ | 27,995.47 cumulative (August) | Monthly | 63,792.96 | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
|  |  |  | Recycling and composting performance (BVPI 82a i + BVPI 82b i) | High | 26.07\% | $\begin{gathered} 26.32 \% \\ \text { (June) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 29.15 \% \\ & \text { (August) } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | 30.82\% | n/a |  |
| 84a | E26 |  | The number of kilograms of household waste collected per head of the population | Low | 505.82 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 127.26 \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 219.33 \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 505.00 | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 84b |  |  | The percentage change from the previous financial year in the number of kilograms of household waste collected per head of the population | Low | -3.04\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & -6.52 \% \\ & \text { (June) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.42 \% \\ & \text { (August) } \end{aligned}$ | Monthly | -0.15\% | n/a |  |
| 91a |  |  | The percentage of households resident in the Local Authority's area served by a kerbside collection of recyclables | High | 68\% |  | 70\% |  | Quarterly | 70\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 91b | E45 |  | The percentage of households resident in the Local Authority's area served by a kerbside collection of at least 2 recyclables | High | 68\% |  | 70\% |  | Quarterly | 70\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 99a i |  |  | The number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions (in 2006) | Low | 147 |  |  |  |  | <134 | $\triangle$ | This BVPI uses data from 2006. The number of KSIs in 2006 was 119. |
| 99 aii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the previous year | Low | 4\% | Perfor $2006 .$ | mance for thes Outturn is now will be used in | e indicators is known (see in the Direction | in respect of commentary), of Travel | -28\% | n/a |  |
| 99a iii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average | Low | -41\% |  |  | ement. |  | -46\% | n/a |  |



| BVPI | CPA <br> E12 | PAF | Indicator <br> The number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions (in 2007) | Good Performance <br> Low | $\begin{gathered} \text { Baseline } \\ \\ \\ 119 \\ (2006) \end{gathered}$ | 20) | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Target <130 | Direction of Travel <br> n/a | Commentary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99b i |  |  | The number of children (aged under 16 years) killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions (in 2006) | Low | 13 |  |  |  |  | <15 | $\triangle$ | This BVPI uses data from 2006. The number of KSIs of children in 2006 was 10. |
| 99 b ii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the previous year | Low | 63\% |  |  |  |  | -7\% | n/a |  |
| 99 b iii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of children killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average | Low | -41\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Perfor } \\ & 2006 . \end{aligned}$ | nce for these tturn is now be used | indicators is known (see | in respect of commentary), | -30\% | n/a |  |
| 99c i |  |  | The number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions (in 2006) | Low | 732 |  | jud | ment. |  | <788 | $\triangle$ | This BVPI uses data from 2006. The number of slight injuries in 2006 was 663. |
| 99c ii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions since the previous year | Low | -6\% |  |  |  |  | 5\% | n/a |  |
| 99c iii |  |  | The percentage change in the number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average | Low | 2\% |  |  |  |  | 10\% | n/a |  |
|  | E40 |  | The number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions (in 2007) | Low | $\begin{gathered} 663 \\ (2006) \end{gathered}$ |  | 194 (cumulative) | $\begin{array}{\|c} 464 \\ \text { (cumulative) } \\ \text { (June) } \end{array}$ | Monthly | <772 | n/a |  |
| 100 |  |  | Number of days of temporary traffic controls, or road closure, on traffic sensitive roads, caused by road works, per km of traffic sensitive road | Low | 0 |  | 0 |  | Quarterly | 0 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 109a |  |  | The percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks | High | 75\% |  | 59\% | 61\% | Monthly | 76\% | $\triangleleft \square$ | Despite current performance falling below last year's outturn, expectations are that last year's performance may still be equalled |
| 109b |  |  | The percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks | High | 84\% |  | 78\% | 80\% | Monthly | 85\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 109c |  |  | The percentage of 'other' applications determined within 8 weeks | High | 92\% |  | 91\% | 91\% | Monthly | 93\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
|  | E2 |  | The percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks (to-end-of-June) | High | $\begin{gathered} 63.89 \% \\ \text { (June 2006) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Annually @end-ofJune 2008 | 60\% | n/a |  |
|  | E2 |  | The percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks (to-end-of-June) | High | $77.11 \%$ (June 2006) |  |  |  | Annually @end-ofJune 2008 | 65\% | n/a |  |
|  | E2 |  | The percentage of 'other' applications determined within 8 weeks (to-end-of-June) | High | $\begin{gathered} 85.84 \% \\ \text { (June 2006) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Annually @end-ofJune 2008 | 80\% | n/a |  |
| 165 | E16 |  | The percentage of pedestrian crossings with facilities for disabled people, as a proportion of all crossings in the Local Authority area | High | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | 92\% | Monthly | 100\% | $\triangleleft \triangleright$ |  |
| 166a | E21 |  | Score against a checklist of best practice for Environmental Health | High | 98.75\% |  |  |  | Annually @end-ofMarch | 100\% | No data |  |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS
DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AGAINST LAST YEAR'S PERFORMANCE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BVPI } \\ & \text { 199a } \end{aligned}$ | CPA | PAF | Indicator <br> The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) that is expressed as having combined deposits of litter and detritus that fall below an acceptable level | Good Performance <br> Low | Baseline $17 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & s^{u t} \\ & 21 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5^{2 e^{20}}+0^{0^{2}} \\ 16 \% \end{gathered}$ | Frequency of Reporting <br> Monthly | Target 15\% | Direction of Travel | Commentary <br> The year-end forecast is $15 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E4 |  | Cleanliness of public places (litter and detritus) | Low | 5\% |  | 0\% |  | Quarterly | < $=20 \%$ | n/a | This relates to primary retail / commercial land use |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 12\% |  | 8\% |  | Quarterly | <=20\% |  | This relates to secondary retail / commercial land use |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 17\% |  | 18\% |  | Quarterly | <=20\% |  | This relates to high density housing |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 21\% |  | 35\% |  | Quarterly | <=30\% |  | This relates to low density social housing |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 10\% |  | 17\% |  | Quarterly | <=20\% |  | This relates to low density private housing |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 23\% |  | 20\% |  | Quarterly | <=30\% |  | This relates to industry / warehouse / retail sheds |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 20\% |  | 14\% |  | Quarterly | < $=20 \%$ |  | This relates to main roads |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 21\% |  | 14\% |  | Quarterly | <=30\% |  | This relates to rural roads |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 29\% |  | 29\% |  | Quarterly | <=30\% |  | This relates to other highways |
|  |  |  |  | Low | 14\% |  | 0\% |  | Quarterly | < $=20 \%$ |  | This relates to recreation areas |
| 199b |  |  | The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible | Low | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | Monthly | 2\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 199c |  |  | The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of fly-posting are visible | Low | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | Monthly | 1\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 199d |  |  | The year-on-year reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in the total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with 'fly-tipping' | Low | Grading 1 (Very Effective) | 1 | 1 | 3 | Monthly | 1 | $\triangle$ | Following the introduction of the permit scheme at household waste sites there has been a significant increase in the number of incidents of fly-tipping. <br> The score of 3 is predicted to remain for the year. |
| 204 | E42 |  | The number of planning appeal decisions allowed against the authority's decision to refuse on planning applications, as a percentage of the total number of planning appeals against refusals of planning applications | Low | 22\% |  | 30\% | 32\% | Monthly | 21\% | $\nabla$ |  |
| 205 | E43 |  | The local authority's score against a 'quality of planning services' checklist | High | 94\% |  | 94\% | 94\% | Monthly | 94\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 215a |  |  | The average number of days taken to repair a street lighting fault, which is under the control of the Local Authority | Low | 8.78 |  | 2.79 | 8.43 | Monthly | 5 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 215b |  |  | The average time taken to repair a street lighting fault, where response time is under the control of a Distribution Network Operator (DNO) | Low | 57.16 |  | 0 | 22 | Monthly | 30 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 218a |  |  | The percentage of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hours of notification | High | 98\% |  |  | 89.16\% | Monthly | 100\% | $\nabla$ |  |
| 218b |  |  | The percentage of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point at which the Local Authority is legally entitled to remove the vehicle | High | 99\% |  |  | 94.74\% | Monthly | 100\% | $\nabla$ | Performance fell during the summer. One team deals with both abandoned vehicles and fly-tipping; handling a significant, and initially unexpected, increase in fly-tipping incidents resulted in a reduction in the response times for abandoned vehicles. Arrangements have now been put in place to provide back-up for the team and performance has improved in recent months; however, as the target is $100 \%$ this will not be achieved this year. |
| 219b |  |  | Percentage of conservation areas in the local authority area with an up-to-date character appraisal | High | 12.50\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | Monthly | 26.56\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 223 |  |  | Percentage of the local authority principal road network where structural maintenance should be considered | Low | 14\% |  |  |  | Bi-annually November \& March | 5\% | No data | Survey work has been brought forward to September / |



| BVPI | CPA | PAF | Indicator | Good Performance | Baseline | 50t | $3)^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel | Commentary <br> Vulunei su mat ilivie milely use vali ve mave ui ule uala. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 224a |  |  | Percentage of the non-principal classified road network where maintenance should be considered | Low | 21\% |  |  |  | November \& March | 19\% | No data | The current highway maintenance plan is being delivered, but was affected by the recent flooding. The impact of flooding on the network has been assessed and details submitted |
| 224b | E11 |  | Percentage of the unclassified road network where structural maintenance should be considered | Low | 24.28\% |  |  |  | Bi-annually November \& March | 19\% | No data | under the Bellwin Scheme. |
|  | E32 |  | Trading standards, visits to high risk premises | High | 85.7 |  |  | 17 | Monthly | 95 | n/a | Performance is typical for this period of the year. |
|  | E33 |  | Trading standards, levels of business compliance, high- <br> medium- <br> and low-risk premises | High | $\begin{aligned} & 83.5 \% \\ & 85.3 \% \\ & 87.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 98 \% \\ 83 \% \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92\% } \\ & 93 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | n/a | Performance is typical for this period of the year. |
| 86 |  |  | Cost of household waste collection per household | Low | £47.03 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | £52.13 | No data |  |
| 87 |  |  | Cost of waste disposal per tonne of municipal waste | Low | £79.09 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually }^{\text {end-of- }} \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | £82.33 | No data |  |
| 102 |  |  | Number of local bus passenger journeys originating in the authority area undertaken each year | High | 3,433,043 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3,948,000 | No data |  |
| 106 | E23 |  | Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land | High | 76.70\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 60\% | No data |  |
| 187 | E18 |  | Percentage of the category 1, 1a and 2 footway network where structural maintenance should be considered | Low | 31\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 27.50\% | No data |  |
| 200a |  |  | Did the Local Planning Authority submit the Local Development Scheme (LDS) by 28 March 2006 and thereafter maintain a 3 -year rolling programme? | n/a | Yes |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | Yes | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is Yes |
| 200b | E44 |  | Has the Local Planning Authority met the milestones that the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) sets out? | n/a | Yes |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes | $\triangle$ | The year-end forecast is Yes |
| 216a |  |  | The number of 'sites of potential concern' [within the Local Authority area], with respect to land contamination | n/a | 2,896 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \end{gathered}$ | 5,841 | n/a |  |
| 216b |  |  | The number of sites for which sufficient detailed information is available to decide whether remediation of the land is necessary, as a percentage of all 'sites of potential concern' | Low | 0.2 |  |  |  | Annually @ end-ofMarch | 2 | No data |  |
| 217 |  |  | Percentage of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time | High | 96.80\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 96.80\% | No data |  |
|  | E19 |  | Intervention by the Secretary of State under Traffic Management Act powers | n/a | No |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No | n/a |  |
|  | E30 |  | Consumer satisfaction with trading standards service | High | 82.9 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Annually @ } \\ \text { end-of- } \\ \text { March } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 80 | n/a | Surveys are now being conducted quarterly. The first survey has been undertaken, but results are not yet available. |

APPENDIX B - NATIONAL INDICATORS
DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AGAINST LAST YEAR'S PERFORMANCE



| BVPI | CPA | PAF | in their area <br> Indicator <br> \% of people satisfied with the cleanliness standard | Good Performance | Baseline | 20) | 304 |  | Frequency of Reporting | Target | Direction of Travel <br> n/a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | E38 |  |  | High | 66\% | Not measured in 2007-08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90a | E8A |  | The percentage of people satisfied with household waste collection | High | 82\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
| 90b | E8B |  | The percentage of people satisfied with waste recycling | High | 70\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
| 90c | E8C |  | The percentage of people satisfied with waste disposal | High | 86\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
| 103 |  |  | Satisfaction with passenger transport information | High | 48\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
|  | E14 |  | Satisfaction with passenger transport information (that have seen information) | High | 70\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
| 104 |  |  | Satisfaction with bus services | High | 49\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
|  | E15 |  | Satisfaction with bus services (users) | High | 62\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
| 111 | E3 |  | Satisfaction with Planning Service | High | 76\% |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
|  | E1 |  | Progress with local transport plan | n/a | Good |  |  | easured in | 007-08 |  | n/a |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CommentaryThese indicators are included in this report because theoutturn from 2006 (the baseline) will be used in the CPAresults announced in February 2008 and 2009 |
| HUMAN RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | The number of working days/shifts lost to the Authority due to sickness absence | Low | 8.41 | 7.88 | $\begin{gathered} 8.17 \\ \text { (June) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.63 \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 8.00 | $\triangle$ |  |
| 16 |  |  | The percentage of local authority employees with a disability | High | 0.69\% | 0.77\% | 0.77\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.88 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 0.75\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 17a |  |  | The percentage of local authority employees from ethnic minority communities | High | 0.64\% | 0.66\% | 0.66\% | 0.66\% <br> (August) | Monthly | 0.80\% | $\triangle$ |  |
| 11a |  |  | Percentage of top-paid 5\% of staff who are women | High | 38.57\% |  |  |  | Monthly | 42\% | No data |  |
| 11b |  |  | The percentage of the top $5 \%$ of local authority staff who are from an ethnic minority | High | 2.14\% |  |  |  | Monthly | 2.85\% | No data |  |
| 11c |  |  | Percentage of the top paid $5 \%$ of staff who have a disability (excluding those in maintained schools) | High | 0.71\% |  |  |  | Monthly | 1.40\% | No data |  |
| 14 |  |  | The percentage of employees retiring early (excluding ill-health retirements) as a percentage of the total work force | Low | 0.16\% |  |  |  | Monthly | 0.14\% | No data |  |
| 15 |  |  | The percentage of local authority employees retiring on grounds of ill health as a percentage of the total workforce | Low | 0.14\% |  |  |  | Monthly | 0.13\% | No data |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | Percentage of invoices for commercial goods \& services paid by the Authority within 30 days of receipt or within the agreed payment terms | High | 92.67\% | 92.97\% | 93.80\% | 92.66\% <br> (August) | Monthly | 92.67\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 9 |  |  | The percentage of council tax collected by the Authority in the year | High | 98.34\% | 21.31\% | 40.40\% | $\begin{gathered} 49.5 \% \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 98.34\% | $\checkmark \triangleright$ |  |
| 10 |  |  | The percentage of national non-domestic rates collected in-year | High | 98.90\% | 21.89\% | 39.45\% | 54.18\% (August) | Monthly | 98.90\% | $\triangle \square$ |  |
| 76b |  |  | The number of fraud investigators employed by the Local Authority, per 1,000 caseload | n/a | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.26 | $\begin{gathered} 0.26 \\ \text { (August) } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly | 0.28 | n/a |  |

APPENDIX B－NATIONAL INDICATORS
DIRECTION OF TRAVEL AGAINST LAST YEAR＇S PERFORMANCE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | ๕์ | $\triangleleft$ | $\triangleleft$ | $\triangleright$ | $\triangleleft$ | $\stackrel{\Delta}{\nabla}$ | ¢์ | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{D}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { ®i }} \end{aligned}$ |  | ஃ＇ | ¢0융 | ஃ | ミ̊ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 䯧 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 를 } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 管苞 | $\stackrel{\text { 屚 }}{\substack{s}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 捻震 } \end{gathered}$ |  | ஃ䓂 | $\stackrel{\text { 高 }}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8}}$ |  |  |  |
| \％ | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | ๕． | ஃ๐® | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®．}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ |  |
| \％ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  | ๕ |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{4}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | \％¢ |
|  | ¢ | ๕์ | 3 | 3 | 둪 | 둪 | 둪 | ะ | 둪 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 这 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\text { a }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | 융 | ※ٌ | ®® | 尔 | 吝 | 言 | \％ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
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## Summary

1. The following table summarises the 2007/08 projected outturn as of the end of September 2007. It also includes figures from the previous IPR report to permit comparison between current and previously reported figures.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 2007/08 } \\ \text { Budget } \\ £ 000 \end{gathered}$ | July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) Underspending $£ 000$ | September 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> Underspending £000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult \& Community | 44,492 | +3,900 | +4,070 |
| Children \& Young People's | 23,211 | +776 | +783 |
| Corporate \& Customer | 7,907 | +940 | +1,345 |
| Environment | 24,742 | -500 | -357 |
| Central Services | 3,419 | 0 | -120 |
| Resources | 6,227 | -278 | -60 |
| Human Resources | 1,401 | 0 | 0 |
| Directorate Position | 11,399 | 4,838 | 5,661 |
| Flood Damage Repairs | 0 | 0 | 800 |
| Financing Transactions and Invest to Save | 10,972 | -2,302 | -3,202 |
| Net Position | 122,371 | 2,536 | 3,259 |

2. The overall position at the end of September shows a projected $£ 3.26$ million overspend. This is $2.7 \%$ of the Council's $£ 122.37$ million revenue budget (excluding Direct Schools Grant funding).
3. As part of the overall 2007/08 budget there is contingency funding of $£ 1.3 \mathrm{~m}$ available to mitigate Social Care pressures. However the use of the contingency and the level applied to meet the overspend can only be sanctioned after a review of the causes for the overspend and assurances that mitigating action was taken.
4. The projected year-end position includes an estimated $£ 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ underspend against the Spend to Save/Spend to Mitigate allocations in the 2007/08 budget. The majority of the total relates to the $£ 2.7 \mathrm{~m}$ to modernise social care and community services. Work is ongoing to determine the overall level of underspend but as a short term measure $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ is being used to support existing care packages in 2007/08.
5. The summary position also includes an additional $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ for the prior year Local Authority Business Growth Incentive Scheme (LABGI). This is 'windfall' income following a further allocation of 2006/07 funding.
6. The above table includes an estimate for costs not covered by Central Government for the damage caused by the July floods.
7. At this stage of the financial year the projected outturn includes estimated expenditure and the overall projected outturn is of concern given the potential implications for 2008/09 and the poor CSR 07 settlement for local government.

## Revenue Reserves Position as at 31st July 2007

## General Reserves

8. As of 1st April 2007 the General Reserve was $£ 8.1$ million. This includes releasing £100k contribution to the Partnership Fund with the PCT for developing the proposed PST arrangements. The level is above the Council's "policy" of $£ 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ in general reserves but the pressures faced in 2007/08 and the impact of the potential overspend will considerably reduce this position.
9. The Council's Medium Term Financial Management Strategy sets out the approach to managing General Fund balances and Specific Reserves and ensuring a balanced budget. A key message is a move away from a higher level of General Fund balances to specific Reserves to deal with key corporate financial risks. In 2006/07 this was achieved as the general fund balance had been £14.525m at 1st April 2006.

## Earmarked Reserves

10. At 1st April 2007 the Council held $£ 19.8$ million of earmarked reserves. This includes school balances reserves of $£ 8.137 \mathrm{~m}$ that are ring fenced.

## July Floods

11. The heavy rain that affected Herefordshire in July caused significant damage. Financial Services is co-ordinating the gathering of data to support claims for funding from central government.
12. The central government funding process, known as the Bellwin Scheme, sets thresholds and conditions for funding. The threshold of $£ 408,526$ applies to Herefordshire and eligible expenditure over and above this amount is $100 \%$ recoverable. All works for which a claim is to be made, must be completed by 2nd January 2008 with the actual claim submitted before 1st February. One key area of exclusion exists covering items deemed to have been insurable. This is an important definition because even if items are not insured but are viewed as being insurable. Further support is available from the Department of Transport.
13. The overall estimate of damage as of the end of September is approximately $£ 3.75 \mathrm{~m}$. The largest single category covers Highways and Transportation at £2.06m. Within this total is work to repair roads and signs as well as checking and cleansing drainage systems.
14. The flooding badly affected a number of schools in the county with costs estimated at $£ 930 \mathrm{k}$. These are not recoverable under current rules because the risk is viewed as
insurable and in any case we self fund our school premises. The total will be partly covered by self insured funding but there will still be a shortfall even allowing for central government grants specifically for schools related damage.
15. Other funding sources include the European Union Solidarity Fund (EUSF) but as with the Bellwin scheme it does not cover insurable items.

ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DIRECTORATE
Directorate Summary as at 30th September 2007

|  | July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> Underspending <br> $£ 000$ | September 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> Underspending <br> $£ 000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Adult Services | $+3,788$ | $+4,614$ |
| Strategic Housing | +71 | +19 |
| Community Services <br> Commissioning and <br> Improvement | $\underline{+70}$ | +40 |
| Total <br> Less: | $\underline{3,900}$ | -103 |
| Needs analysis <br> monies | $\underline{3,900}$ | $\underline{4,570}$ |
| Total |  | 500 |

## Adult Services

16. The projected outturn for Adult Social Care is an overspend of $£ 4.07$ million on a budget of $£ 44.49 \mathrm{~m}$. This represents $9.1 \%$. These figures are based on updated information from August. Details of the major projected overspends are as follows:

- Learning Disabilities - £2.501million overspend
- Older People - £0.030 million overspend
- Physical Disabilities - £0.751 million overspend
- Mental Health - £1.403 million overspend
- Service Strategy - $£ 0.018$ million overspend

17. In line with national trends, the major budget pressure across all service groups within Adult Social Care is residential and nursing care placements and domiciliary care costs. Since 1st April there has been a net increase of 37 nursing and residential packages.
18. The movement between July and September is largely caused by increased projections for the block contract on older people and the net increase in social care packages in learning disabilities and mental health. In August there was a net increase of nine mental health placements, costing $£ 213 \mathrm{k}$ in the current year. The movement in the projection for older people is significant as underspends in this area in 2006/07 helped offset overspends on mental health, learning and physical
disability services.
19. Invest to save monies covering older people and learning disabilities of $£ 2.7 \mathrm{~m}$ have been ring-fenced to address issues highlighted in the Needs Analysis completed in the autumn of 2006. As this funding will be underspent at the year end, $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ has been used to fund specific short term packages, pending redesign of service provision. Once these packages have ceased, the funding will not be used for further residential care but will revert to its original purpose of supporting the operational change agenda.
20. Considerable effort is being made to bring expenditure back in line with budget, but the directorate indicates changing the model of service delivery will take time. An Adult and Community Services Transformation Programme Board is involved in a range of projects designed to change the way in which services are provided. This centres on moving away from residential care towards more cost effective community based solutions. In addition to focusing on reducing costs, income generation schemes including 'fairer charging' are being implemented and a more stringent assessment process introduced. Supporting People funding has been agreed in principle to identify cases where such funding would be appropriate. A review of all existing clients is being carried out to ensure that income from the PCT is optimised and the appropriate level of care provided.

## Strategic Housing

21. The projected outturn for Strategic Housing has reduced to $£ 19 \mathrm{k}$ to reflect lower than anticipated payments for out of hours service, use of grant funding (previously earmarked for the provision of debt-related advice) and delayed recruitment to a strategy post. The shortage of affordable accommodation remains a key pressure and there is some risk that bed and breakfast costs may rise later in the year.

## Community Services

22. The projected overspend has been reduced to $£ 40 \mathrm{k}$ as a result of a review to address the financial position. The figures assume that the base budget will be adjusted for the effect of Hereford City Council's notcontributing towards parks and countryside services ( $£ 138 \mathrm{k}$ ).

## Commissioning \& Improvement

23. This area is expected to underspend by $£ 103 \mathrm{k}$ because of delayed recruitment following a restructuring and economies derived from carrying out a data review exercise in-house rather than using external resources.

## Efficiency Savings

24. All the Adult Services efficiency savings have been allocated out to client groups.
25. The Community Services efficiency savings were all implemented into the base budget at the start of the year and the required savings are being achieved on an ongoing basis.

## CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S DIRECTORATE

Directorate Summary as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2007

|  | July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> $£ 000$ | September <br> 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> Underspending <br> $£ 000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directorate Central Budgets | -142 | -85 |
| Children's Social Care/Safeguarding <br> and Assessment Services | +918 | +878 |
| Total | +776 | +783 |

26. The projected position as at the end of September is an overspend of $£ 783 \mathrm{k}$ on a budget of $£ 23.211 \mathrm{~m}$. This represents $3.4 \%$ compared to an underspend of $£ 139 \mathrm{k}$ for the final outturn in 2006/07. The increase in spend is mainly due to the full year effect of the increase in external agency placements and to some extent the lack of compensating savings in other central directorate budgets.

## Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG)

27. Most (80\%) of the former Education budget is now funded by Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG). The DSG funds delegated school budgets and central services to schools and pupils. Any under or overspending will be carried forward into the DSG for 2008/09.
28. In the previous financial year DSG underspent by £223k and this was carried forward to the current year. Additionally $£ 416 \mathrm{k}$ extra DSG has been received in 2007/08 from government due to extra pupils and DSG is now expected to underspend in total by $£ 211 \mathrm{k}$. This is offset by a projected overspend of $£ 441 \mathrm{k}$ on Nursery Education Funding to private, voluntary and independent providers arising from an additional week's payment in this financial year ( $+2.7 \%$ ) and a temporary rise in numbers $(+3.5 \%)$. This is offset by a possible underspend in the out county placements budgets of $£ 328 \mathrm{k}$ although one additional placement could reduce this underspend. Schools Forum has considered and agreed an additional distribution to schools of £139k. DSG is ring fenced to be spent on schools and specific services to schools and any under or over spend must be carried forward.

## Directorate Central Budgets

29. The Dedicated Schools Grant does not fund the remaining education services such as strategic management, SEN assessment, asset management and transport. Overall the projected underspend is $£ 142 \mathrm{k}$ resulting from savings on school transport.

## Children's Social Care/ Safeguarding and Assessment Services

30. The Safeguarding and Assessment budgets are projected to overspend by $£ 878 \mathrm{~K}$ in total largely due to the full year effect of the increase in the number of external agency placements ( $£ 529 \mathrm{k}$ ) and increased fostering placements ( $£ 313 \mathrm{k}$ ). External agency placements rose from 24 in April 06 to 37 in July 07, however there has been a small reduction to 35 at the end of September. Fostering placements rose from 102 in April 07 to 105 in June before reducing to 103 at the end of September. The Council has a statutory responsibility to meet the needs of individual children. As each new external placement typically costs in excess of $£ 150 \mathrm{k}$ per annum, there will be a continuing cost pressure in 2008/09 unless placements can be reduced.

## Summary

31. Overall, the Children and Young People’s budget is expected to overspend by £776k. This will continue to be monitored closely throughout the rest of the financial year and steps are being taken to reduce the projected overspend.
32. Redundancy commitments will not be known under February/March 2008 when schools consider their detailed budget plans however the budget was overspent by £285k last year. Continuing pressures arising from falling pupil rolls in schools mean an overspend of a similar order may occur in 2007/08.
33. School Transport route reviews continue to deliver savings with £166k projected this financial year. In addition one off savings of $£ 166 \mathrm{k}$ in School Improvement arising from the transfer from academic year funding through standards fund to the LAA which is on a financial year also provide a contribution.

## Efficiency Savings

34. The efficiency savings required for 2007/08 have been based upon the Invest to Save/Spend to mitigate proposals approved by Cabinet last year and additional transport savings identified from route reviews effective from September 2007.

CORPORATE AND CUSTOMER SERVICES DIRECTORATE
Directorate Summary as at 30 September 2007

|  | ```July 2007 Net over or (-) underspend \(£ 000\)``` | ```September 2007 Net over or (-) underspend £000``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herefordshire Partnership | 0 | 0 |
| Communications | 0 | 0 |
| Director and Administration | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency Planning | 0 | 0 |
| Legal and Democratic Services | 100 | 100 |
| Info. By Phone | 200 | 100 |
| Policy \& Performance | 0 | 0 |
| Information Services | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate ICT Projects | -200 | -427 |
| ICT Trading Account | 600 | 217 |
| Corporate Programmes | 240 | 205 |
| Community Network Costs | 0 | 1,100 |
| Total | 940 | 1,345 |

35. The overall projected overspend of $£ 1.345 \mathrm{~m}$ on the $£ 7.91 \mathrm{~m}$ budget represents $17 \%$.
36. Recent work on the ICT Trading Account has identified further savings through the removal of a number of vacant posts, posts being combined and a number of external contractors having their contracts ended. Income assumptions have been revised to reflect staff and contractors supporting the existing SAP system being recharged to the Herefordshire Connects programme where appropriate. The revised position for ICT assumes that all existing contractual commitments for software and other operating costs have been identified.
37. A zero-based budget approach has allocated costs only to those areas with planned and contractual expenditure (such as Knowledge and Web Management), resulting in budget flexibility of almost $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ being identified within this total. Of this total $£ 160 \mathrm{k}$ has been earmarked for the one-off purchase of the LANdesk system. This was identified as part of the ICT strategy and is essential for the on-going operation of ICT services across the council. The balance of the £600k will be used to mitigate overspends in Corporate Programmes and ICT.
38. This approach leaves little revenue contingency for hardware replacement should faults occur so a more planned, long-term approach to hardware replenishment is being developed.and will need to reflect "whole life" cycle costs.
39. Further work on the Corporate Programmes budget has identified additional savings and where appropriate the re-allocation of SAP support staff to Herefordshire Connects has reduced the cost of this overhead. The Director and Corporate Programme Manager are working on a new model for delivery of the service.
40. Work continues on phase 2 of the Customer Services project. A requirement is that pro rata budget is transferred over from Directorates as customer-facing responsibilities are migrated to the project. There are still a number of staff vacancies, however an overspend in this financial year is currently forecast due to delays in the implementation of the Info by Phone capital scheme.
41. The final outturn position for Customer Services in 2007/08 will depend on the timescale for migrating services and transferring budgets from directorates. Customer Services has until the end of 2008/09 to gather £500k of customer-facing functions from directorates. The Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFMS) is based on the original and agreed profile for migrating services.
42. Legal and Democratic Services has a shortfall in staff budget within Members Services. Over a full year this is in the region of $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$ but the service is currently carrying vacancies which will reduce the shortfall. Members Services operating costs continue to rise to support the increasing level of activity in terms of meetings. The Legal Services budget includes some unachievable income targets, however the projected overspend is likely to come down as work on establishing robust SLAs is developed. As part of the 2008/09 budget setting process the Directorate will need to "cover" the income target.
43. As indicated in the previous IPR the Community Network Upgrade (CNU) was unfunded in 2007/08. This expenditure on this project affecting the whole authority is now included in the projections. The review of budgets has established that the CNU has an annual revenue cost of $£ 1.6 \mathrm{~m}$. However, work is in progress to challenge elements of the contract in order to reduce the cost. However, $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ of the revenue cost will be funded from the Standards Fund within Children's Services but the remainder is still unfunded and represents a significant projected overspend. Assumptions around savings in the old network to offset the cost have yet to be realised because of delays in decommissioning some buildings and connecting to the network. The cost of the CNU is included in the draft MTFMS for 2008/09 onwards.

## ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE

Directorate Summary as at 30th September 2007

|  | July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> $\mathbf{£ 0 0 0}$ | Sept 2007 Net <br> over or (-) <br> underspending <br> £000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Environmental Health and Trading Standards | -500 | -600 |
| Planning | 0 | 143 |
| Highways and Transportation | 0 | 100 |
| Directorate Management \& Support | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | $\mathbf{- 3 5 7}$ |

44. The projected underspend of $£ 357 \mathrm{k}$ on a $£ 24.74 \mathrm{~m}$ budget is $1.4 \%$ of the overall budget.

## Environmental Health and Trading Standards

45. The current projected underspend of $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ relates to the Waste Disposal budget. This projection is mainly based on forecasts from Worcestershire County Council in relation to the joint Waste Disposal contract. In previous years there has been significant underspend and until the new contract variations are agreed this position will continue. However, the cost of the new contract will be considerably higher than at present. The final position will be reflected in the overall revenue account but, as in previous years, any underspend against the contract will need to be earmarked for reserves to meet future waste management pressures.

## Planning

46. There is likely to be an overspend in relation to IT SLA charges in Planning of $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$. In the previous year, on a one-off basis, this pressure was met by Planning Delivery Grant however there is no capacity to do this in the current year.
47. There is also an additional projected overspend of $£ 43 \mathrm{k}$ in relation to a revenue contribution to capital for the purchase of land at Belmont.
48. Although planning fee income is on target for the Period to $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2007, current forecasts based on income patterns in the previous two years, which take seasonal fluctuations into account, indicate a potential shortfall of income of $£ 30-60 \mathrm{k}$ for the year. As fee income levels are difficult to predict, income will be closely monitored.

## Highways \& Transportation

49. Concessionary travel is expected to overspend by $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$. This projection is based on inflationary increases during the year on fares of $10 \%$ and an increase in use of $5 \%$. This assessment is based on increases already seen so far this year.

## Efficiency Savings

50. The efficiency savings have all been taken into account in the Directorate's budget. Action is being taken to ensure the savings are made.

RESOURCES DIRECTORATE
Directorate Summary as at 30th September 2007

|  | July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> £000 | September 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> £000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Central Services | -158 | -120 |
| Asset Mgmt \& Property Services | 0 | 0 |
| Audit Services, Benefit and <br> Exchequer Services and Financial <br> Services <br> Total | -120 | -60 |

51. Under the Resources Directorate the various Central Services budgets are shown. These have a budget of $£ 3.419 \mathrm{~m}$ and are underspending by $£ 120 \mathrm{k}$ ( $3.5 \%$ ). The £60k underspend on Resources Directorate budgets represents $1.5 \%$ of the $£ 6.227 \mathrm{~m}$ budget.

## Corporate Budgets

52. A net underspending of $£ 120 \mathrm{k}$ is expected on Corporate budgets due to the anticipated savings on corporate subscriptions, insurance, audit commission fees and Environment Agency levies.

## Asset Management \& Property Services

53. At this stage of the year there is an estimated break even financial position for the Division.

## Audit Services, Benefit and Exchequer Services and Financial Services

54. There is a projected underspend of $£ 60 \mathrm{k}$, with savings from rent rebates. The overall position includes an assessment of the likely need to use agency staff until key vacancies have been filled.

## Efficiency Savings

55. The efficiency savings have all been taken into account in the Directorate's budget. Action is being taken to ensure the savings are made.

## HUMAN RESOURCES

Directorate Summary as at 30th September 2007

| July 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> $£ 000$ | September 2007 <br> Net over or (-) <br> underspending <br> £000 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |

## Human Resources

56. At this stage of the year there is an estimated break even on the $£ 1.401 \mathrm{~m}$ budget.

## Efficiency Savings

57. The efficiency savings have all been taken into account in the Directorate's budget. Action is being taken to ensure the savings are made.

## 2007/08 CAPITAL PROGRAMME BUDGET MONITORING

## Summary

1. The capital programme forecast for $2007 / 08$ as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September totals $£ 61,602,000$, which is a decrease of $£ 832,000$ from the original capital programme forecast. The decrease represents a reduction in the North Hereford children's centre and Ledbury library capital schemes forecast spend for the year. A summary of the overall position is provided in table D1 with details of schemes with a revised forecast spend for the year exceeding $£ 500,000$ provided in table D3.
2. Spend to date represents $22 \%$ of the revised forecast partly explained by flood scheme works delaying other programmed capital works. A summary of the expenditure for each service area is set out in table D2.
3. A summary of the Prudential Borrowing position for 2007/08 is set out below.

| 2007/08 Original Prudential | Borrowing |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Allocation |  | $£ 16,995,000$ |
| Add: Subsequent Allocation |  | $£ 90,000$ |
| Add: Slippage from 2006/07 |  | $£ 16,288,000$ |
| Less: Slippage into future years | $(£ 15,667,000)$ |  |
| $\quad$ No longer required | $(£ 1,078,000)$ |  |
| $\quad$ Funded by available SCE(R) | $(£ 125,000)$ | $(£ 16,870,000)$ |
| Forecast use of Prudential Borrowing in 2007/08 |  | $£ 16,503,000$ |

Capital Receipts Reserves Position as at $30^{\text {th }}$ September 2007
4. The capital receipts reserve currently totals $£ 22,426,000$, which will be used to fund $£ 9,791,000$ of expected capital expenditure in 2007/08. The remaining balance will be used to fund future years' capital programme including affordable housing, Rotherwas Futures and the cattle market project.

## CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S DIRECTORATE

5. The North Hereford Children's Centre funding has partly been carried forward into 2008/09 ( $£ 500,000$ ); the grant funding has also been carried forward.

## CORPORATE AND CUSTOMER SERVICES DIRECTORATE

6. Prudential borrowing previously identified as required to fund network enhancement costs has been removed because capital work was completed in September. The prudential borrowing allocation $(£ 691,000)$ has been returned to the corporate pot.
7. Herefordshire Connects forecast represents the allocations in the revised financial model but this is subject to further revision as the project progresses.

## ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE

8. Included in this year's projection is an expenditure forecast of $£ 7,372,000$ towards the cost of the Rotherwas Access Road. This is being funded through AWM grant ( $£ 5,000,000$ ), LTP funding allocation ( $£ 500,000$ ), with the balance being funded through a combination of prudential borrowing $(£ 876,000)$ and capital receipts from land sales prior to investment $(£ 996,000)$.
9. Other increases from the original forecast to date represent the Hereford flood defence works funded through a S106 contribution and an increase in LTP funding allocation of $£ 338,000$.

## ADULT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DIRECTORATE

10. Ledbury library failed to attract lottery funding for the original project to develop the Ledbury Youth centre as a combined library/info/youth centre. The associated prudential borrowing funding allocation of $£ 375,000$ has therefore been removed. A bid for locating the library into the Master's House is expected. Under current financial rules the $£ 375,000$ cannot be transferred to another project hence its removal.

TABLE D1
FUNDING OF REVISED 2007/08 CAPITAL PROGRAMME

| Capital Programme Area | 2007/08 <br> Revised <br> Forecast 30/09/07 | SCE(R) | Prudential <br> Borrowing | Grant | Revenue Contribution | Capital <br> Receipts Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Children \& Young People's Services | 11,485 | 2,163 | 2,275 | 4,052 | - | 2,995 |
| Resources | 1,856 | - | 265 | 1,541 | - | 50 |
| Corporate and Customer Services | 8,997 | - | 8,997 | - | - | - |
| Environment Services | 28,931 | 7,582 | 3,461 | 15,914 | 54 | 1,921 |
| Adult and Community Services | 10,333 | 218 | 1,505 | 3,784 | - | 4,826 |
| Total Revised Forecast | 61,602 | 9,963 | 16,503 | 25,291 | 54 | 9,791 |
| July Forecast | 62,433 | 9,963 | 15,926 | 25,781 | 54 | 10,709 |
| Change from July | (831) | - | 577 | (490) |  | (918) |


| Reported to date |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Original Budget | 65,462 | 9,963 | 28,256 | 18,358 | 170 | 8,715 |
| July 2007 Forecast | 62,433 | 9,963 | 15,926 | 25,781 | 54 | 10,709 |
| Sept 2007 Forecast | $\mathbf{6 1 , 6 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 9 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 5 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 , 2 9 1}$ | 54 | $\mathbf{9 , 7 9 1}$ |

TABLE D2
CAPITAL EXPENDITURE BY PROGRAMME AREA

|  | Outturn | Original <br> Budget | Revised <br> 2007/08 <br> Forecast <br> as at <br> $\mathbf{3 0 / 0 9 / 0 7}$ | Actual <br> spend <br> at <br> an/09/07 | Actual <br> spend as <br> a \% the <br> revised <br> forecast | Committed <br> Spend as a <br> \% of the <br> revised <br> forecast |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programme area | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ |
|  | $£ £^{\prime 000}$ | $£ \mathbf{£}^{\prime 000}$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Children \& Young <br> People's Services | 9,008 | 11,420 | 11,485 | 3,129 | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Resources | 2,369 | 2,334 | 1,856 | 285 | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Corporate \& Customer <br> Services | 2,584 | 20,406 | 8,997 | 468 | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Environment Services | 13,197 | 19,206 | 28,931 | 7,008 | $24 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| Adult \& Community <br> Services | 12,567 | 12,096 | 10,333 | 2,499 | $24 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Total | 39,725 | 65,462 | $\mathbf{6 1 , 6 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |

## TABLE D3

Schemes with a forecast spend exceeding £500k in 2007-08

| Scheme Detail By Directorate | Original <br> 2007/08 <br> Forecast | Revised <br> 2007/08 <br> Forecast <br> as at <br> $\mathbf{3 1 / 0 7 / 0 7}$ | Revised <br> 2007/08 <br> Forecast <br> as at <br> $\mathbf{3 0 / 0 9 / 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People's <br> Services |  |  |  | Comments |
| Sutton Primary Replacement <br> School | 2,144 | 2,144 | 2,144 | Scheme running six weeks behind <br> schedule however no funding issues <br> reported to date |
| Riverside Amalgamation | 4,540 | 4,540 | 4,540 | Scheme in progress |
| Resources |  |  | 1,389 | 1,389 |
| Property Purchase |  |  | Grant funded purchase under Edgar St |  |
| Grid development |  |  |  |  |







# INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF ICT AND THE COUNCIL'S FINANCIAL AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS 

## PORTFOLIO RESPONSIBILITY: CORPORATE STRATEGY \& FINANCE

CABINET
15TH NOVEMBER 2007

## Wards Affected

County-wide

## Purpose

The purpose of this report is to outline to Cabinet the content and to consider the outcomes and recommendations of the Independent Review into ICT Services carried out by Mr Ian Crookall, who was nominated by the Chief Executive of the Local Government Association.

## Key Decision

This is not a Key Decision

## Recommendations

THAT (a) the Independent Review report is accepted and the recommendations endorsed; and
(b) the action plan in response to the Independent Review report be endorsed as set out in Appendix 2;

## Reasons

The Cabinet at its meeting of the 20th September 2007 received the Special Investigation Report dated 20th September 2007 from the Director of Resources in respect of the Financial Governance in ICT and Customer Services. The Cabinet resolved to commission an independent review to be carried out by a person nominated by the Local Government Association and for the Head of Legal and Democratic Services to agree the Terms of Reference together with the Leader of the Council.

## Considerations

1. Mr Ian Crookall, previously Chief Executive of Buckinghamshire County Council, was nominated by the Local Government Association and he agreed his Terms of Reference with the Head of Legal and Democratic Services which were finalised on the 15th October 2007 with the Leader of the Council and the Chair of Audit and Corporate Governance Committee
2. The Terms of Reference allowed for Mr Crookall to review and request any additional support to carry out the independent review. Mr Crookall was supported
during part of the review by a former Section 151 Officer in relation to some aspects of the review as well as officers of the Council
3. The Report is attached at Appendix 1.

## Financial Considerations

The full cost of the independent review is likely to be in the region of $£ 10,000.00$
There will be ongoing costs in respect of the recommendations from the report in commissioning further work from other providers and additional reports from officers as set out in the action plan at Appendix 2.

## Risk Management

There are legal and probity risks for the Council in not endorsing the recommendations of the review report. If the Council does not have robust and workable processes in place to support officers and members then future contractual arrangements will be called into doubt and may be subject to legal challenge.

## Alternative Options

Not to accept the recommendations of the review report is an option but would place the Council at significant risk as set out above.

There is no alternative option but to accept and endorse the review report.

## Appendices

Appendix 1 Independent review report of Mr lan Crookall dated 9th November 2007.
Appendix 2 Action Plan responding to the recommendations set out in the review report.

## Background Papers

None identified

## INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF

## HEREFORDSHIRE COUNCIL'S ICT FINANCIAL AND

## CONTRACTUAL GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS

## Preface

This report attempts to give an overview of events which have taken place from 2003 to date as the Council has updated its Information and Communication Technology (ICT). The areas I have been asked to cover are extensive;

1. The events cover a period of some 4 years.
2. Specialist areas such as technological procurement and the financing of major projects have been considered.
3. This report gives a broad overview describing and placing important events in context focussing on key issues but not neglecting important detail.
4. Where appropriate individual behaviour and conduct has been assessed and evaluated.
I have been working to a tight timescale to deliver an early report.
In particular, I have acted both as an external reviewer and through the eyes of someone with considerable experience in and knowledge of local government management and culture. I have attempted to ignore the benefits of hindsight and concentrated on viewing events as they were at the time through the experience of those involved.

I have not attempted to re-write or repeat previous reports. I have drawn on them and the notes which have been taken of my interviews with those closely involved. I have presented events and data in a slightly different way to try to make events clearer for those who read this report and undertaken some further research and analysis to understand some complex issues

My report is in four parts. The first part sets the scene and describes the events which have taken place. The second section addresses directly and specifically each of the issues which I have been asked to consider. The third section contains some suggestions as to the way forward for the Council, and the final section is an executive summary with a schedule which summarises my conclusions.

This report addresses disciplinary and personnel issues which are exempt business. I have addressed these issues, but I have included them in a supplementary report to preserve confidentiality in view of the Council's duty of care to past and present employees, and to protect the Council's legal position.

I am indebted to all those whom I have interviewed for their co-operation in what for them has been a very difficult time. I am also grateful and wish to place on record my appreciation to many officers of the Council who have sought out papers for me and who have assisted me to understand the issues.

I hope that the report will provide the means whereby Herefordshire Council can resolve issues of accountability and responsibility and be able to move the Council forward in the knowledge that the recommendations I make will provide a realistic foundation on which to avoid future difficulties of this nature.

I have been greatly assisted by the advice of Mr Martin Shefferd, a former Section 151 Officer, in respect of financial best practice. Needless to say the responsibility for the analysis and opinions set out in this report are mine.

## Part 1 - Introduction, Context and History of Events

1. The issues which I have been asked to consider relate to a period of nearly four years. They relate to contractual, managerial and financial matters so I think it is helpful to give a brief overview of events.
2. In 2002/3 the Council recognised that its Information and Communications (ICT) infrastructure required significant improvement. There was a wide range of issues which caused concern and they can be summarised as follows:
a) Poor service delivery to the departments of the Council
b) Separate IT networks and sections within the Council
c) Poor security and back up arrangements with a high level of risk
d) A period of interim management and temporary contracts
e) Skills shortages amongst ICT staff
f) Low morale amongst staff
g) A lack of vision as to future role of ICT in delivering improved services
h) High cost of service when benchmarked with a view to making financial savings
3. In August 2003, following a recruitment process involving external consultants, a Head of ICT (later designated Head of Information, Technology and Customer Services) was appointed, accountable managerially to the Director of Policy \& Community (now Director of Corporate \& Customer services). The new appointee's experience had been with ICT companies and she had no previous experience in Local Government.
4. The Head of ICT embarked on a programme of change. The ICT management team was revamped, clients were more effectively engaged, programmes of training and staff development focussed on the ICT business needs were introduced, and disaster recovery and security of data were improved.
5. As part of this process, the ICT unit entered into eleven major contracts with external ICT suppliers and many individual contractors, some on a long-term
basis, were employed to implement new systems. The issues with which this report is concerned relate primarily to two major contracts which are:
a) The contract for a back office system (BOP) for the ICT department at an approved cost of $£ 464 \mathrm{k}$
b) A Council wide contract for a voice and data network system at an evaluated cost of $£ 5.94$ million known as the Community Network Upgrade (CNU).

## FINANCIAL AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS

6. It may be helpful to set out briefly the main rules which the Council has adopted when entering into contractual commitments with financial consequences. It is the responsibility of Directors to operate within the approved budget and inform the Director of Resources if there are likely to be significant changes impacting on the Council's finances. In particular, any report for a capital project shall contain specific details about its cost and impact. All projects in excess of $£ 250 \mathrm{~K}$ must be reported to and approved by the relevant Cabinet Member and projects in excess of $£ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ must be approved by Cabinet.
7. The process for awarding contracts has two objectives, namely:
(a) to secure the best possible value for money; and
(b) to show that the procurement is demonstrably free from impropriety.
8. Each Director is authorised and required to manage their Directorate's contracting arrangements. The approved Contracting Code of Practice envisages a Tender Invitation Panel to conduct the tendering exercise; a Tender Opening Panel whose purpose is self-explanatory; and a Tender Evaluation Panel to bring appropriate expertise and experience to bear in deciding which tender to accept. The Code deals with such matters as not accepting the lowest tenderer and the need to maintain records, which is the responsibility of the Contracts Monitoring Officer in each Directorate. The Council aims to use a specific methodology (PRINCE 2) for managing projects.
9. The Code of Practice also provides for the appointment of a corporate Contracts Panel, on which each Directorate is represented and which is expected to report annually to the Directors on the operation of the Code of Practice and maintain a procedures exception register in which variations from the Code are reported. These requirements, supplemented by any further guidance, provide the framework for letting contracts.

## THE BACK OFFICE PROJECT (BOP)

10. The Head of ICT proposed the acquisition of a system which would improve the management of the division. The project was designed to focus on better performance information such as the speed of response to Help Desk queries, improved knowledge of ICT assets like desktops, better procurement of goods, managing employee issues such as training, and being the Division's financial information system. This is known as the Back Office Project (BOP).
11. The project started in summer 2004. An external evaluator was appointed; a project definition and business case was prepared which envisaged expenditure of $£ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ to be funded by ICT Service efficiencies; an invitation to tender and statutory advertisements were issued; the Information Policy Group, (responsible for assessing and evaluating ICT proposals), was informed and agreed the proposal, although with some concerns; two compliant tenders were received; the Head of ICT registered previous employment with both potential contractors; and a process of assessment scoring and evaluation took place.
12. On 23rd December 2004, in a memorandum to the Cabinet Member for Human Resources and Corporate Support Services (now Cabinet Member, Corporate \& Customer Services and Human Resources), the Chief Executive and the Director of Policy \& Community recommended that a contract be awarded at a cost of $£ 464 \mathrm{~K}$ over five years. The Head of ICT referred to the fact that the system could displace existing Council-wide systems over time. She also repeated her earlier statement that she had been previously employed by the preferred contractor.
13. The Cabinet Member, following a discussion with the Director, approved the proposal and the contract was signed immediately. The system was due to begin implementation in 2005 and it appeared from contemporaneous reports that the project in ICT was likely to be used as a pilot with a view to assessing whether the extended use of the system could be applied more widely within the Council.
14. The system was reported as being successfully implemented in August 2005 with specific examples of improved performance being identified. A project report in December 2005 set out the areas of achievement and issues still to be addressed, identifying the cost at $£ 860 \mathrm{~K}$ plus hardware costs.
15. The BOP system managed the ICT Division's financial information and it was a critical requirement that there should be successful integration with the Council's main financial system managed by the then County Treasurer. The Audit Services Manager assessed the interface between the two systems in July 2005 and advised that the specifications and procedures were available to transfer data and recommended a number of steps to make sure that this was done.
16. There have been ongoing problems in seeking to ensure the compatibility of the two financial systems. These have been the issues:
a) In October 2006 the Audit Services Manager reported that the BOP system was considered unsatisfactory because no budgets had been set, payment control was inadequate and the reconciliation between the central system and the BOP was poor.
b) Considerable resources, both in terms of external consultancy advice and from the accountancy staff within the Resources Directorate have been focused on achieving compatibility and accuracy for the two systems.
c) There is no accurate information of the exact cost involved in implementation but it is substantially more than the initial reported cost of the system (£464k).
17. However in August 2007 the interface is considered to be generally satisfactory, although a fresh assessment is planned.

## THE AWARD OF THE CONTRACT FOR THE COMMUNITY NETWORK UPGRADE (CNU)

18. The Council recognised in 2003 that significant investment in its ICT infrastructure was needed. The aim was to upgrade the corporate electronic voice and data network, reduce risk by providing better business continuity and disaster recovery and improve corporate document management. These steps were designed to underpin better access to services by users, leading to improved customer satisfaction. A total cost of $£ 7.65 \mathrm{M}$ over four years was identified and the Council made initial provisions in its budget for 2004/5.
19. The contracting process was commenced in the summer/autumn 2004 with a project team being established, led by Head of ICT. Legal and audit representatives were involved. A specialist ICT external evaluator was appointed to provide advice on procurement. Statutory notices were issued; expressions of interest were invited; a shortlist from which tenders were invited was created and an evaluation took place. Following discussions with the external evaluator and internal audit, three tenderers went forward for final evaluation. There was a detailed evaluation exercise scoring all three tenders and a contractor was recommended as the preferred contractor for further negotiation by both the internal evaluation team and by the external evaluator at an evaluated cost of $£ 5.94 \mathrm{M}$. The external evaluator expressed concerns about the clarity of the Council's intentions and this is referred to in more detail in the next section of this report.
20. A report was made to the then Chief Executive's Management Team on the 1st March 2005, with a schedule of funding requirements, a presentation was made to the Leader's Briefing on 3rd March 2005 and further financial data was presented to the County Treasurer on the 13th March 2005 by the Head of ICT. Two reports were approved at the Cabinet Meeting on the 24th March 2005, one seeking approval to the proposed direction for the new network and
the second by the County Treasurer seeking approval to a further $£ 500 \mathrm{~K}$ being provided for the ICT network. The contract was signed on 31st March 2005.
21. The project was started and a report in August 2005 reported that there had been:
a) Significant planning.
b) A challenging programme of change with clearly defined targets.
c) Implementation was supported by the experience of the contractor.
d) The system was substantially implemented.
22. However, one of the features of both the BOP and CNU projects is that there have been substantial costs incurred for consultancy advice and assistance. In some cases this has been by engaging individual contractors on long-term placements into the ICT division. They have been regarded as the equivalent to employees but are not on the payroll of the Council; they are remunerated as contractors. Some of the costs have been substantial and there are personnel issues arising from this form of engagement. Many of the costs of these contractors have not been allocated specifically to the implementation of either of the two main contracts so it has proved difficult to assess the cost of implementation of each contract. There is a current audit report in preparation on the use of contractors in these circumstances and the process by which they are engaged.

## THE SCRUTINY OF ICT SERVICES

23. The Strategic Monitoring Committee commissioned a scrutiny review of ICT services. A wide range of staff, service users and external representatives were interviewed to contribute to this review. The Committee reported in November 2006. The Committee reported favourably on the network strategy and progress that had been made in reducing security incidents. The Council was pursuing ISO standards, disaster recovery had improved, staff were knowledgeable and competent and improvement in performance was occurring. In particular, benchmark data commissioned from independent consultants suggested value for money was being obtained. There were
however causes of concern about services to schools, the clarity of the costs and the level of service which ICT provided to other Directorates.
24. The financial data supporting the review demonstrated the considerable investment which had been made in ICT. Between 2004/5 and 2005/6 capital expenditure had increased from $£ 10.79 \mathrm{M}$ to $£ 13.45 \mathrm{M}$ and the expenditure on the ICT trading account had increased from $£ 4.85 \mathrm{M}$ to $£ 6.75 \mathrm{M}$. This latter increase in expenditure may have been a contributory factor to the $£ 849 \mathrm{~K}$ deficit on the trading account.

## THE HEAD OF INFORMATION, TECHNOLOGY \& CUSTOMER SERVICES (ITCS)

25. The Head of ITCS was employed from the 26th August 2003 until the 31st July 2007. She was appointed following a recruitment exercise undertaken by an external recruitment consultant. The Head of ICT had considerable private sector experience in implementing ICT systems. She reported to the Director of Policy \& Community.
26. The Head of ITCS led the process of addressing the Council's ICT weaknesses. She led the contracting processes in relation to both the BOP and CNU projects.
27. In June 2006, the Chief Executive decided to second the Head of ITCS into the role of Change Manager in relation to the Herefordshire Connects Programme. The Chief Executive set out the purpose of this secondment in a letter to the Head of ITCS in which he highlighted the purpose of and approach to be taken to developing the change management initiative. He copied that letter to the Director or Corporate \& Customer Services indicating that the latter would continue to have managerial responsibility, although some aspects of the reporting lines were acknowledged to be ambiguous.
28. Shortly after the start of the secondment, as a result of external advice, the Chief Executive decided to remove her from the role of Change Manager,
reverting to her former role of Head of ITCS. I set out more details of this in the confidential section of my report.

## THE SPECIAL REPORT BY THE DIRECTOR OF RESOURCES (SECTION 151 OFFICER)

29. The Cabinet received a special report by the Director of Resources in her capacity as Section 151 Officer on the 20th September 2007 in relation to financial governance issues in ICT and Customer Services which had given cause for concern for some time. The Section 151 Officer has specific responsibility to provide for the proper financial administration of the Council and has been given extensive powers by the Council to obtain documents and to investigate issues of concern.
30. The concerns can be summarised as follows:
(a) the difficulty encountered in transferring a service based accountant within the ICT Division to the Resources Directorate in line with a senior management decision
(b) an overspend on the ICT trading account for the year 2005/6 of £849K
(c) the difficulty in establishing a correct financial position for the ICT Division for the year 2005/6
(d) payments which had been treated as capital items which were revenue expenditure, necessitating adjustments to the Council's accounts before they could be approved by the external auditor
(e) concerns about the BOP system and the use being made of external contractors where documentary evidence of compliance with Council procedures was missing or proving difficult to obtain
(f) concerns about how the advice from the external evaluator had been applied in the CN contract evaluation.
31. The Director of Resources drew attention to the implications for the Council; it was likely to affect the Audit Commission's view about the Council's use of resources and had implications for the reputation of the Council. An Action

Plan attached to the report has been agreed by both the Corporate Management Board and the Cabinet.
32. The brief which has been given to me is set out in the Terms of Reference at Appendix A which were finalised by the Council's Monitoring Officer in consultation with me. I have taken the advice of the Monitoring Officer on the manner in which this report is presented, to take account of legal issues which may affect the council or individuals. In normal circumstances the Chief Executive would report on these matters directly to the Council. However, in view of the fact that these matters relate to the Corporate \& Customer Services Directorate, of which his wife is the Director, the Chief Executive and the Leader considered that it was appropriate for an externally appointed person to provide advice to the Council. I was requested by the Chief Executive of the Local Government Association to undertake that role and the next section of my report sets out my findings and advice in relation to the matters which I have been asked to consider.

## Part 2 Response to each Term of Reference of the Review

33. In this section of the report, I propose to address each of the Terms of Reference. Before doing so, it may be helpful to understand what happened if I describe some features of how the Council appears to work and which are significant in the events which have occurred. These features are:
a) Culture and Values - Members and officers placed trust in each other and had confidence in what was being done - it led to a consensual style of working which limits the degree of challenge.
b) Procedures and Protocols - The Council has an impressive range of procedures which are not followed by everyone, partly because they are not easily accessible or understandable. There appears to be little or no sanction for those who do not comply. This is reflected by the approach to performance management.
c) Organisation - A high level of freedom and responsibility is given to Directors and this is reflected in central/corporate services being devolved and reactive rather than influential and pro-active, especially when issues which presented risks to the Council are concerned.
d) Communication - These events have demonstrated that robust and open debate about important issues has not occurred leading to inappropriate channels of communication being adopted.
34. These factors can lead to a situation where poor performance in not following accepted standards was permitted because individual officers who may have had reservations did not challenge colleagues. These observations may help to create an understanding of some of the events which have occurred.
35.I propose to deal with each Term of Reference in turn.
35. (i) To examine the evidential base on which the conclusions set out in the Section 151 Officer's report are based to enable the Council to reach a conclusion as to what, if any, further action needs to be taken in relation to the report beyond the remedial steps contained in the reports
themselves, which steps have been endorsed by the Corporate Management Board and set out any additional remedial action, if any, or additional recommendations for consideration by the Corporate Management Board and/or the Cabinet.
37.I have examined the evidence on which the Section 151 Officer's report was based and there is clear and sufficient evidence to indicate significant problems in the financial and contractual management within ICT. Some of these related to organisational and managerial issues such as the transfer of the service based accountant, which had already been effected. At the time of the Section 151 report, significant steps had been taken to address these issues and the Director of Corporate \& Customer Services had already facilitated the necessary changes. The most significant concern relates to contract management and budgeting, where there were serious shortcomings.
38.A special report from the Section 151 Officer is reserved for the most serious breaches of financial governance where the Section 151 Officer considers that remedial action will not be forthcoming without this step. In my discussions with the Section 151 Officer, she clearly believes that, in her professional opinion, the seriousness of the issues and the response she believed would be forthcoming from within the Council were such that it was the necessary and only step which she decided upon after the most careful consideration. There were significant risks relating to the confidential nature of a compromise agreement, and implications for the reputation of the Council.
39.1 discussed with the Leader and the Chief Executive their response to the concerns which the Section 151 Officer had expressed. Both indicated to me that they were always open to addressing these issues without the need for the issue of a Section 151 special report. The Chief Executive reminded me that he had previously reorganised the senior management structure to raise the status and profile of the Section 151 Officer because of his desire and support for a more corporate approach to financial management
36. It was clear to me that there was a breakdown of communication in that the Section 151 Officer was not sufficiently assured that the necessary steps would be taken. If there had been a better working relationship between senior officers and members, an alternative approach could have achieved real progress in addressing concerns. The officers whom I have interviewed have stated that there are significant signs of improvement since early April 2007. This was a time when it was clearly possible to direct resources at addressing the financial issues in ICT by both the Director of Resources and the Director of Corporate \& Customer Services.
41.I make these comments to set out the context in which a Section 151 Officer special report is issued.
37. The report contains an action plan including twenty-one recommendations. If they are fully implemented and bought into effect by the Council as a whole and acted on, then they will address and provide a robust platform for the future. In particular they will provide assurance to members for the future.
38. However I have identified a number of further steps which the Council may wish to consider. The Section 151 Officer's report has focussed on financial and contractual/procurement issues. However there are other important corporate resources, principally people, property and information, where in the past the focus has been on Directorate management of this resource. My view is that, like financial resources, these resources should be managed more corporately within a council wide strategy and to professional standards, whilst retaining service flexibility to meet local circumstances. These are my additional recommendations for you to consider:

| Actions for Consideration | Rationale |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Consider bringing all corporate <br> resources, including ICT and HR, <br> together within a single Directorate. | Enable the key resources of people, <br> property, finance and information to be <br> managed in a more integrated and <br> consistent manner. |
| 2. Establish a consistent strategic <br> approach to the management and | Facilitate a more corporate approach to <br> the use of these resources; also potential |


| Actions for Consideration | Rationale |
| :--- | :--- |
| deployment of corporate resources so <br> that common standards are applied <br> throughout the Council. | for efficiencies provided prompt access to <br> advice for front line staff from dedicated <br> staff aware of service needs. |
| 3. Strengthen the role and improve the <br> performance of the Information Policy <br> Group by revitalising its membership with <br> pro-active participants. (Consider making <br> the chair a Director not the line manager <br> of ICT). | IPG should act as the key forum for all <br> ICT investment to ensure it is consistent <br> with the Council's policies and rigorously <br> appraised. |
| 4. Enhance the Audit ICT capacity. | Given the Council's ICT programme, <br> demands on specialist ICT audit skills <br> could stretch existing resources. |
| 5. Develop the role of the Audit and <br> Corporate Governance Committee by <br> further training for members and officers. | It is important that the members of this <br> committee have the skills to appreciate <br> and discharge their important <br> responsibilities. This Committee is an <br> essential part of the checks and balances <br> on the member and officer functions; <br> important markers and trends need to be <br> picked up, especially when repeated <br> concerns are reported. |
| 6. Ensure that all corporate rules, <br> standards and processes are embedded, <br> owned and followed by members and <br> officers throughout the organisation, <br> having first made sure that they are <br> workable. | They need to be widely communicated, <br> accessible and regularly refreshed. <br> Senior managers need to address non- <br> compliance immediately. |
| 7. Develop senior management team- |  |
| building with the new Chief Executive |  |
| giving priority to rebuilding the senior |  |
| management teams. | To develop further open, trusting and <br> corporate working and leadership. |
| 8. Create a better resourced and more <br> pro-active procurement function which <br> might both anticipate important <br> developments and encourage better <br> compliance with the revised contract <br> regulations. | The Council spends a significant <br> proportion of its budget on external <br> contracts and arrangements and these <br> require specialist input from users and <br> technical staff, and as a minimum to <br> include finance and legal staff. |


| 9. To review whether the Service Level <br> mechanisms are the appropriate way for <br> managing corporate resources. | The ICT experience suggests that SLA's <br> did not improve services or control cost. <br> Having different mechanisms for ICT <br> spending meant that there was lack of <br> clarity and transparency in budgeting and <br> in using resources. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10. The Council to revive and refresh the <br> performance management process so <br> that it is effective in highlighting issues to <br> be addressed. | The financial/contracting problems in ICT <br> were not highlighted in normal <br> performance management processes |

44. Many of these proposals will require to be viewed in the light of the management approach which the new Chief Executive will bring to the Council
45. (ii) To examine both historically and currently the management capacity to exercise the necessary supervision of contracting processes and, if there is historic evidence which could provide a basis for disciplinary action, to set that out in the report for the Council to consider
46. The management of the Contractual Process need not be too complex. The existing Contract and Financial Standing Orders whilst complex, if implemented and developed, form a framework for Directors to use. There is a requirement to appoint a Contract Monitoring Officer for each Directorate, and they should report to the Director regularly on the contracts which are being let. Directors are also able to specify in what circumstances matters need to be referred to them for approval - for example a Director might wish to approve any contract above a certain figure. Directors can further manage the contracting process by their responsibility to appoint the Tender Invitation Panels and Tender Evaluation Panels.
47. The Contract Standing Orders also provide that there should be a Corporate Contracts Panel which maintains registers of contracts and details when exceptions are permitted e.g. when a tender is accepted other than the lowest or a contract is let without quotations or tenders in the special circumstances permitted. The duty of the Contracts Panel to report annually to the Corporate

Management Board is a means whereby the Board can obtain performance information on which it can act.
48. The evidence suggests that the Contracts Panel has not met for a long time, does not maintain its registers and it is unclear who are the Contract Monitoring Officers. If these arrangements had been in place and operative, they provide a vetting process involving others so that appropriate checks and balances are incorporated into the contracting process. However there is now an opportunity to refresh the system. The recently appointed Strategic Procurement and Efficiency Review Manager and the Head of Legal and Democratic Services, with the support of the Audit Services Manager, might implement a means of recording and monitoring all contractual arrangements, including those about to be entered into. This would enable the Council to identify those areas where high levels of risk are involved so that a project team of appropriate disciplines could be involved. It will also enable patterns of spending and opportunities for better value for money to be identified.
49. My terms of reference ask me to comment on whether there is historic evidence which could provide a basis for disciplinary action. I have considered these matters in the confidential part of my report as they refer to specific individuals. I do consider that there are issues where senior managers of the Council could have acted to exercise a greater degree of control over events. I suggest a course of action which might lead to a disciplinary investigation.
50. (iii) To examine the corporate support, advice and direction offered to Directors, in particular financial and audit support, and legal and contractual support received. To examine in particular the support offered in relation to those matters identified in terms (iv) and (v) below
51. The process for approving ICT projects required:
a) A business case for a particular project to be presented to the IPG panel for approval. This is a corporate officer group with representatives from Directorates led by the Director of Corporate \& Community Services.
b) Budget approval being obtained by provision being agreed to that item by the Budget panels and then in the Council budget. Any Capital provision was approved by the Cabinet following an officer report about the annual capital programme
c) Specific approval for projects by the Cabinet member, the Cabinet or a Director depending on the level of cost
52. Financial, legal and audit advice and support were always available to Directors on request. Legal staff issued statutory notices and assisted with contractual provisions; audit staff were consulted on who to include in the final list of tenderers; and audit and legal staff are recorded as being members of the project board for the CNU contract. However corporate support appeared to be dependant on being invited to give it and on being informed.
53. There are circumstances in which officers representing corporate/central services such as finance, personnel and legal have to make it clear to service colleagues that actions need to be undertaken in a particular way or not taken at all. For example, I would expect legal staff to require that the drafting and vetting of major contracts with high levels of expenditure should be undertaken by them; I would expect the County Treasurer's representative at evaluation meetings to state that when a critical financial information system is being installed, there was a requirement that accountancy and audit staff should be involved in its purchase and implementation. The adequacy of the financial content of reports is a subject on which the County Treasurer might insist on certain specific information being set out in order to satisfy himself that the Council's regulations had been complied with so that both he and members have a clear understanding of the spending profile.
54. In the case of both the County Treasurer and the County Secretary \& Solicitor, they were not Directors, but were members of the CXMT; perhaps they and their staff did not consider that they had the status, influence or capacity to
express sufficiently and robustly some of the concerns which they clearly had at the time.
55. However there is clear evidence that in a number of matters key corporate areas, staff were excluded or prevented from exercising their role, in some cases by officers who did not feel empowered to respond to their legitimate requests.
56. (iv) To examine the strength the external moderation of contracts and in particular the contracts highlighted in the S151 Officers Special Investigation Report
57.For both contracts, the Head of ITCS employed experienced external moderators whose task was to provide validation of the procurement process and assist in the process of evaluating tenders. This was most important for an ICT acquisition where technical and performance evaluation was critical. I have focussed my assessment on the CNU contract where a specialist firm from Manchester was appointed in November 2004. They made a formal offer setting out their terms and what they would do.
58. The nominated external moderator assisted in setting up the process of evaluation and the method of scoring each proposal. The Council accepted many of his suggestions. As the list of suitable potential tenderers was refined the external moderator drew attention to four key features which he believed needed to figure in the negotiations which were to take place with the final three preferred tenderers. They related to preparing a financial model to test the proposed contract, a detailed implementation plan, a service level agreement about ongoing management and a careful check on the terms of the contract, especially in relation to exit strategies if the contract were to be ended for whatever reason.
59. By late February 2005, it was clear who the Council regarded as the preferred tenderer. The external moderator compared the services which each of the three final tenderers offered and agreed that further negotiations should be
entered into with the Council's preferred supplier. The moderator stated that the Council could take the preferred supplier forward under an accelerated negotiated procurement but re-emphasised the essential need for the negotiations to secure an agreement about the issues previously highlighted. The Council was recommended to be clear with the successful tenderer about its requirements for a managed service, the scope and boundaries of the service, (i.e. who does what), the services to be supplied, and how a managed service might operate. The main reason for these recommendations was to enable the Council to negotiate and clarify these issues whilst there was still a competitive environment i.e. the preferred tenderer did not know whether it would be successful.
60.A particular issue arose with the external moderator following a meeting on the $7^{\text {th }}$ March 2005. He wrote a letter suggesting the postponement of the procurement exercise and that a meeting, including legal advisers, should take place to clarify precisely the Council's intentions and pointing out that the level of risk would not usually be considered acceptable. Some notes setting out concerns were attached to the letter.
61. This elicited a reply signed by an officer reporting to the Head of ITCS dealing specifically with the issues raised on a point by point basis, but containing a statement to the effect that the payment of invoices was being withheld pending the clarification of the matters which had been raised. A meeting held on $18^{\text {th }}$ March 2005 led to the external moderator retracting the letter in full, although repeating his belief that key contractual clarifications were required.
62.I have reviewed the correspondence and the comments made by the auditor. My conclusion is that the external moderator made a professional contribution to the procurement process. This is evidenced in his advice. The fact that he expressed reservations in a manner which led to a critical and challenging response suggests that the firm was prepared to express its view clearly and robustly. The retraction of the letter related to client issues about budgetary and legal matters; but the external moderator repeated his view about the essential need to address the issues which he had previously raised and this remained
on the record for future reference. In my view it is unlikely that a professional firm would risk its reputation, even if the withholding of fees was raised. The issue which is most regrettable is that the concerns set out in the letter appear not to have been raised with or referred to either legal or financial staff within the Council. This would appear to be the obvious course of action, rather than a response which dismissed the concerns.

## 63. (v) To examine the formal decision making in relation to those contracts and the extent of the information made available to the relevant Cabinet

 Member.64. There is concern that the reports which authorised the two acquisitions were inadequate. The Council requires reports about capital projects to contain:
a) The estimated cost of the proposal.
b) The phasing of capital expenditure.
c) The proposed method of financing.
d) The effect on the revenue estimates in subsequent years.
e) Any additional staffing requirements.
f) An assessment of the need for the scheme and its benefits.
g) Alternative approaches to meeting the need.
65. The BOP was approved by the relevant Cabinet Member following a meeting with the Director of Policy \& Community at which the proposal was described as having "greater functionality of more corporate value". The meeting was informed by the memorandum, rather than a formal report, to the Cabinet Member, Chief Executive and Director outlining the benefits in terms of support for ICT services. The cost was stated to be $£ 464 \mathrm{k}$ over a 5 year period based on use for ICT services only. The price was described as competitive since discounts were offered.
66. However, it was also clear from the tender that further potential implementation costs of up to $£ 900$ k, or maybe less, was envisaged and as later events proved, the cost of implementation and reconciliation was substantial, and substantially exceeded the stated cost.
67. Because the cost of the project was substantial, in my view there is a greater onus on officers to provide justification for their proposals. I would expect a full report with a clear statement identifying how the project was to be financed, the potential cost in later years and the alternatives which might be available. This was missing. In my view the manner of obtaining approval was deficient.
68. The CNU was a substantial commitment involving expenditure in excess of $£ 6 \mathrm{~m}$ over a period of years. It is not clear from the documents exactly what the cost was. Initial provision had been made in the capital programme for 2004/5 and details of commitments for future years were outlined. By February 2005 the preferred supplier had been identified. A briefing of the Cabinet Member took place on $23^{\text {rd }}$ February 2005, a Leader's Briefing note set out the issues for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ March 2005, but without costings. Internal correspondence at the time identifies a revenue shortfall in 2005/6 and 2006/7 with a need for additional capital funds in later years. The Head of ITCS on $13^{\text {th }}$ March 2005 gave a detailed breakdown of projected costs to the County Treasurer and on the $24^{\text {th }}$ March 2005, two reports were presented to the Cabinet. One was a four page report and schedule from the Director of Policy \& Community seeking approval as follows: "investment highlighted in the ongoing budget deliberations be considered for approval". This was, in practice, a commitment to entering into a contract for substantial expenditure. The second report was from the County Treasurer, seeking approval to allocate a further $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ in 2005/6 to the ongoing revenue costs required to support the investment in ICT.
69.I have reviewed the report to assess the adequacy of the information available to the Cabinet. The report itself contains no costings although there is a schedule attached to the report, setting out "Funding Requirements". I have endeavoured to place this schedule in context with the Council's previous allocations for ICT upgrades. I have not been able to reconcile the figures.
69. In summary, important information is omitted; at the very least, I would expect to see the overall contract price specified and alternative approaches more clearly spelt out, especially as there was a substantially lower tender. The reasons for
accepting a higher tender might have been spelt out, although they are recorded elsewhere. The nature of the recommendation could be much clearer in stating precisely that a contract for expenditure amounting to over $£ 6$ million over several years was being authorised.
70. However it is clear that the number of discussions which had taken place, together with the accompanying report of the County Treasurer, would have reassured members that, even though the report was unclear, adequate financial provision had been made. Whilst the main responsibility for providing appropriate information falls upon professional officers, there is an onus on members to challenge officers about reports that do not provide all the necessary information to support the decision they are being asked to make. Key decisions are a matter public record and accountability. Members should be able to demonstrate that they have made a reasonable decision based on adequate information. I make some further recommendations about this later in my report; however members are not experts in technical fields such as this and I am satisfied that they relied on officer advice.
71. Therefore the report on which this commitment was entered into was not satisfactory.
72. (vi) To examine the wider support to the formal decision-making processes offered through Corporate Management Board (previously Chief Executive's Management Team) and the Information Policy Group (IPG).
74.I will deal firstly with the IPG. The group was chaired by the Director of Policy and Community and had representatives of Directorates on it. Its terms of reference were revised from time to time and its task was to assess projects and decide whether they should be undertaken, to identify risks and opportunities and to provide an oversight of proposed ICT developments, subject to the responsibility of the Head of ITCS for professional management of the service. The Chief Executive informed me that he relied on the IPG to assess and approve projects because of their specialist and technical nature.
73. The discussion on the BOP contract highlighted some of the problems faced by the group, namely late notification and inadequate information. There were clearly reservations about the project and the possible conflict with other Council wide systems, a concern which was justified by later events. However the group authorised the project to proceed.
74. The IPG was also a forum where information could be considered on ICT proposals. Members of the IPG group could report to their Directors on areas of concern, which they may have, about any developments. Given the critical nature of ICT systems which handled financial and personnel information, the representatives might have been able to alert their senior officers to concerns which they had about activity which could adversely affect corporate systems. Whether any members of the IPG did this is not clear but there is no evidence of any impact.
75. A group of this nature is important, provided it operates effectively. There is no problem about the need for such a group; the issue is how it operates and in particular how it evaluates and decides on proposals.
78.I have read the minutes and deliberations of the CXMT (now the CMB). It appears that the meetings addressed the usual range of corporate issues such as the revenue and capital budgets, the Corporate Assessment process, Performance Management systems and service impact as well as corporate issues such as ICT, property and Human Resource issues. The agenda and actions are about coordinating and informing. As a result of discussions, the Chief Executive or Board Members would work with elected members to raise matters for decision.
76. As a result of my interviews, it does appear that the CMB worked reasonably well from its formation in September 2005. It has recently changed its membership and a further change is soon to take place with the appointment of a new Chief Executive. At some point about six to eight months ago, it seems that CMB ceased to be effective and it has been described by some of its
members and observers as "fractured" or "dysfunctional". There have been disagreements about "Herefordshire Connects" and it seems that some of these have not been resolved. It is clear to me that elected members have not been receiving consistent advice. This has led to the trust and confidence of some board members in their colleagues breaking down and inappropriate conversations taking place outside proper channels.
80.At present it would appear that Directors are concentrating on delivering the agenda in their own service area. This means that the broader implications for the council as a whole are not always recognised. That is not likely to lead to the consistent corporate leadership which is required of a Council in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century. There is a pressing need for the Corporate Management Board to support the Cabinet and Council, to model the behaviour which sets the style and values for the Council and to lead the Paid Service. I make some specific suggestions about how the new Chief Executive might re-build the Corporate Management Board so that it can set the vision and priorities for the Council.
77. (vii) To advise on the merits of seeking to establish whether value for money has been obtained in respect of the contracts referred to and how this might best be achieved
82.I will deal with each of the contracts in turn. The BOP system is well respected and used by many Councils on an authority wide basis. It undoubtedly significantly improved the management information for the ICT division in a number of areas, such as procurement and the management of ICT staff.
78. However the BOP system duplicates other Council systems and required a great deal of effort to reconcile the differences. Its cost (probably around £1.4 million) is high for a small division. I do consider that the acquisition of this system was not a cost effective use of resources, mainly because it duplicated existing systems. It seems likely that there was a long term intention to utilise this system Council-wide, but this was never realized nor was the concept subjected to corporate analysis and agreement.
79. The CNU system is different. The benefits have been substantial and are well documented. Whilst there may have been some deficiencies in the process of acquisition, there appears to have been extensive evaluation utilising external moderation. It was neither the cheapest nor the most expensive option of the tenders which were evaluated. The supplier is a major and respected provider of ICT services in the public sector and during the scrutiny review of ICT in October 2006, an external evaluation by HEDRA made favourable comments about the progress which ICT has made, although there were still some challenges to be addressed.
80. However, the question that arises in relation to value for money focuses on the following issues:
a) It is not clear whether the invitation to tender (and later the contract) was sufficiently explicit about the respective responsibilities of the Council and the contractor in view of the external moderator's comments - and thus were substantial extra costs levied?
b) The level of external consultancy costs commissioned by ICT to assist in implementation.
c) Unexpected events which have delayed implementation and caused delay and cost.
d) The impact of changes in the financial profile as the contract is implemented.
e) The fact that one tender was significantly lower than the successful tender.
81. It is not possible to say with any clarity whether the current provision represents value for money. However, there is a clear need to establish whether it is. The way forward for the Council is to carry out a benchmark exercise and to reference test the current provision. Such an exercise will specify what the Council is paying for and how much it costs compared to others. If this demonstrates poor performance or excessive cost, then the Council has the option to negotiate with the present contractor with a view to making changes in the contract, or if necessary, negotiating an exit strategy. This is an area where a fruitful dialogue with the Audit Commission, working with the Council to
support an exercise of this nature, would provide a basis for future negotiation and reassurance about the level of cost.

## Part 3 - Next Steps

87. My terms of reference invite me to make any further recommendations. I have outlined earlier in this report features which I believe have contributed to the current situation. I would like in this section to draw the threads of this report together into a sensible set of actions for you to take.
88.I have already set out some important features of the way the Council has worked, namely:
a) A high level of freedom given to directors in such matters as the use of support services and managing contracts.
b) Trust and confidence by members and amongst senior colleagues that officers will perform to a high level.
c) A culture of consensus to achieve results with which all can agree.
d) A reactive approach and style amongst corporate services such as Finance, Legal and Personnel.
e) A strong policy and procedural base but one which is not fully followed or understood.
f) A performance management system which is not universally applied or insisted upon.
g) A lack of discipline in respecting and abiding by the Council's values.
88. Some of these features have served you well in the past, but others have not assisted when a different style of working has been brought to the Council. For example, because performance management is not fully embedded and Directorates operate with considerable freedom, there is not always the full awareness that is desirable when important decisions are being taken which affect the Council as a whole. This was compounded by a willingness at both member and officer level to trust a senior manager to perform to the standards which they would follow themselves.
89. However, these events provide an opportunity for the Council to address and change its approach for the future. Firstly, it is imperative for the Council to deal
with any issues of poor performance and to change any procedures to eliminate weaknesses as quickly as possible. Ongoing investigations and slowness to resolve outstanding concerns will be debilitating and unhelpful to the vast majority of staff whose sole purpose is to provide efficient services to their users. There is little value in constantly raking over the coals with no sense of where it is leading to.
90. If the Council proceeds with a piecemeal approach to tackle the issues arising from this experience, the Council will not have learnt or benefited to the fullest extent. The Council has made considerable progress since its formation 10 years ago. That progress was being maintained until the setback caused by recent events; the people of Herefordshire and the staff of the Council deserve to have strong leadership in order to meet the needs of the community into the future.
91. Members of the Council will need to provide strong leadership, especially from the executive and group leaders. The newly appointed Chief Executive and the members of the Corporate Management Board will need time to re-form and set the direction and priorities for the Council in the future. There are a number of ways in which the Council can regain the momentum at both member and officer level; I have set them out in the body of the report and would summarise them as follows, namely:
(a) To take the steps set out in the Section 151 Officer's report and the additional recommendations contained in this report within 6 months to address any deficiencies and to conclude any outstanding matters.
(b) To give clear and unequivocal support to the new Chief Executive at member and senior officer level so that he can assess what needs to be done now and for the future and put in hand a coordinated programme to do it.
(c) To rebuild the corporate management board so that it can reflect on how it came to be fractured and dysfunctional and decide how best to become an integrated team which provides the leadership for and earns the
respect of the officers of Herefordshire Council so that the values to which Herefordshire aspires are reflected in its actions.
(d) To re-establish the trust and confidence between members and officers and officers and officers based on a different approach which encourages appropriate challenge, resolves issues with a way forward which is supported by all and creates the discipline to follow through and abide by decisions which are taken.
(e) To review and change if appropriate, the Council's procedures and protocols with a 12 month programme so that they are realistic and understood by all officers of the Council and then embed them. If some procedures are no longer relevant then they can be abandoned.
(f) To create a robust performance management culture, underpinned by agreed procedures of what staff are expected to do and if they do not do them there is a discipline which holds them to account.
(g) To request the new Chief Executive to review the role of the corporate resource provision, namely, finance, people, property, ICT and Legal \& Democratic Services to decide how professional requirements and standards should be met, how standards should be maintained throughout the Council and how flexibility and immediate access by Directorates and front line staff can be available so that early decisions can be made for the benefit of service delivery.
92. However there is one further proposal which I would make. You are also a newly elected Council with a recent change in political control. Because of the political nature of the Council, you have a past history of good cross-party cooperation. These are new and different times for councillors; new members have taken Cabinet office; opposition members have a new role in challenging the Executive; the role in policy formulation and performance management for members is more significant; members are expected to provide strong leadership and to know how well the Council is performing.
93. There is a need for members to reflect on whether they have the support and skills which they need for the new agenda; it may be appropriate to commission further work on member support and development from one of the Local Government Association bodies.
94. Finally, it is important for the Council to bear in mind that these difficulties represent a problem in one part of the Council. It is important to maintain a sense of proportion and not to allow events in one division of one Directorate, however serious, to be reflective of a situation throughout the Council. My perception is that the Council is performing well in most of its activities, although this is not consistent. A measured co-coordinated and thoughtful response, without over-reaction, is the appropriate way forward e.g. changing the rules without any buy in will merely replicate the situation of three years ago.
95. The work which was undertaken in modernising the voice and data networks was essential and recognised as such by the Council if it was to achieve its aspiration of being an efficient and responsive modern authority. That drive to modernise, to become more efficient and to change working practices to align with best practice nationally, is not an agenda which you should avoid. When problems arise such as those you have encountered, it is easy to back away from actions which carry inherent risk. The Council would be wise not to become overly cautious by setting up stifling procedures which will inhibit innovation, or reduce the flexibility of Heads of Service, middle managers or front line staff from being able to make quick and effective decisions in line with approved policy. The task is to be risk aware, not risk averse. That is about people skills, not manuals of procedures which gather dust on shelves.
97.I hope this report will provide a foundation on which you are able to build. It is easy to forget in the concerns and reverberations which have led to this report that the task is to make sure that users of services receive an excellent quality response at an economic cost. For that to happen it will be important to reestablish the respect and reputation for your leading managers by demonstrating that you are able to move out of these difficulties strengthened by the way you have addressed them.

Ian Crookall

9 November 2007

## Part 4 Executive Summary

I was commissioned to review the financial/contractual governance arrangements within the ICT division, particularly in relation to the entering into of contracts for a major Council wide computer system and system for supporting ICT management.

The report deals with a specific area of service and I saw no evidence that some of the problems described were generic to the Council.

These are my principal findings:-

- There was poor financial governance in ICT
- There were major deficiencies in the contracting process
- There was poor management of both organisational and individual performance
- There was a lack of challenge in relation to major proposals
- There was extensive delegation to Directorates when tighter controls in some corporate areas were needed
- The Council's procedures and policies are not embedded

The result was that there were inadequate checks and balance in place when a different style and approach was introduced into the Council. This led to a breakdown in communications and strained personal relationships.

My recommendations are:-

- Procedures and practices should be developed, and most importantly, embedded throughout the Council
- The Council should consider organisational changes in relation to corporate services and the way they are delivered
- An exercise should be undertaken to establish whether the community network contract represents value for money
- Support for Members' roles should be developed by an external facilitator
- There is an urgent need for the CMB to become more effective and fit for purpose.
- The new Chief Executive should be supported and given time to re-build the management teams, re-establish trust and confidence and deliver on these recommendations.

In addition, in the confidential report I have made a recommendation that a disciplinary investigation should be instigated in relation to the performance issues raised within the confidential report.

For ease of reference I attach a schedule which deals with each term of reference summarises the key findings and contains actions for consideration.

The most important consideration is for the Council to address promptly the immediate issues arising from this report. Slowness in resolving outstanding concerns will be
debilitating and unhelpful. A piecemeal approach without a sense of direction to where it is leading will not be productive. Then as quickly as possible all the Council should work with the new Chief Executive to make the Council at Senior Management level fit for purpose in order to re-establish the momentum to maintain and improve services for the people of Herefordshire

Ian Crookall

9 November 2007

|  | Term of Reference | Summary Key Findings | Actions for Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i. | To examine the evidential base on which the conclusions set out in the Section 151 Officer's report are based to enable the Council to reach a conclusion as to what, if any, further action needs to be taken in relation to the report beyond the remedial steps contained in the reports themselves, which steps have been endorsed by the Corporate Management Board and set out any remedial action, if any, or additional recommendations for consideration by the Corporate Management Board and/or the Cabinet. | - There were serious shortcomings in the financial and contractual management that then existed within ICT. <br> - There are signs of significant improvements being made in respect of these shortcomings since actions to address them began in April 2007. <br> - There was a breakdown in communication resulting in the Section 151 Officer not feeling sufficiently assured that the necessary steps would be taken. <br> - Important corporate resources need to be corporately managed within a council wide strategy, and to professional standards, whilst retaining service flexibility to meet local circumstances. | a) Consider bringing together the key resources of people, property, finance and information into a single directorate. <br> b) Enhance the Audit specialist ICT capacity. <br> c) Develop the role of Audit \& Corporate Governance Committee through further member training. <br> d) Commission a report (from one of the local government bodies) on how elected members' support and development needs can be more adequately met. <br> e) Ensure all corporate rules, standards and processes are embedded, owned and followed by members and officers throughout the organisation, having first made sure they are workable. <br> f) Create a better resourced and more proactive procurement function which might both anticipate important developments and encourage better compliance with the revised contract regulations. <br> g) Review whether the service level mechanisms are the appropriate way for managing corporate resources. <br> h) Revive and refresh the performance management process so that it is effective in highlighting issues to be |


|  | Term of Reference | Summary Key Findings | Actions for Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | addressed. |
| ii. | To examine both historically and currently the management capacity to exercise the necessary supervision of contracting processes and, if there is historic evidence which could provide a basis for disciplinary action, to set that out in the report for the Council to consider. | - The existing contract standing orders, if implemented after amendment, provide a framework for Directors to use. <br> - There is evidence to suggest that the corporate checks and balances are not operational. <br> - There is evidence to suggest some errors (of omission rather than commission) took place. | a) The Head of Legal \& Democratic Services and the Strategic Procurement and Efficiency Review Manager review and refresh the system to ensure it is understood and adhered to, and a framework is in place to ensure appropriate disciplines are involved. <br> b) The Head of Legal \& Democratic Services, with the Interim Head of Human Resources institute a disciplinary investigation to address the performance issues to which reference is made in the confidential section of this report. |
| iii. | To examine the corporate support, advice and direction offered to the Directors, in particular financial and audit support, and legal and contractual support received. To examine in particular the support offered in relation to those matters identified in paragraphs (iv) and (v) below. | - Corporate support appeared to be dependent on being invited to give it, and on being informed. <br> - Concerns were not always expressed sufficiently and robustly. | a) Request the new Chief Executive to review the role of corporate resource provision to decide how professional standards and requirements should be met, how standards should be maintained throughout the Council, and how flexibility and immediate access by directorates and front line staff can be available so that early decisions can be made for the benefit of service delivery. <br> b) Review and change, if appropriate, the Council's procedures and protocols |


|  | Term of Reference | Summary Key Findings | Actions for Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | within a 12 month programme so that they are realistic and understood by all officers of the Council, and then embed them. If some procedures are no longer relevant they can be abandoned. |
| iv. | To examine the strength and independence of the external moderation of contracts and in particular the contracts highlighted in the S151 Officer's Special Investigation Report. | CNU <br> - The external moderator for the CNU project made a professional contribution to the procurement process. <br> - Advice in respect of the concerns raised by the external moderator did not appear to be sought from the relevant corporate support staff within the council. | The value of external moderation is recognised and appropriate advice should be sought at all times. |
| v. | To examine the formal decision making in relation to those contracts and the extent of the information made available to the relevant Cabinet Member. | BOP <br> - The manner of obtaining approval was deficient. <br> - Insufficient financial information was provided - in particular in respect of future financing and costs. <br> - Insufficient assessment of alternative options was provided. | See recommendation (ii) b above. |



|  | Term of Reference | Summary Key Findings |  | tions for Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vi. | To examine the wider support to the formal decision-making processes offered through the Corporate Management Board -previously Chief Executive's Management Team (CMB) and the Information Policy Group (IPG). | CMB <br> - Worked reasonably well from formation in September 2005. <br> - During the past year it has ceased to be effective. <br> - Members have not been receiving consistent advice. <br> - Trust and confidence between some CMB members has broken down. <br> - Inappropriate conversations are taking place outside proper channels. <br> - Directors are concentrating on delivering the agenda in their own service areas. | a) Give clear and unequivocal support to the new Chief Executive at elected member and senior officer level, so that he can assess what needs to be done now and for the future, and put in hand a co-ordinated programme to do it. <br> b) Develop senior management teambuilding with the new Chief Executive giving priority to rebuilding the senior management teams. <br> c) Rebuild the CMB following reflection on how it came to be fractured and dysfunctional, and decide how best to become an integrated team which provides the leadership for and earns the respect of the officers of Herefordshire Council. <br> d) Re-establish the trust and confidence between members and officers, and between officers and officers, based on a different approach which encourages appropriate challenge, resolves issues with a way forward that is supported by all, and creates the discipline to follow through and abide by decisions taken. <br> e) Create a robust performance management culture underpinned by agreed procedures of what staff are expected to do; and if they do not do them there is a discipline which holds them to account. <br> f) Ensure there is no over-reaction; that a sense of proportion is maintained and the Council becomes risk aware rather than risk averse. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Term of Reference | Summary Key Findings | Actions for Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IPG <br> - The role of the group is necessary. <br> - There is an issue about how it operates and in particular how it evaluates and decides on proposals. | a) Ensure IPG is chaired by a service Director. <br> b) Review the membership of the IPG. <br> c) Have clear guidelines for the evaluation and decision-making processes within the group. |
| vii. | To advise on the merits of seeking to establish whether value for money has been obtained in respect of the contracts referred to and how this might best be achieved. | Back Office Project <br> - Duplication with other council systems <br> - Degree of effort required to reconcile with other key systems <br> - High cost for size of service area covered <br> - Inadequate degree of corporate analysis re extension of utilisation across the Council <br> - The BOP was not a cost effective use of resources. | To note the findings. |



## FINANCIAL GOVERNANCE REPORT TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR REVIEW REPORT AND ADVICE

These Terms of Reference are drawn up by the Monitoring Officer of Herefordshire Council to enable the Council to secure independent advice in relation to matters raised in a report issued by the Director of Resources as Section 151 Officer dated 13th September, 2007 and a Special Investigation Report dated 3rd September, 2007 (copies of both documents enclosed herewith).

The Council seeks advice on the following matters to enable the Council to move forward and to identify any potential areas of concern:
(i) To examine the evidential base (report enclosed) on which the conclusions set out in the Section 151 Officer 's report are based to enable the Council to reach a conclusion as to what, if any, further action needs to be taken in relation to the report beyond the remedial steps contained in the reports themselves, which steps have been endorsed by the Corporate Management Board and set out any additional remedial action, if any, or additional recommendations for consideration by the Corporate Management Board and/or the Cabinet.
(ii) To examine both historically and currently the management capacity to exercise the necessary supervision of contracting processes and, if there is historic evidence which would provide a basis for potential disciplinary action to set that out in the report for the Council to consider.
(iii) To examine the corporate support, advice and direction offered to Directors, in particular financial and audit support, and legal and contractual support received. To examine In particular the support offered in relation to those matters identified in paragraphs (iv) and (v) below.
(iv) To examine the strength and independence of the external moderation of contracts and in particular the contracts highlighted in the S151 Officers Special Investigation Report.
(v) To examine the formal decision making in relation to those contracts and the extent of the information made available to the relevant Cabinet Member.
(vi) To examine the wider support to the formal decision-making processes offered through Corporate Management Board (previously Chief Executive's Management Team) and the Information Policy Group (IPG).
(vii) To advise on the merits of seeking to establish whether value for money has been obtained in respect of the contracts referred to and how this might best be achieved.

To that end you are asked within the scope of these Terms of Reference
(a) To examine relevant documentary evidence held by the Council.
(b) To interview relevant members of staff and Members of the Council.
(c) To contact outside parties who advised on the processes as may be appropriate.
(d) In consultation with the Head of Legal and Democratic Services and within financial limits to be agreed by the Head of Legal and Democratic Services to engage specialist finance or ICT expertise if that is required.

To review and keep under review the terms of reference and if any amendments or additions are required to refer to Head of Legal and Democratic Services and the Leader of the Council

Alan McLaughlin,
Head of Legal and Democratic Services
APPENDIX 2
It is essential that a short period of time is allowed for reflection on the cultural issues identified during the investigation to enable a
shared understanding of those issues and to establish collective support for the way forward. This is particularly so given the recent
appointment of the new Chief Executive. However it is also vital that prompt action is taken to address the immediate issues arising
from the investigation report. The following action plan has been developed taking both of these requirements into consideration.

|  | Action | Lead | By When |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | The disciplinary investigation by instituted. | Head of Legal \& Democratic Services/Interim <br> Head of Human Resources | Immediate |
| 2. | Member Development Policy Group be asked to give <br> consideration to the suggested actions in respect of member <br> training, support and development, and bring forward an action <br> plan to Cabinet. | Head of Legal \& Democratic Services | End February <br> 2008 |
| 3. | Consideration be given to the suggested actions in respect of: <br> - The structure for management and effective deployment of <br> the key corporate resources. <br> - The development and team building of the senior <br> management teams of the council. | The new Chief Executive |  |
| 4. | Consideration be given to how best to re-establish trust and <br> confidence between members and officers, and between <br> officers and officers, based on an approach which encourages <br> appropriate challenge, resolves issues with a way forward that <br> is supported by all, and creates the discipline to follow through <br> and abide by decisions taken. | The new Chief Executive | End February <br> 2008 |
| 5. | Consideration be given to the suggested actions in respect of: <br> The need for additional specialist audit ICT resource <br> -Proposals for strengthening the strategic procurement <br> function <br> - Proposals for appropriate financing models for corporate <br> services <br> and bring forward an action plan to CMB. | Director of Resources |  |

APPENDIX 2

|  | Action | Lead | By When |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. | The relevant professional officers (Monitoring Officer, Section <br> 151 Officer, Interim Head of Human Resources) be asked to <br> lead reviews of the Council's procedures and protocols, and the <br> corporate rules, standards and processes to ensure they are fit <br> for purpose, proportionate and workable. Review programmes <br> to be reported to CMB. |  | End December <br> 2007 |
| 7. | Consideration be given to the suggested actions in respect of <br> refreshing and embedding an effective performance <br> management culture, and an action plan brought forward to <br> CMB. | Interim Head of Human Resources | End February <br> 2008 |
| 8. | CMB be asked to review the membership/chairmanship of the <br> Information Policy Group (IPG). | The new Chief Executive | December 2007 |
| 9. | The refreshed IPG be asked to consider the suggested actions <br> in respect of group governance arrangements and to report <br> back on action taken to CMB. | The new Chief Executive | End February |
| 10. | Consideration be given to the suggested action in respect of <br> establishing value for money in relation to the community <br> network, and an action plan taken to CMB. (NB Action to <br> progress a value for money study to be undertaken by SOCITM <br> has now been agreed with the Director of Resources) | Interim Head of Information, Technology and <br> Customer Services. | End November <br> 2007 |
| 11. | Clear guidelines be established and embedded in respect of <br> close personal line management relationships. | Interim Head of Human Resources |  |
| 12. | Consideration be given to the suggested actions in relation to <br> officer training and development needs, and an action plan be <br> brought to CMB. | Interim Head of Human Resources | End February <br> 2008 |


[^0]:    My responsibility is to audit the financial statements in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

    I report to you my opinion as to whether the financial statements present fairly the financial position of the Authority in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the Statement of Recommended Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2006.

[^1]:    Further information on the subject of this report is available from Tony Geeson, Head of Policy and Performance on 01432261855 or David Powell, Head of Financial Services on 01432383173

[^2]:    ${ }^{1} 16$ indicators have been considered as not suitable for awarding a judgement in this report, essentially because they are either tracker indicators or indicators that require a baseline to be set during the year. These indicators were all judged as $\mathbf{A}$ in the last report.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ There is a variance since the last report in the number of indicators within the priority area of 'Maximising the health, safety, economic well-being, achievements and contribution of every child', reducing from 31 at the end-of-July to 30 now. This is because HCS 23 'Measure of sexually transmitted infections for young people' was incorrectly reported as council lead rather than Primary Care Trust lead. However, the priority area 'Ensuring that essential assets are in the right condition for the long-term cost-effective delivery of services, and ensure business continuity in the face of emergencies' that has one indicator, HC 101 'Use of Resources score', was omitted from the last report, so the total number of indicators remains the same. The table above reflects the removal of HCS 23, which had been judged R, and the inclusion of HC 101 that would have been judged A, meaning a reduction from 16 to 15 in the number of indicators flagged as R in the last report.

